

c | nttp://www.sermonindex.net/

News and Current Events:: Brit Hume Expresses View on Tiger Woods

Brit Hume Expresses View on Tiger Woods - posted by AbideinHim (), on: 2010/1/4 12:02

On "Fox News Sunday" yesterday, Fox anchor Brit Hume suggested that Tiger Woods should trade his Buddhist faith for Christianity if he wants to make a personal comback. When host Chris Wallace asked the show's roundtable to predict th e biggest sports story of 2010, Hume said:

"Tiger Woods will recover as a golfer. Whether he can recover as a person I think is a very open question, and it's a tragi c situation for him. I think he's lost his family, it's not clear to me if he'll be able to have a relationship with his children, bu t the Tiger Woods that emerges once the news value dies out of this scandal -- the extent to which he can recover -- see ms to me to depend on his faith. He's said to be a Buddhist; I don't think that faith offers the kind of forgiveness and rede mption that is offered by the Christian faith. So my message to Tiger would be, 'Tiger, turn to the Christian faith and you can make a total recovery and be a great example to the world."

After a moment of awkward silence, fellow panelist Bill Kristol quipped, "Well, Brit's concerned about Tiger's soul, which i s admirable, but I just made a more straightforward sports prediction, which was that he'll come back and win the Master s."

Re: Brit Hume Expresses View on Tiger Woods - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2010/1/4 12:06

Very interesting. I had that show on yesterday, but I was only remotely paying attention to it as I cleaned my kitchen. I h ate that I missed it.

Re:, on: 2010/1/4 14:10

I bet there was an awkward moment. Hat's off to Brit Hume for taking a stand for mercy in christianity. Now I wonder if h e'll have to issue an apology soon because of backlash? I hope not.

I'm going to pray that God would bless Brit for taking that stand.

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2010/1/4 18:13

Fox News, in spite of it's conservative view point, can be kinda hard towards anybody who makes a politically incorrect c omment about something that somehow exalts Christianity over another religion. Remember the last time you saw Fran klin Graham on Fox News? Probably not. He used to be a regular on there until he made a comment a few years ago t hat said Islam was a fundamentally evil religion. People got pretty upset at that comment. Billy Graham even offered a soft public rebuke to Franklin on that comment, saying he disagreed with it. To my knowledge, he's not been back since his controversial remark. Granted, Brit Hume is actually employed by Fox, whereas Graham was just a guest. But I wo uldn't be surprised if he's not at least getting lectured off to the side for his comment.

Re:, on: 2010/1/4 18:30

I agree. I like Foxnews, (even though I only axcess the website) however they are wierd on certain things.

If my memory serves me...

Matt Drudge used to be with Fox. He was going to show the famous picture of the baby hand holding onto the doctors h and. Fox wouldn't allow it. Strange, very strange.

Re: - posted by alan4jc (), on: 2010/1/4 19:06

Just google Brit Hume Tiger Woods and you can view his comment.

Re: Brit Hume Expresses View on Tiger Woods - posted by Yeshuasboy (), on: 2010/1/4 19:10

Dear brothers & sisters,

What I am about to say has been on my heart for a few weeks now. This maynot be directly tied to this thread; although, I think, it is somewhat tied indirectly concerning this man Tiger Woods.

A few weeks ago, I was on another "Christian" website where I came across a sermon titled, "The Fall Of Tiger Woods." As my eyes fell across this title, I was immediately grieved, & somewhat angered in my spirit. I didn't even check out the sermon itself; for, it seemed to me at the time, that the title said enough. I couldn't believe that that particular site could e ven let someone upload that sermon with a title like that.

Anyway, what I'm trying to get at is this:

I don't think it's very godly to criticize an unbeliever for their sins when that person doesn't know any better. I must confe ss, to my shame, I at times have done these things in my past; and, I know type these words in tears, in deep regret, kn owing that I have done so. Who is it that can help anyone live a Godly life, but God Himself... I cannot boast about anything that God has so graciously done in my life.. I am but a worm. God help me.

