Quote:

ould not.

Scriptures and Doctrine :: In Regards to the concept of "Total Deprvity"

In Regards to the concept of "Total Deprvity", on: 2007/4/23 9:11

In Romans 3 it speaks of man's sinful nature, this spoken of a specific group of men, as a result of, in Chapter 1, he-man didn't want to retain God in his thinking: Romans 1:21 (KJV) Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not a s God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. This would ind icate that man, before "as it is written", knew God and obeyed Him, howbeit in varying degrees.

So my question is where in any of Paul's writings has it that man couldn't return to God. I rather read that he would not, not that he could not.

Re: In Regards to the concept of "Total Deprvity" - posted by Christinyou (), on: 2007/4/23 9:26

Genesis 6:4-8 There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown. An d GOD saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart w as only evil continually. And it repented the LORD that he had made man on the earth, and it grieved him at his heart. And the LORD said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the cre eping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them. But Noah found grace in the eyes of the LORD.

------So my question is where in any of Paul's writings has it that man couldn't return to God. I rather read that he would not, not that he c

Re: In Regards to the concept of "Total Deprvity", on: 2007/4/23 10:18

I think that is the idea behind moral depravity.
Know one (or so I think), not even Paul, is saying that man (in his fallen state) has this desire to obey God, but cannot fin d the strength to obey. Fallen man chooses to reject God, because he is corrupt and condemned in Adam.
As Paul states, we were all enemies of God. Children of disobedience. Condemned under the law, not because we love d God's law and could not obey it, but because we knew God's law (in come capacity) and chose not to obey it.
We were all in need of a righteousness that was not our own. Perfect obedience.
Re:, on: 2007/4/23 10:35
Quote:Know one (or so I think), not even Paul, is saying that man (in his fallen state) has this desire to obey God, but cannot find the stren gth to obey
But why couldn't he find the strength, God didn't make him that way. Many knew Him, . Therefore, man brought we what are calling "Total Depravity", upon himself. Quote:
That's too broad a statement to make, don't you think? Not all "fallen men" made that decision. Some came to Him and s

I believe, Romans 1, when Paul is talking about men knowing God, he is talking about man seeing God in creation, being able to know that there is a

God in creation and yet still rejecting Him
There is a revelation of God in creation. Not of Christ, but of the fact that there is a creator, and yet even with that revelation men (all men) do not glorif y Him.
Enoch, Noah, Abel, Abraham, Jacob, Moses, David, to name but a few?

Quote:I think you are correct. According to the scripture, man did bring total depravity upon himself. Adam disobeyed God and through his disobedience, sin and death came upon all men
Indeed, Sin did but not man's sin's he commits of his own freewill, for which he is responsible for.
Quote:According to Jesus, the only reason anyone comes to God is if God himself draws them.
Well, if you desire to rip that from context, you might have point. However, context proves that to be incomplete, doesn't it?
Re:, on: 2007/4/23 12:49
Quote:Enoch, Noah, Abel, Abraham, Jacob, Moses, David, to name but a few?
Please explain?
Quote:
Please explain? How is that taken out of context?

Re:, on: 2007/4/23 13:11

You have your questions in reverse order.

Enoch, Noah, Abel, Abraham, Jacob, Moses, David, to name but a few?

Obviously these men weren't depraved or unrighteous. Therefore, all men weren't.

Having to do with God drawing men to Jesus:

Quote:
Well, if you desire to rip that from context, you might have point. However, context proves that to be incomplete, doesn't it'
Please explain? How is that taken out of context?
·

Very simply, Jesus said: "I am the way, truth and the life, no one comes to the Father but by me". So which is it? And is Jesus speaking of the issue of man's depravity, redemption or becoming a son; becoming intimate with the Father per J ohn 17?

John 6:43-45 (ASV) 6: Jesus answered and said unto them, Murmur not among yourselves. No man can come to me, e xcept the Father that sent me draw him: and I will raise him up in the last day. It is written in the prophets, And they shall all be taught of God. Every one that hath heard from the Father, and hath learned, cometh unto me.

