

**General Topics :: You wont believe this!!!!!!!!!!!!****You wont believe this!!!!!!!!!!!! - posted by e-mail (), on: 2006/12/29 21:57**

I was watching some videos of Billy Graham on you tube. Their was a video agains Billy, so I decided to watch it to see what it was about. Their is a "chruch or group" that is like totally against Billy Graham and calling him a false teacher!!! I couldn't believe it. So I went to their website. I looked around and they had a list of people who they call false teachers.

Their is a long list Just to name a few: Keith Green, Charles Spurgeon, John F. MacArthur, Ray Comfort and of course "The early Church fathers".

I know it sounds horrible. Please give feedback once you have checked it out but don't believe the lies!!!

This is the website [www.atruechurch.org](http://www.atruechurch.org)

God Bless yall.

**Re: You wont believe this!!!!!!!!!!!!, on: 2006/12/29 22:13**

Looks like this guy is preaching your classic WORKS BASED CHRISTIANITY, intermingled with FEAR so that he can control his followers. Everyone is a false teachers BUT HIM.

J-bird

**Re: You wont believe this!!!!!!!!!!!! - posted by TrueWitness, on: 2006/12/29 23:49**

Here is a link to a webpage that exposes all the lies and falsehoods of this cult:

<http://www.atruecult.info/dfishfaq.htm>

**Re: - posted by JGB321, on: 2006/12/30 15:09**

While they appear to be hyper-Calvinists, the main theme of what they are saying (trying to expose false teachers) is str aight forward and Biblical. However, I wish to point out the following from their statement of faith, which needs to be high lighted:

“God the Father ...is the supreme authority in all that exists.”

Suggests quite clearly that the Father is superior to the Son, which is not Biblical theology, when dealing with classical C hristology.

“He choose to hate the rest of mankind and destine them to hell for eternity” (Matthew 7:13-14; Romans 9:6-23).

Matt. 7 and Rom.9 are not ideal verses to cite when trying to prove this hyper-Calvinist position (which isn't Biblical eith er.) Nothing is Matt. 7 suggest God's sends anybody on the wide-road, so it is incorrect to say otherwise. Rom. 9, alwa ys a favourite with Calvinists alike, should be cross-referenced with Malachi 1. In this passage, God is speaking about Hi s love for ONE nation (Jacob) and His hatred for another nation (Esau.)

For more information about Jacob & Esau, which is always a favourite for Reformed folks, may I suggest my following ar ticle on Calvinism: <http://www.excatholicsforchrist.com/index.php?PageURL=Calvinism%20Examined.htm>

“one must love the Lord Jesus, have been baptized in His name.”

What about Matt. 28:19? Acts of the Apostles, when people are baptised in the name of Jesus, means identification with Him. For example, the name of Jesus is synonymous with His authority. The correct method for baptising people today, i s FATHER, SON and HOLY SPIRIT. (Please also note Acts of the Apostles is a trans-testimonial period, so its never too wise to build doctrines there, but probably in the Epistles.

**General Topics :: You wont believe this!!!!!!!!!!!!**

**Re: Be careful, brethren., on: 2006/12/30 18:38**

So, this reply of mine may be a little off-base, and it is by no means intended to offend, but this thought occurred to me while reading through this thread and the links therein:

Does this nearly qualify as gossip?

We're talking about a group of ..well, can you call them believers? I don't know. Either way, they're humans, and we're talking about them behind their backs, and not speaking edification, at that. How many of us have done something in the way of contacting one or more of these people in hopes of exhortation?

Hebrews 3:13 says But exhort one another daily, while it is called To day; lest any of you be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin.

These people may be deceived by the enemy, but are we not? We're speaking of them, but have we made any effort ourselves to exhort them? They mean well, I'm sure, even if intention means little. But is there blood not on our hands?

Ezekiel 3:18 says When I say unto the wicked, Thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life; the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at thine hand.

If nothing else, would it not be best to warn each other of this cult and speak little more of it?

No offense intended here. Remember that this is just a thought... and I suppose a warning to my brethren to be careful that this thread doesn't go too far.

-Amy

**Re: - posted by HopeinChrist, on: 2006/12/30 21:49**

I have looked at this website before. There is a young girl who is a member of an elist that I participate in. The theme of the group is not biblical although it comes up now and then. She always has a tag for this website in her signature. I have thought of corresponding with her privately but have never followed through. Pray that God would give me the right words to say to her.

Thank you,  
Hope

**AMEN Hope - posted by BereanMinded, on: 2006/12/31 2:08**

I read the whole site, he exposes some pretty prevalent errors, but he judges everybody, as damned. This Darwin Fish guy believes the HOLY Spirit is a female, and that the father GOD has a body of flesh (he says that "GOD is Spirit" is only a partial description)

Anyway I felt very sad for two weeks after reading it all, I was convinced that the church is messed up, but sister I do not think being quiet, and not talking about these things is better, because it prevents gossip. If he teaches, and condemns publicly, he is publically accountable. Besides this is critical stuff to search the scriptures, and fulfill Psalm 119:24

**Re: - posted by SeanHobson (), on: 2006/12/31 12:33**

Quote:  
-----Does this nearly qualify as gossip? We're talking about a group of ..well, can you call them believers? I don't know. Either way, they're humans, and we're talking about them behind their backs, and not speaking edification, at that. How many of us have done something in the way of contacting one or more of these people in hopes of exhortation? Hebrews 3:13 says But exhort one another daily, while it is called To day; lest any of you be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin. These people may be deceived by the enemy, but are we not? We're speaking of them, but have we made any effort ourselves to exhort them? They mean well, I'm sure, even if intention means little. But is there blood not on our hands?  
-----

**General Topics :: You wont believe this!!!!!!!!!!!!**

I too just yesterday rediscovered this church's website. I was also tempted to post it here on sermon index, but did not. Not because I feared the sin of gossip, but I was too lazy to make the thread :-)

Nevertheless, if you go to their website they have a documentary where you can watch a lengthy video of them sharing what they believe (and are rather good at it too). I believe the video shows how human this congregation is, and their defense of what they believe is truth. We ought not to hang these poor fellows on the gallows for all Israel to laugh and mock at, when we ourselves probably in our immaturity thought the same thoughts.

God giving us a clearer light of the truth, we ought to admonish them as brothers and not enemies; in love reason with them out of the letter of God's word.

Quote:  
-----Isaiah 6:8 Also I heard the voice of the Lord, saying, Whom shall I send, and who will go for us? Then said I, Here am I; send me.  
-----

Whoever answers to the latter of this verse, please give tidings of the matter afterwards that we might see to our edification.

**Darwin fish - posted by DoulosQuinn, on: 2006/12/31 20:58**

I think Darwin, was hurt badly by people who were "leaders" that forgot that leading means to wash feet, instead a couple of them were showing Darwin just how much control they really had, I think Phil is going to answer for his part in this,

As for Darwin he is in hot water, I am grieved to read his site. He obviously was serious about Jesus Christ but was "lorded over" not lovingly reasoned with, or taken seriously. I see he has pointed out some bad things any serious Christian wouldn't want to overlook, but once men have reached some level of power without accountability they do their best to keep it, even "denying the LORD that bought them" it's funny that verse comes to mind, because MacArthur says Peter was being sarcastic when he wrote it (Whatever!) that why I wouldn't attend Masters seminary.

**Re: Darwin fish - posted by BereanMinded, on: 2007/1/1 1:54**

What does he mean by saying Peter was being sarcastic?