This man, Tiger Woods, was he not brought up by his Dad to be the best golfer in the world? To be made, from his birth, somewhat of a "golf machine?" Was, he not brought into the world with such a purpose, purposed by his parents, as this? As far as I know, he was. I do not say this to offend his family. I, myself, was brought up, since birth, to play profession al hockey....my own dad had goals & dreams for me to be thus. He, my dad, did what he thought was right. My own dad never believed in the Lord, & still doesn't, as of yet, to this day.

So, I don't think it's wise, & I am not saying this has happened here on SI, to criticize & slander a man such as this Tiger Woods. God help him! Jesus died for him too!

Maybe, I pray, just maybe, this precious site can be one of the few in this wretched, sin-abounding world, where such gr ace & love can be displayed towards this man Tiger, that if he ever were to come across this site, he would see the love of God displayed, give his life to Christ Jesus, & be made a mighty vessel of honour fit for God's purpose.

May God be glorified in all our lives. I hope this offends none here; for, if what I said has, please know it was never my in tention. I must get ready for work now. Thankyou for your precious time.

Please pray for me,

Richie

Re:, on: 2010/1/4 19:43

Yeshuas boy, Your post is good and sincere. I agree with almost all of it. I also know that I am not throwing Tiger under t he bus, He did that himself. I of course hope for his salvation.

There is one thing you said that I think is not correct, at least the way I read it. You may have meant something else.

(Quote), "I don't think it's very godly to criticize an unbeliever for their sins when that person doesn't know any better."

The part of "doesn't know any better."

Tiger does "know" that what he was doing was wrong. If he didn't think it was wrong he would have let his wife know about each and everyone of his ladies from the start.

He knew "better", he just decided to enjoy the pleasures of sin for a season.

My point is sinners, me definetly included, know better but we choose to do otherwise.

God bless

Re: - posted by Yeshuasboy (), on: 2010/1/5 13:59

The Lord bless you "Thingsabove"

I, myself, believe that if he knew that this would've blown up in his face as it did, he probably would've thought twice.... b ut, who really knows.... that, of course, didn't happen.

Many don't believe that their sin will find them out; but, however, there is a verse in the book of Numbers that says the o posite, Nu 32:23.

As far as I know, Tiger, was also introduced to his wife at a party in a Las Vegas hotel.

We must speak the Truth, but do it in love; this is biblical, and Spirit led.

Last night while at work, this came to me:

Suppose, I had a big estate; and, on that estate their was a grand, fruit tree. Now, for years I was amazed at the size an d display of this fruit tree, but it still hadn't beared any fruit. I marvelled at it because to my eye it looked wonderful. Man, I couldn't wait for it to bear fruit. It looked to me that it was a pear tree for years. I was anticipating some nice, plump pears to appear soon. After awhile longer when I gazed upon it again for the hundreth time or so, I noticed, from a distance, that it had finally beared fruit.... so, I ran with excitement up to it, & to my amazement, it didn't bear any pears at all. I looked.... there were apples everywhere! I hate apples, I thought to myself! And then I started criticizing the tree. Stupid, stupid pear tree, I said. Why did you bear pears and not apples?!

Now, who's stupid? Of course, it is I. It was an apple tree all along. It couldn't help but bear apples.

Now, this came to me last night. I believe it was a word addressed to me to show me how foolish it is for me to criticize a n unbeliever.

I remember when I was a young lad doing all kinds of stupid things; and after awhile, I hated doing those things. I didn't know how to stop. I didn't know what was wrong with me, and when I searched for answers in the world, no-one could give me any reasonable answer until the Holy Scriptures were introduced to me.

I praise the Lord!

"Have I any pleasure at all that the wicked should die? saith the Lord God: and not that he should return from his ways, and live?"- Ezekiel 18:23

Please pray for me

Thankyou for your precious time

Richie

Re:, on: 2010/1/5 15:04

Quote:	
People got pretty upset at that comment. Billy Graham even offered a soft public rebuke to Franklin on that comment, sayin	ıg he dis
greed with it.	