John 14:3-11 (ASV) 14: And if I go and prepare a place for you, I come again, and will receive you unto myself; that whe re I am, there ye may be also. And whither I go, ye know the way. Thomas saith unto him, Lord, we know not whither tho u goest; how know we the way? Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, and the truth, and the life: no one cometh unto the Father, but by me. If ye had known me, ye would have known my Father also: from henceforth ye know him, and have s een him. Philip saith unto him, Lord, show us the Father, and it sufficeth us. Jesus saith unto him, Have I been so long ti me with you, and dost thou not know me, Philip? he that hath seen me hath seen the Father; how sayest thou, Show us the Father? Believest thou not that I am in the Father, and the Father in me? the words that I say unto you I speak not fr om myself: but the Father abiding in me doeth his works. Believe me that I am in the Father, and the Father in me: or els e believe me for the very works' sake.

Re:, on: 2007/4/23 13:47



Where do you find that these men were not, at one time, unrighteous.

The scriptures that Paul uses to declare that,

"there is none righteous, no not one, come from the Old Testament. He is quoting the Old Testament when he makes that conclusion.

Then he explains how Abraham, an unrighteous man, became righteous. By faith in a promise.

Again, where do you find that those men were not, at one time, unrighteous.

Re:, on: 2007/4/23 14:41
Quote:
Mahoney wrote:
Quote:Enoch, Noah, Abel, Abraham, Jacob, Moses, David, to name but a few
Where do you find that these men were not, at one time, unrighteous.
In light of how they are presented us and their association with God, why would you presume to believe they were ever unrighteous?
Quote:
The scriptures that Paul uses to declare that, "there is none righteous, no not one, come from the Old Testament. He is quoting the Old Testament when he makes that conclusion
To be specific, he is quoting David from Ps.14 and 53 and David was speaking of the condition of the people who once having explained that to you, where does it say those who Paul or David speak of could not return to God had they cho sen to do so? After all, that is what God was desiring of them.
Quote:Then he explains how Abraham, an unrighteous man, became righteous. By faith in a promise.
You use only Abraham as your example. Who told you Abraham was unrighteous? Where is it written that he was? Where does say that Abraham believed God simply and only because of a promise made to him?
Quote:
Again, where do you find that those men were not, at one time, unrighteous.
Speak of where they were and lets not presume on what is NOT written. Lets let the Bible say what says, ok?
Re: - posted by JaySaved, on: 2007/4/23 15:10
Welcome back Ormly.
Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2007/4/23 15:16
Quote:You use only Abraham as your example. Who told you Abraham was unrighteous? Where is it written that he was? Where does say that Abraham believed God simply and only because of a promise made to him?
Was he righteous before he was reckoned righteous?

Re:, on: 2007/4/23 15:34
Out to
Quote:
PreachParsly wrote:
Quote:You use only Abraham as your example. Who told you Abraham was unrighteous? Where is it written that he was? Where does sa
that Abraham believed God simply and only because of a promise made to him?
Was he righteous before he was reckoned righteous?
Was Noah righteous before God chose him to build the Ark?
Was Noah highleous before God chose him to baild the Ark:
Re:, on: 2007/4/23 15:35
Ourts
Quote:
JaySaved wrote: Welcome back Ormly.
Thanks Jay. I'd like to share some stuff I believe to be helpful in our journey. Hope you all don't beat me up too much. :-(
Re: - posted by JaySaved, on: 2007/4/23 15:50
Ormly, I gladly welcome you back and I pray that we would all be encouraged in our discussions. I would love to hear sometime about all God has done in you and through you during your time away. Also, what lead you to come back to possible to be a sometime about all God has done in you and through you during your time away.
st at Sermonindex again.
God Bless.
Re:, on: 2007/4/23 16:09
JaySaved wrote:
Ormly, I gladly welcome you back and I pray that we would all be encouraged in our discussions. I would love to hear sometime about all God has done in you and through you during your time away. Also, what lead you to come back to
post at Sermonindex again.
God Bless.
If I spoke of it as a blog I'm Sure it would be received as arrogance. Suffice to say God has been gracious to me to my u
nderstanding of the priviledge we have in Him that has been sidetracked by the enemy who seeks to keep us bound up with the single issue of redemption; making heaven to be the goal.
It is not.

