C Mttp://www.sermonindex.net/

# **General Topics :: Elders--Married Only?**

# Elders--Married Only? - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/4/30 17:55

I have a question about the qualification of Elders (and deacons).

sermon index

In 1 timothy 3:2 and Titus 1:6 it seems to say that an elder should be married and have children.

1 Tim 3:4-5 also says, "...one who rules his own house well, having his children in submission with all reverence (for if a man does not know how to rule his own house, how will he take care of the church of God?)..."

So I have heard the idea that this can't possible mean that the elder must be married because Paul and Jesus would not have qualified as elders. However, I don't see anywhere where Paul or Jesus actually fulfilled the role of elder (and Jes us is head of the Church, so I don't think it matters, since He is God.) Paul planted churches and appointed (or had som eone else appoint) elders in the churches. I can understand that church-planting would be a separate function from elde rship. I can also see that a man who plants a church could become an elder in that church if he qualifies. I can also see that a single man who plants a church would relinquish "control" (and that is the wrong word, but the best one I can think of, since we all know that the Lord controls the churches) to an eldership.

Am I wrong in my thinking? What has the Lord shown yall?

# Re: Elders--Married Only?, on: 2014/4/30 18:48

married.

# Re: - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2014/4/30 19:01

Paul functioned as an Apostle and did not necessarily act as an Elder in local assemblies I believe. He appointed Elders though.

Our Lord did speak of eunches for the kingdom of God. As well brother Paul was single and did wish all men where singl e or at least acted in part like it.

I do see exceptions where brethren could function as an Elder being single or widowed. But as a rule having a physical f amily helps one to be able to handle the spiritual family of God.

# Re: , on: 2014/4/30 19:06

I think in 1 Timothy it speaks of an elder who must manage his household well. The idea being an elder who can take ca re of this house can shepard the spiritual house of God. This would seem to convey the idea the elder should be marrie d.

Wisdom would suggest that a married elder would be better in counseling on family matters. Particularly in marital issue s.

Of course these are only my thoughts.

Blaine

# Re: Elders--Married Only? - posted by proudpapa, on: 2014/4/30 19:52

That is the wisdom of the Scriptures.

I have thought alot about a recent controversy in which a certain leader claimed that he was so busy pouring his life out i nto the ministry that he never had the time to marry.

Rather or not the accusations against him be true or not, The position that he held needed to only be held by a married man whom could have a wife by his side while counceling those of the opposite sex, both for there protection as well as his.

# Re: Elders--Married Only? - posted by savannah, on: 2014/4/30 20:44

"In 1 timothy 3:2 and Titus 1:6 it seems to say that an elder should be married and have children."

What it seems to say, and what it says, is no real difficulty at all.

If you'll read through all the qualifications in both Timothy and Titus you will note that each one is descriptive not of the m an's status but of his character, with the exception of 'not a new convert'.

# Re: Elders, on: 2014/4/30 23:35

Can a married woman become an elder ? Whats your thoughts

# Re: Elders--Married Only? - posted by Sree (), on: 2014/5/1 1:08

We have an elder in our Church who does not have Children but mighty in deeds and word. He says God has given him so many Children though the Church!

I sincerely believe that if there were 2 brothers who are worthy to be appointed as elder then prefer the one who is marri ed. It is wisdom not law as stated by others. Most problems in a Christians life surfaces after marriage. Married life show s us how selfish we are. Hence someone who has gone through the same as us can have a father's heart to consul us.

Regarding women being an elder the answer is NO. Women are not given authority in Church. They can prophesy but n ot have authority over other brothers in Church. It is just a role given to men alone just like women are given the role to b e mother who can bear a Child. Roles does not determine equality. In new covenant there is no difference between man and women in terms of importance or equality.

Nothing against women but I find them generally women when they are given authority even in workplace they cannot h andle it. They get tensed. Men have this nature of sucking up pressure and remaining cool but most women cannot do it. They cannot handle pressure in management more than certain extent. I am yet to see a women who can be considered a cool manager who does not crumble under pressure and remains calm.

# Re: - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/5/1 10:53

I had a good discussion about this with a man at my church. We thought it would be way too easy for the Holy Spirit thr ough Paul to say, "IF the man has a wife" or "IF the man has children then this is how his character needs to be in regar ds to that"...etc.

We also discussed how God puts each member of the body in place as He sees fit, and if God was preparing a man to b e an elder, then He could easily provide him with a wife and children and bring the man to the point in which he could fulf ill that role.

Also, we agreed with Brother Greg that a man who is a godly husband and goldy father would have learned skills from th e Lord that would be absolutely useful in dealing with the children of God and dissenters--in love.

I haven't nailed it to the ground yet, but that seems to me what a plain reading of the passage is saying.

### Re: - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2014/5/1 11:56

Quote:

-----Paul functioned as an Apostle and did not necessarily act as an Elder in local assemblies I believe. He appointed Elders though.

-----

I just read 1 Peter 5:1 in which Peter calls himself a "fellow Elder" so I wanted to take back the statement quoted above, Paul the Apostle was probably an "Elder" too?