I know in some crowds it's almost blasphemy to say something negative about Billy Graham... but even though I have the utmost respect for the evangelism he has done, he has always proven to be spineless in some respects.

He won't speak out against the Catholic Church. He won't speak out and warn of "Christian" denominations who are now ordaining sodomites...

And in this particular case he threw his own son under the bus for speaking the truth.

Of course Islam is an evil religion. So is anything that is against God no matter how subtle.

Krispy

Re: - posted by AbideinHim (), on: 2010/1/5 15:22

Krispy said:

"Of course Islam is an evil religion. So is anything that is against God no matter how subtle."

Islam is an evil religion because Muslims are not worshipping the true God.

There are quotes in the Quaran about killing the infidels. Their goal is to convert the world to Islam by any means necce

ssary.

How many more terrorists acts will it take before our churches, government, and news media call Islam exactly what it is , "an evil religion"?

Mike

Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2010/1/5 20:05

People keep saying FoxNews is conservative...but I beg to differ.

There have been times in the past when I could not sleep at night so I would come to the computer and check a few thin gs, like the news, SI, etc. Several times I have seen ads on the FoxNews page that were pornographic but they were go ne my morning. Conservative? By whose standards? Because of it I have lost my respect for FoxNews...

On the other hand what else can one expect from worldly people? For them sin only become so when it inconveniences them.

ginnyrose

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2010/1/5 20:50

They are only conservative politically, though, not entirely. As a general rule of thumb they are.

Re: - posted by ccchhhrrriiisss (), on: 2010/1/5 23:07

Hi sister Ginnyrose...

Quote:

------Several times I have seen ads on the FoxNews page that were pornographic but they were gone my morning. Conservative? By whose standards? Because of it I have lost my respect for FoxNews...

I have rarely visited the FoxNews website, so I don't know much about their political or social leanings. However, I suppose that their advertisements might be handled via a third-party advertising company.

If I remember correctly, there were some issues with questionable advertising here on SermonIndex a few years ago. S ometimes, such advertising is often reflective of factors other than the intent of the website itself. I live in the San Franci sco Bay Area (where Satan seems to have his throne), but I sometimes visit websites that are local to Virginia, Texas, P ennsylvania and Tennessee (where our family lives). Ironically, we still see advertisements for companies that are local to the San Francisco Bay Area even in those out-of-state locations. Such advertisements are reflective to the IP location or computer user rather than the area where the website is located.

Not to defend FoxNews (or attack them either), but is it possible that some of their advertisements are handled by a third party?

Of course, FoxNews is certainly not a Christian or religious news organization. They lean conservative or libertarian (contrasted with the apparent liberal leanings of other stations). I suppose that it might help if we remember to keep them in such a context (which I am sure is reflective of the way that many of us do). Still, it might be helpful to give them the benefit of the doubt. I remember hating to see Mormon and New Age advertisements on SermonIndex (controlled by GoogleAds), but it didn't reflect SermonIndex, Greg or the moderators.

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 7:00

For any who are looking for a christian conservative newsite this one is really good.

www.cbn.com/cbnnews

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 9:02

Just to remind us. the original post was about Brit Hume proclaiming Christianity as the place of redemption and forgiven ess.

Brit took a stand on national TV in spite of Tigers great fame as one of the most idolized sports stars ever. Good job Brit

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 9:15

Yea, and Brit is paying the price for it too... he is being rediculed by the brilliant media minds out there... like Keith Olber man.

Krispy

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 9:51

Ginnyrose you wrote......

"People keep saying FoxNews is conservative...but I beg to differ.

There have been times in the past when I could not sleep at night so I would come to the computer and check a few thin gs, like the news, SI, etc. Several times I have seen ads on the FoxNews page that were pornographic but they were go ne my morning."

You are exactly right sister. Rupart Murdoch, owner of Fox News is a thououghly disreputable character. His biggest gen erator of income, prior to purchasing Fox News was "The Sun," newspaper, and I use the word newspaper very lighty. It is a poronograhic rag that is the best selling daily in Britian. Every day they have a different topless girl, commonly know n in Britain as the "Page three girs." It is a filthy rag and reflects the man. To see the woman newscasters on Fox news o ne would have to be blind to miss the common charactersistics. Its all very sad and is an indication of the people who de fend this that their philosophy overides their Christianity, the world, through Fox News, has truly invaded much of the church.