But relax, I am not here to correct anyone...just maybe a litle adjustment. ;-)

Re: - posted by roaringlamb (), on: 2007/4/23 16:19

Brother Ormly, glad to have you back. I hope neil sees that you are back as well, as I know he was saddened to see you leave.

Blessings to you.

Re:, on: 2007/4/23 17:03

Thanks Roaring. ...and likewise to you.

Re:, on: 2007/4/23 19:22

What makes anyone believe that no one could be righteous after the fall of Adam. His disobedience didn't strip him of his ability to be righteous. What it did was strip him of a righteousness he possessed that would have eventually allowed him entrance into the literal presence of God; his own Mt. Transfiguration experience, if you will. In that regard, because of his disobedience, his own human righteousness would now always be as filthy rags.

Entrance into God's Holy presence demands righteousness equal to Himself. Adam gave away his ever being able to do that...... Enter the man, Jesus....the second Adam.

Re: - posted by Christinyou (), on: 2007/4/23 21:25

2Ch 24:19 Yet he sent prophets to them, to bring them again unto the LORD; and they testified against them: but they would not give ear.

2Ch 33:10 And the LORD spake to Manasseh, and to his people: but they would not hearken.

Jer 13:11 For as the girdle cleaveth to the loins of a man, so have I caused to cleave unto me the whole house of Israel and the whole house of Judah, saith the LORD; that they might be unto me for a people, and for a name, and for a praise, and for a glory: but they would not hear.

Mat 23:37 O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent unto thee, how often wou ld I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under wings, and ye would not!

Luk 13:34 O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent unto thee; how often would I have gathered thy children together, as a hen her brood under wings, and ye would not!

1 Corinthians 1:29-31 That no flesh should glory in his presence. But of him are ye in Christ Jesus, who of God is made unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption: That, according as it is written, He that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.

Christ is our only righteousness, He that is in us makes us son's of the Father.

1 Peter 1:23-25 Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which liveth and abid eth for ever. For all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man as the flower of grass. The grass withereth, and the flower thereof falleth away: But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached un to you.

In Christ: "No other way to the Father"

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 5:21

Quote:

Christinyou wrote:

2Ch 24:19 Yet he sent prophets to them, to bring them again unto the LORD; and they testified against them: but they **would not** give ear.

2Ch 33:10 And the LORD spake to Manasseh, and to his people: but they would not hearken.

Jer 13:11 For as the girdle cleaveth to the loins of a man, so have I caused to cleave unto me the whole house of Israel and the whole house of Judah, saith the LORD; that they might be unto me for a people, and for a name, and for a praise, and for a glory: but they **would not** hear.

Mat 23:37 O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent unto thee, how often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under wings, and ye **would not!**

Luk 13:34 O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent unto thee; how often would I have gathered thy children together, as a hen her brood under wings, and **ye would not!**

You have offered up a few "would not" verses but I don't read any "could not" verses.

Your other verses are N/A.

Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/24 6:55

Quote:
------Was Noah righteous before God chose him to build the Ark?

I see that this was a response to a question about Abraham, so let's return to him...

"For if Abraham were justified by works, he hath whereof to glory; but not before God. For what saith the scripture? Abraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness. Now to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness."

(Rom 4:2-5 KJVS)The whole point of this illustration is to show that God justifies the 'ungodly' and that righteousness was 'reckoned' to Abraham and not achieved by him.

Justification is the judge's declaration of 'righteous' in respect of the charges against him.

Why would there be any need to 'reckon' Abraham as righteous if he had achieved 'righteousness'. The 'reckoned' right eousness would be superfluous.

It is recorded of Noah...Heb. 11:7 By faith Noah, being warned of God of things not seen as yet, moved with fear, prepar ed an ark to the saving of his house; by the which he condemned the world, and became heir of the righteousness which is by faith. ... which is again specifically declared to be, not the righteousness of achievement, but the righteousness whi ch is by faith'.