#### Re: - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/5/1 13:21

Peter was an elder in Jerusalem for sure. He also was married for sure. Paul never settled in a place like Peter did. He was a missionary to the day of his death.

#### Re: - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/5/1 13:27

The apostle john also called himself an elder in his epistles. Was he married?

# Re: - posted by lovejt (), on: 2014/5/1 22:36

I've heard someone say Paul was an 'Elder of elders'. The Holy Spirit will witness to a disciple that this person is or is no t an elder/leader. That is what to look for ultimately.

# Re: Elders--Married Only? - posted by twayneb (), on: 2014/5/1 23:03

I think it is important that we do not accidentally apply our own idea of what an elder is to the biblical text. Bishop and el der are used interchangeably in the new testament to mean an overseer, or one who oversees the flock. Apostle, proph et, evangelist, pastor, teacher are all ministry gifts to the body to grow the body into maturity. Paul was an elder, and als o an apostle. Some elders functioned as ministry gifts to the body. All were to minister to the body. But I do not see a r equirement of marriage. Only that elders with families must rule them well. I agree though that elders are to be men.

# Re: - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/5/2 10:25

1 Corinthians 9:5 shows that Paul was unmarried but the other apostles were married. However, still not definitive proof.

I definitely lean more toward the side of needing to be married. I do think it was too simple to put "if" in the greek

# Re: - posted by twayneb (), on: 2014/5/2 11:24

Havok, I will ask a related question for consideration in this question. Does this mean that an elder not only has to be m arried, but also has to have children? If you read the text, we find he must have his children in subjection. If we accept t hat Paul is saying marriage is a requirement, then to be scripturally consistent we must also accept Paul as saying that c hildren are a requirement.

# Re: - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/5/2 11:56

To be perfectly clear, I am not saying I am sure of either one, but a simple reading of the text shows that an elder ought t o be married, have children, and rule his household well--his children need to be faithful, not accused of dissipation or in subordination.

I am asking, because the people at my church are beginning to discuss what a biblical church looks like including: struct ure, character, purpose, etc.

My pastor meets all of the qualifications (whether we are being strict or lenient on the definition of the qualifications). Bu t, as we move forward, I am hoping that we seek the bring every stitch of what we do into submission to Jesus Christ. N ot just to be doctrinal for doctrines sake, but because I believe that we ought to be faithful in the small things as well as t

he major things.

# Re: - posted by twayneb (), on: 2014/5/2 12:32

havok: I totally understand brother. I asked the question because it helps us think about the question in another light. I am in total agreement with a church that has a desire to come into alignment with the word of God in every area. We S HOULD do this. I am not really sure that the Bible is clear on what governmental structure is required except that the bo dy should have elders that meet Biblical qualifications overseeing the flock and that the body needs apostles, prophets, evangelists, pastors, and teachers for the sake of bringing the body into maturity. All five of these are elders, but not all elders function in one of these five rolls.

I have real concerns with some of the leadership structures that I see in the American church, primarily the top down, C EO style of leadership structure that is so common with its clergy / laity distinctions. And yet this structure is not always bad, and I have seen some very healthy churches that function under this model. Ultimately, every local fellowship will g o the general direction of the leadership in that fellowship. Bad leadership = problems and flakiness. Good leadership, t hat is Biblical leadership will result in a stable, growing, solid, mature body that will in turn produce more stable, Biblical I eaders.

# Re: - posted by havok20x, on: 2014/5/2 12:57

"And yet this structure is not always bad, and I have seen some very healthy churches that function under this model."

That's what makes the Lord so awesome in this regard. He didn't make it so that if we were not totally knowledgeable or we were immature in an area, that the entire rest of it would collapse. That gives us room to grow spiritually and seek th e Lord. Or else, how would a brand new Christian or brand new fellowship of believers have any hope?

Now, I am not saying we should walk in disobedience, but it takes the pressure off of us to get everything right--especiall y for those of us who have the tendency to think that we gotta get it all right before the Lord will use us or move in our he arts. I am learning day by day, it takes a move in our hearts to get us to the point that we see that apart from Jesus Chri st we can do nothing and that only by his grace can we grow.

I like what Leonard Ravenhill said: 'On the other hand, remember there is no finality to the Christian life this side of eter nity. While we are in the flesh, we "press toward the mark for the prize of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus." '

# Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2014/5/2 22:08

Eusibius declares that the Apostle Paul was married - quoting from Clement's writings. The fact Scriptures is silent on th is would indicate it was irrelevant to the preaching of the Gospel.

Source: Ecclesiastical History by Eusibius Pamphilus. Chapter XXX: "The Apostles that lived in marriage.

# Re: - posted by brothagary, on: 2014/5/3 7:42

iv always understood that paul was making a distingtion between some one who was the husbane of one wife and a ma n who had been divorced wrongly in his past and still tecnicly being the husbane of two or more wives,,,this being unex ceptable for eldership due to the past rearing its ugly head in many different ways

i dont think the scriptures are silent on paul ,,i under stand paul said that he and barnabass were the only ones who did not have a wife paull was verry clear in more then one verse that he had no wife ,,when writing certaint leters