This of course has nothing to do with Brit Hume whom I know little about, apart from his philosophy.......Frank

Re: - posted by AbideinHim (), on: 2010/1/6 10:36

Fox News is the only major news network that you are going to hear the conservative side of the news. You can watch a ny of the other major news networks and you are going to get the liberal point of view. Although Fox news is far from being perfect, I thank God that they are giving us the apposing view to a corrupt administration that is now in power. Liberalism and humanism with it's anti God agenda of abortion and perversion (homosexuality) is destroying this nation.

Politics is not the answere to this nation's problems. Only God can save this nation, and if there is not another Great awa kening in this country, we will see the judgements of God come down, and America will fall.

It is vital for the survival of this nation that we elect men of integrity in positions of leadership, and that we pray, repent, a nd intercede for our country.

Blessed is the nation whose God is the LORD; and the people whom he hath chosen for his own inheritance. (Psalm 33: 12).

When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice: but when the wicked beareth rule, the people mourn. (Proverbs 29:2).

"Righteousness exalteth a nation: but sin is a reproach to any people" (Proverbs 14:34).

Mike

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 10:54

"he is being rediculed by the brilliant media minds out there" Krispy

Unfortunately 'the church' and it's brilliant minds are providing the media with plenty of fodder. What did Brit say to Ted Haggard?? To those who aren't saved, they see this in black and white and therefore as hypocrisy. They don't see it as salvation being taught but a competing viewpoint on religion... they see it as opinion and not truth. It's another 'get out of jail free card' type speech to them. When you have people like Ann Coulter telling a jewish Donnie Duetsch that 'we hav e the fast track' (to heaven) we sound like gloating opponents and not ministers of Christ. It backfires. Most people don't like 'religious proselytizing' so close to politics or media... it sounds hollow and disingenuous to them.

And let's have perspective, if Tiger were a 'christian' and a well known news anchor advised him to become Buddhist lik e themselves.. the religious right would be all up in arms over it crying foul. To us we would see that as 'opinion'.. and m any of the unsaved see Brit's remark to Tiger the same way.

Re: , on: 2010/1/6 11:50

yea.... well I try and see the glass half full.

Re: , on: 2010/1/6 11:54

"yea.... well I try and see the glass half full" thingsabove

Understood. That's why I used the word 'perspective'.

A Liberal's View of Brit Hume - posted by AbideinHim (), on: 2010/1/6 12:00

MSNBC's Shuster: Brit Hume 'Denigrated' Christianity with Tiger Woods Comment

By: Kyle Drennen

January 04, 2010 16:48 ET

During the 3PM ET hour of live coverage on MSNBC, anchor David Shuster claimed that Fox News political analyst Brit Hume "denigrated Christianity" when suggesting that scandal-ridden golfer Tiger Woods convert to the faith.

Shuster made the comments while discussing the issue with MSNBC political analyst Pat Buchanan, asking: "DoesnÂ't i t also denigrate Christianity when you do that on a Sunday political talk show? This isnÂ't church, this isnÂ't some sort of holy setting, this is a political talk show....DoesnÂ't that minimize the significance of Christianity, when you bring a discus sion of Christianity into a conversation about politics?"

Buchanan replied: "HeÂ's not denigrating Christianity....A lot of us feel that there ought to be more discussion of religion in politics and religious beliefs and whatÂ's moral and right and wrong." Shuster pressed him: "And you donÂ't think this diminishes Christianity in any way?" Buchanan shot back: "What do you think, the religionÂ's dropped a peg or two now ?" Shuster sarcastically responded: "I do think it diminishes the discussion of Christianity....This wasnÂ't the Â'700 Club, Â' this wasnÂ't Â'Theocracy Today.Â'"

ShusterÂ's fellow anchor, Tamron Hall, not only attacked Hume, but Christianity as well as she remarked to Buchanan: "Pat, do we need to run down the list, just in the past year, of so-called Christian politicians whoÂ've been accused, or in many case flat-out had to admit because they were backed up against the wall, that they had affairs and other discretion s?....is it just not good advice to do something like this, whether youÂ're Brit Hume or anyone, to hide behind a religion t hat certainly can be thrown right back at you."