Re: In Regards to the concept of "Total Depravity" - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/24 8:50

Acts 13:37. But he, whom God raised again, saw no corruption.

- 38. Be it known unto you therefore, men and brethren, that through this man is preached unto you the forgiveness of si ns:
- 39. And by him all that believe are justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses.
- 40. Beware therefore, lest that come upon you, which is spoken of in the prophets;
- 41. Behold, ye despisers, and wonder, and perish: for I work a work in your days, a work which ye shall in no wise belie ve, though a man declare it unto you.

Romans 8:1. There is therefore now no condemnation to them which are in Christ Jesus, who walk not after the flesh, b ut after the Spirit.

- 2. For the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me free from the law of sin and death.
- 3. For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son in the likeness of sinfu I flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh:
- 4. That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit.
- 5. For they that are after the flesh do mind the things of the flesh; but they that are after the Spirit the things of the Spirit
- 6. For to be carnally minded is death; but to be spiritually minded is life and peace.
- 7. Because the carnal mind is enmity against God: for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be.
- 8. So then they that are in the flesh cannot please God.

Hebrews 3: 12. Take heed, brethren, lest there be in any of you an evil heart of unbelief, in departing from the living Go d.

- 13. But exhort one another daily, while it is called To day; lest any of you be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin.
- 14. For we are made partakers of Christ, if we hold the beginning of our confidence stedfast unto the end;
- 15. While it is said, To day if ye will hear his voice, harden not your hearts, as in the provocation.
- 16. For some, when they had heard, did provoke: howbeit not all that came out of Egypt by Moses.
- 17. But with whom was he grieved forty years? was it not with them that had sinned, whose carcases fell in the wildern ess?
- 18. And to whom sware he that they should not enter into his rest, but to them that believed not?
- 19. So we see that they could not enter in because of unbelief.

Hebrews 9:1. Then verily the first covenant had also ordinances of divine service, and a worldly sanctuary.

- 2. For there was a tabernacle made; the first, wherein was the candlestick, and the table, and the shewbread; which is called the sanctuary.
- 3. And after the second veil, the tabernacle which is called the Holiest of all;
- 4. Which had the golden censer, and the ark of the covenant overlaid round about with gold, wherein was the golden p ot that had manna, and Aaron's rod that budded, and the tables of the covenant;
- 5. And over it the cherubims of glory shadowing the mercyseat; of which we cannot now speak particularly.
- 6. Now when these things were thus ordained, the priests went always into the first tabernacle, accomplishing the service of God.
- 7. But into the second went the high priest alone once every year, not without blood, which he offered for himself, and f or the errors of the people:
- 8. The Holy Ghost this signifying, that the way into the holiest of all was not yet made manifest, while as the first tabern acle was yet standing:
- 9. Which was a figure for the time then present, in which were offered both gifts and sacrifices, that could not make him that did the service perfect, as pertaining to the conscience;

Ormly, nice to meet you. Is this what you are looking for?

Blessings,

Forrest

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 9:02

Quote:
ohilologos wrote:
Quote: Was Noah righteous before God chose him to build the Ark

I see that this was a response to a question about Abraham, so let's return to him...

Â"For if Abraham were justified by works, he hath whereof to glory; but not before God. For what saith the scripture? Abraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness. Now to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness.Â"

(Rom 4:2-5 KJVS)The whole point of this illustration is to show that God justifies the 'ungodly' and that righteousness was 'reckoned' to Abraham and not achieved by him.

Justification is the judge's declaration of 'righteous' in respect of the charges against him.

Why would there be any need to 'reckon' Abraham as righteous if he had achieved 'righteousness'. The 'reckoned' righteousness would be superfluous

It is recorded of Noah...Heb. 11:7 By faith Noah, being warned of God of things not seen as yet, moved with fear, prepared an ark to the saving of his house; by the which he condemned the world, and became heir of the righteousness which is by faith. ... which is again specifically declared to be, not the righteousness of achievement, but the righteousness which is by faith'.

Which is unto salvation that only God can impart and not the righteousness evoked from Abraham by/because of his beli eving from his life experiences, that lead to the eventual impartation of the righteousness of God.