Shuster later concluded the discussion by proclaiming: "...people turn to TV to get informed opinions about politics and n ot uninformed opinions about religion." Hall added: "David, we can skip church for the next two months after that segme nt."

Here is a transcript of the exchange:

SHUSTER: That comment has prompted a firestorm of criticism. And here to discuss the latest remarkable turn in our na tionÂ's discussion about Tiger Woods, Pat Buchanan is an MSNBC political analyst, two time former candidate for presi dent. Pat, is it ever, ever a wise idea for a political analyst to essentially anoint themselves somebodyÂ's spiritual advise r, denigrate that personÂ's religion, and do so on a Sunday political talk show?

PAT BUCHANAN: Well, IÂ'm a — consider myself a friend of Brit Hume and I think he was being candid and honest. An d I donÂ't know what the Buddhist religion is, but thereÂ's no doubt that Christianity is a religion of mercy and forgivenes s and itÂ's conditional, of course, upon people altering their life and being sorry for what theyÂ've done. And IÂ'm not a s piritual adviser to Tiger Woods, but-

SHUSTER: But would you ever volunteer that without being asked, I mean, it just seems-

BUCHANAN: No, well, itÂ's not done, quite frankly, religion in that terms isnÂ't really discussed. But I donÂ't Â- IÂ'm not bothered by Brit Hume doing that. I think he means well, I just heard that for the first time, basically, IÂ've read the trans cript, and he was just sort of giving some kind of personal Â- his personal thoughts on it. I donÂ't really have a problem with Brit doing that, quite frankly.

TAMRON HALL: Pat, do we need to run down the list, just in the past year, of so-called Christian politicians whoÂ've be en accused, or in many case flat-out had to admit because they were backed up against the wall, that they had affairs a nd other discretions? I mean, to the heart of what David is saying, if this is just about religion, all are flawed. IsnÂ't that w hat the Christian Bible says?

BUCHANAN: Well sure, all are flawed and all have failings, thereÂ's no doubt about it. And I donÂ't think Brit was saying

HALL: Â'And Judge not lest ye be judged.Â' I mean, I can roll them all down, but is it just not good advice to do somethin g like this, whether youÂ're Brit Hume or anyone, to hide behind a religion that certainly can be thrown right back at you.

BUCHANAN: Well first \hat{A} – well, I don \hat{A} 't think he was saying hide behind it. And I don \hat{A} 't think he was saying all Christian s are not flawed and no Christian sins. I think what he was saying is religion is a \hat{A} – I mean, Christianity is a religion of m ercy and forgiveness but it is not unconditional, things are required-

SHUSTER: But Pat, he was denigrating Buddhism in the process. And people who are Buddhist say thatÂ's simply not tr ue. I mean, look, hereÂ's a statement from somebody whoÂ's a Buddhist-

BUCHANAN: There are not a lot of Buddhists watching Fox, maybe.

SHUSTER: Right, well that may be true, but hereÂ's the reaction from one of the Buddhist bloggers today. Â'Could Hum e get away with saying something like this about Jewish people or the Muslim faith? You betcha he couldnÂ't. Why shou ld he be able to skate away Scott free when speaking about Buddhists?Â'

BUCHANAN: I donÂ't agree. Let me say, suppose you said certain things about the Muslim faith and saying that it tends to be, in a lot of areas, very intolerant of other Christ Â- of other religions. And it does. I donÂ't know the Buddhist faith, as I said, but I think this is Brit HumeÂ's view of it. I donÂ't know if heÂ's right or wrong about it. The Shinto religionÂ's a little tough on guys that had their failings, as you know, in Japan, in places like that.

SHUSTER: But why volunteer this? Why go there? Why —I mean, look, we all respect BritÂ's view, the faith works for him, it workÂ's for you, my faith works for me. But why go on a political show and anoint yourself the adviser to a celebrit y in trouble and say Â'my faith is the right one, his is a failure for himÂ'?

BUCHANAN: Because I think thatÂ's his view. And do we really want political correctness or do you want Brit Hume to t ell, when you ask him, what he thinks?

SHUSTER: Right, but-

BUCHANAN: He doesnÂ't think Buddhism-

SHUSTER: But doesnÂ't it also denigrate Christianity when you do that on a Sunday political talk show. This isnÂ't chur ch, this isnÂ't some sort of holy setting, this is a political talk show.

BUCHANAN: HeÂ's not denigrating Christianity. HeÂ's saying itÂ's a religion-

SHUSTER: By talking about it on a Sunday political talk show. DoesnÂ't that minimize the significance of Christianity, w hen you bring a discussion of Christianity into a conversation about politics?

BUCHANAN: A lot of us feel that there ought to be more discussion of religion in politics and religious beliefs and whatÂ's moral and right and wrong.

SHUSTER: And you donÂ't think this diminishes Christianity in any way?

BUCHANAN: No.

SHUSTER: Wow. Okay.

BUCHANAN: What do you think, the religionÂ's dropped a peg or two now?

SHUSTER: No.

BUCHANAN: Because of a comment-

SHUSTER: I do think it diminishes the discussion of Christianity. My Christian friends have said as much, that it diminish es the discussion of Christianity and faith when you have a conversation out-of-the-blue on a political talk show. This wa snÂ't the Â'700 Club,Â' this wasnÂ't Â'Theocracy Today.Â'

—Kyle Drennen is a news analyst at the Media Research Center.

Re: A Liberal's View of Brit Hume , on: 2010/1/6 12:05

I fear my remark about the "brilliant minds" in the media was misunderstood.

I was using sarcasm. There is nothing brilliant about Keith Olberman.

Krispy

Re: A Liberal's View of Brit Hume , on: 2010/1/6 12:29

"ShusterÂ's fellow anchor, Tamron Hall, not only attacked Hume, but Christianity as well as she remarked to Buchanan: "Pat, do we need to run down the list, just in the past year, of so-called Christian politicians whoÂ've been accused, or in many case flat-out had to admit because they were backed up against the wall, that they had affairs and other discretion s?....is it just not good advice to do something like this, whether youÂ're Brit Hume or anyone, to hide behind a religion t hat certainly can be thrown right back at you." "

This demonstrates the point I was trying to make. The so called church has provided this argument for the unsaved. W hat else do you expect the unsaved to go after on this?? Those who claim to be Christian but walk in immorality or in their gross prosperity are doing Brit Hume a huge disfavor. Listen, we can blame the unsaved all we want for this stuff but

yet again it's a refusal to take on our own responsibility that hinders this situation. Not Brit Hume, not David Schuster... 't he church'. The Babylonian world is still in church, and God has exposed many who simply honor Him with their lips. S ome of this reaction is not about Brit Hume but about the glaring hypocrisy of a church that still wants to point fingers at everyone else while their hand is in the compromising cookie jar. I believe Brit Hume is a stand up guy, I like him, but he 's not being seen as Brite Hume "a man of Christ with a heart for the lost" he's being seen as Brit Hume the man who re presents all that I described above.

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 12:36

I'll refrain from the Olberman comment. I think Keith, just as Tiger, needs our prayers. Is our battle with flesh and blood??

James 3:09-16 (New International Version)

9With the tongue we praise our Lord and Father, and with it we curse men, who have been made in God's likeness. 10Out of the same mouth come praise and cursing. My brothers, this should not be. 11Can both fresh water and salt wat er flow from the same spring? 12My brothers, can a fig tree bear olives, or a grapevine bear figs? Neither can a salt spring produce fresh water.

We can point fingers, blame, chastise with sarcasm etc... We can also pray. James said that we can't do both. I will als o say that this is probably one of the most compromised scripture by brethren and I'll include myself right there with them . At some point we have to cut the bait of 'banter' and 'conjecture and just fish. What is our highest obligation here??