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 9:08

Yes, Forrest, The "could not's" were the result of the "would not's"

Nice to meet, too.

Many times God said, "if you are willing......"

Re: Total Depravity - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/24 9:30

And so many still will not, mores the pity!

Anyway, will not is a refusal, can not is an inability, and that was built in once Adam and Eve ate the wrong fruit.

Oh, for a glorified body...I wish I didn't have to wait, and that Adam hadn't been hungry that day.

Blessings,

Forrest

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 9:44

Quote:
----UniqueWebRev wrote:

And so many still will not, mores the pity!

Anyway, will not is a refusal, can not is an inability, and that was built in once Adam and Eve ate the wrong fruit.

Oh, for a glorified body...I wish I didn't have to wait, and that Adam hadn't been hungry that day.

Blessings,

Forrest

But that is the error, isn't it, that we assume that it was built in and then build a whole doctrine upon that assumption. In li ght of scripture, in the whole, it can't be seen as something as a built in. We need to see this and begin the view the Bibl e in fresh light. Re-read my first and show where what I stated was in error. When you have then re-read all the letters of Paul keeping all this in mind.

Re: Total Depravity - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/24 10:19

Ormly,

We are talking about the sin principle, that Adam and Eve were changed from their former glorious state, chased out of the Garden of Eden, themselves and their descendants cursed for the disobedience they did in eating the fruit that was forbidden, the earth cursed to be less productive.

Adam and Eve, compared to us, were already in a glorified state, in which they could walk and talk with God, which pres umes the ability to even see Him!

And what a fall the Fall was. Look around you. You will see the inbuilt weakness towards sin in the youngest children.

And I have read Romans, and studied it, it being my favorite book in the Bible, for it explains Matthew, Mark, Luke and J

ohn better than they do themselves.

We don't assume that we were cursed from Paul. It's in Genesis!

So is the transfer of dominion of the earth from Adam to Lucifer, while Christ took it back on the Cross!

Why do you look in Romans for what is so plainly stated elsewhere? Are you not complicating your own road? For no as sumption was made by anyone in Genesis. It is plainly stated.

One needs no doctrine for what is already written plainly and simply.

Blessings,

Forrest

Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/24 10:36

Quote:

Excuse me?

How can righteousness be evoked?

What does 'believing from his life experiences' mean?

How could 'believing from this life experiences' 'lead to the eventual impartation of the righteousness of God'?

Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 11:18

Quote:

------What makes anyone believe that no one could be righteous after the fall of Adam. His disobedience didn't strip him of his ability to be righteous.

What is righteousness?

The problem is that humanity tries to create a sense of righteousness apart from God. Righteousness is simply what Go d says is right. Whatever God says.....that is righteousness. It is God's choice.

Let me explain. When God created everything, afterward He "saw that it was good." Six times in Genesis, we see this sa id: Gen 1:4, 10, 12, 18, 21, 25. This means that God created with a particular purpose in mind, and when He was finishe d, He saw that His purpose was complete. Hence, God was pleased. He saw that it was good in His eyes.

To illustrate, let's say that you sat down to draw a tree. In your mind, you have a particular image of what a tree looks lik e. You then try to draw it on paper. If what you have drawn is exactly what you wanted it to be, then you would be very pl eased with your drawing.

However, this statement was never said of humanity. God did not see that humanity was good or pleasing. Why? Becau se, the purpose God had for humanity was not yet complete. God wanted Adam and Eve to eat from the tree of life. Whe n that happened, then God would have found them to be pleasing. The choice was left up to them.

If you notice, God never told them about the other tree. He did not command them to eat from it. Instead, He wanted the m to eat of it willingly. If God had told them the benefits of eating off this tree, then they would have eaten from it for the wrong reason. They would have sought the tree of life for benefits. If God would have commanded them, then they would be forced to eat from it. They would not be choosing to eat from it willfully, by their own choice.

For example, my wife never tells me to go out and buy her flowers. She loves flowers and wants them. But, she wants m

e to do this willingly. If she tells me, then she feels the whole moment is spoiled. She wants me to show my love willingly

We know from John 17:3 that eternal life is knowledge of God. From the beginning, God desired an intimate relationship with us where we would be united as one in spirit to Him. That is what the tree of life represents. It is marriage to God. H owever, did we choose God? Did we willfully seek to know God in truth?

Instead, we ate from the tree of knowledge. We did not seek to know God intimately, we sought to develop a sense of righteousness apart from God.

Let us go back to the idea of righteousness and goodness. To be righteous is to be good. To be righteous or good mean s that we are pleasing in the eyes of God. This is expressed fully when Jesus was baptized in Matt 3:17 (KJ), "This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased." The Father was saying, "This is my righteous and good son." Jesus would als o later clarify in Matt 19:17 that only God is good.

What does this mean?

This means that righteousness and goodness are relative to the person who establishes what righteousness or goodnes s is. When we ate from the tree of knowledge, we established our own sense (or interpretation) of what righteousness a nd goodness are.

For example, you might eat a bowl of strawberry ice cream and say, "That is good" because you find it pleasing to you. Another person who is allergic to strawberries says, "that is bad" because they find it displeasing. Or...here is another ex ample: a person might say that eating only vegetables is good because they find it pleasing to their own sense of good a nd evil. Another person, however, may say that eating only vegetables is bad because they find it displeasing to their own sense of good and evil.

However, as you and I know, it doesn't matter what humanity thinks is good or evil, because God says what is good and evil. If God says we should only eat vegetables, then only eating vegetables is good. Whatever is pleasing to God, not to ourselves, is what establishes righteousness.

The problem, of course, is that in eating of the tree of knowledge, our nature is to try and establish our own righteousnes s apart from God. We like to say, "This is right and this is wrong" based upon our own senses (feelings, thoughts, wisdo m, perception)....rather than seeking God.

The Sabbath is a perfect example of this problem that Jesus had to address on several occasions. The Sabbath was commanded by God in the Ten Commandments. God made this law. Behind the command was God's intention and purpo se. He had a reason for giving the law. When we read the law, we must interpret this intention. Some people take this law to be exact or precise. Under no conditions or circumstances are we to work on the Sabbath. In this, they take a literalist interpretation, and thus, rely on their own understanding. They do not seek God's will in the matter. They don't seek to know God or His purpose behind the law. Instead, they take the law at face value so that they manipulate it for their own end. This becomes apparent when Jesus faced this situation.

Mark 2:27-28 (NIV) Then he said to them, "The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath. So the Son of Man is Lord even of the Sabbath."

Notice what Jesus says. The Sabbath was made for man. The law was made for man. The law was created to serve man, not rule man. Instead, God (who is called Lord) is to rule man. We were created for God, not for the law. This means that the law cannot make us righteous. The law is subject to our own understanding of it. However, God is not. God is Lord.

The problem is that we want to make ourselves righteous based upon own idea of what righteousness is. Man wants to t ell God what is righteous. Man uses the law to His own advantage to tell God, "Hey, I obeyed the law, so now I am righteous." But God gave us the law to show us that we are not God.

To be good or righteous is to be god. Only God is good. The whole point is to prove to man that we are not God. Instead , we are separated from God. We cannot be righteous, because we cannot be godly apart from God. We need to be unit ed as one with God so that God Himself is our righteousness.

Let's rewind for a moment back to the garden. When Adam and Eve ate from the tree, they didn't just disobey God. They made a choice to be separated from God. They chose to do things their way. The temptation was that Eve would be like God meaning that she would be independent. She could decide for herself what was right or wrong. She wouldn't have to obey God or do things His way. She could be her own person with her own ideas.

When Adam and Eve sinned, they were separated from God. They fell from glory as Paul says in the Romans. To fall from glory means they were changed. They became something that God did not recognize.

When God created Adam and Eve, He had a particular purpose for them. He wanted them to be a particular way. Howe ver, Adam and Eve chose to be something else. They were created in the image of God. After they sinned, they no long er resembled the image of God. Adam and Eve were glorious creatures, unashamed. Afterward, they saw they were nak ed. They were naked because God's glory no longer clothed them. They fell from glory. They were separated from God.