Re: - posted by ccchhhrrriiisss (), on: 2010/1/6 12:59

Hi Frank...

Quote:

------Rupart Murdoch, owner of Fox News is a thououghly disreputable character. His biggest generator of income, prior to purchasing F ox News was "The Sun," newspaper, and I use the word newspaper very lighty. It is a poronograhic rag that is the best selling daily in Britian. Every day they have a different topless girl, commonly known in Britain as the "Page three girs." It is a filthy rag and reflects the man.

I didn't know that about *The Sun*. I have read articles that were linked from other websites and emails, but I have never read that particular newspaper. It almost sounds a lot like many American newspapers and their advertisements of und erwear (or worse). Of course, this "reflection" may be fleshly at best, since we don't know the extent of control over that Murdoch had over that particular paper. For instance, News Corporation also owns *The Wall Street Journal*, but the exis ting editors maintain control of the paper itself. While this would still reflect on the man himself (as the overall corporate I eader), it is possible that the idea was not his or that the magazine exerts some sort of "creative independence" (which i s a concept of local papers owned by larger media conglomerates in the United States).

Quote:

------ts all very sad and is an indication of the people who defend this that their philosophy overides their Christianity, the world , through Fox News, has truly invaded much of the church.

I'm not sure who this judgment was directed to or what it was in regard. I have known many people who watch FoxNew s who are NOT given over to any sort of philosophy. To most Christians that I know who watch that channel, they merel y see it as yet another secular "news source" and view it with the same skepticism as any of the other newspapers or ne ws programs. Perhaps it would be better to have said the same thing about news sources altogether (in general). I dou bt that it is as much about such philosophy "invading" the Church as it is about people opening the window (of the soul) and allowing it in. Of course, the same is true of gossip, contempt and other vices as well.

As for the purpose of the thread: I didn't see Brit Hume make those comments (because I have seldom watched FoxNe ws), but I am thankful for what he said as included in the initial post.

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 13:01

ccrider writes.....

"The so called church has provided this argument for the unsaved. What else do you expect the unsaved to go after on t his?? Those who claim to be Christian but walk in immorality or in their gross prosperity are doing Brit Hume a huge disf avor. Listen, we can blame the unsaved all we want for this stuff but yet again it's a refusal to take on our own responsibility that hinders this situation. Not Brit Hume, not David Schuster... 'the church'. The Babylonian world is still in church, a nd God has exposed many who simply honor Him with their lips."

Amen brother, you have hit the nail on the head. Perhaps this defence of Fox News is a perfect example of the church m ixing with the world. And I can hear the comments in reply, "yes but our worldy station is better than their worldy station." My My, is this what it has come to? It does not seem to bother most people that Rupurt Murdoch makes most of his mon ey from a pornographic rag, this is simply overlooked. Or that most of the female tv anchors on Fox are blonde and dres s very provocatively.

I have a pastor friend who could testify that half of his church watch this channel for endless hours, but he cannot get the m into a prayer meeting for five minutes. Its all very sad. As if we needed more proof, but the world has cetainly invaded the church. And the world cannot tell us apart from them:(.....brother Frank

Re: - posted by ccchhhrrriiisss (), on: 2010/1/6 13:07

Hi Frank...

Quote:	
	Perhaps this defence of Fox News is a perfect example of the church mixing with the world.
	<u>.</u>

Maybe I missed something: Who is defending Fox News?

Re:, on: 2010/1/6 13:12

"Perhaps this defence of Fox News is a perfect example of the church mixing with the world" appolous

Hi appolous. My statement about the church was neutral in that regard. My statement was simply a rebuke of the church itself... however it may or may not be 'mixed'. I won't defend or support any secular news outlet. I haven't watched the news for over a year now. There are plenty of filthy rags to go around in this country... filth doesn't discriminate over ide ology or biased leanings.

Re: , on: 2010/1/6 14:12

"filth doesn't discriminate over ideology or biased leanings." I would say amen to that:)Frank