They had become nothing but dust. From dust we were created and to dust we returned.

This means that anyone born from Adam and Eve is born in this same condition. We are born as a fallen creature. We a re born displeasing to God. We do not look anything like what God had intended for us to be.

It would be the same as if you set your heart on making beautiful earthen pottery. Let us say you began by making a wo nderful bowl. It was striking and very pleasing. The only thing left was to fire it in the kiln. However, inside the kiln, the bowl cracked and broke apart. Your intention was that the bowl would be gloriously beautiful. However, the bowl became a useless lump of clay.

When you understand this condition, you begin to see that we are hopeless. Not only have we been born into sin, but we sin adding sin upon sin. Yes, we are born in state of sin. On top of this, we continue to disobey, adding sin upon sin. We can never be righteous. We cannot please God. No way, no how.

We need to be born again. We need to be transformed. On top of this, we need God Himself to be righteousness for us because we have no righteousness of our own.

When you understand all this, then and only then, will you ever fully appreciate Jesus Christ. I am not righteous and nev er will be. I am nothing but dust. However, because Christ is united as one with me, because of Him, I am glorious. I am pleasing to God. I am righteous because Christ who is righteous is my husband.

No man can reveal this to you. This truth that I have just presented can only be revealed to a person by God Himself. I c ould write pages upon pages and you would never understand. I pray, therefore, the Lord would reveal this to you. May Christ impart this to you so that you may see yourself in true light.

In Christ, Blake

edit: added this...

Romans 7:18 (NAS)

"For I know that nothing good dwells in me, that is, in my flesh; for the willing is present in me, but the doing of the good is not."

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 11:24

Quote:
----UniqueWebRev wrote:
Ormly.

We are talking about the sin principle, that Adam and Eve were changed from their former glorious state, chased out of the Garden of Eden, themselve s and their descendants cursed for the disobedience they did in eating the fruit that was forbidden, the earth cursed to be less productive.

How were they changed in that those after them were both righteous and unrighteous? How do you account for those w ho overcame their self natures and those who didn't, the some who didn't eventually winning out, leaving only Noah.
Quote:Adam and Eve, compared to us, were already in a glorified state, in which they could walk and talk with God, which presumes the a bility to even see Him!
I believe your comparison is a bit overly done. However, since not much is there for either of us to speak of in that regar d I would not argue the point. Just hope you aren't saying they were in a glorified or divine state.
Quote:And what a fall the Fall was. Look around you. You will see the inbuilt weakness towards sin in the youngest children.
That can be rectified by God when the devil is recognized as the one who comes to the child as the serpent to Eve; i.e., something from the outside and not from within. Innocence is what attracts the enemy of the/our soul. Father to the son; Father's in Christ to their child-son's is what is needed to curb and instruct, to tutor and succour in the ways of themselve s.
Quote:And I have read Romans, and studied it, it being my favorite book in the Bible, for it explains Matthew, Mark, Luke and John better t han they do themselves. We don't assume that we were cursed from Paul. It's in Genesis!
I would rather you say we live under a curse. It would make better sense of the Bible. The curse needs better explaining as to what all it entailed and more importantly, what it did not entail. Greater distinctions must be made in this. Lets tog ether try and make some. It could be very interesting and quite rewarding.
Quote:So is the transfer of dominion of the earth from Adam to Lucifer, while Christ took it back on the Cross!
Then why is not realized except man is ignorant of what all Jesus Christ accomplished for him.
Quote:
Not in the least is it explaned in Genesis or anywhere else that man could not return or respond to God. From the beginn ing, Abel did. Romans does NOT support the notion man couldn't, but rather wouldn't, placing himself into such a state t hat God brought down His judgment, many times. From the reading, Paul states that man did not retain God in his thou ghts. What does that say except God was once in their thoughts and they depreciated Him in their thinking. They did so and some still do as Adam did, by giving in to their self-nature. Who brought that temptation to Adam and who continue s to bring it to this day, both to the unredeemed and the redeemed? Leaving the issue of the curse aside, what needed to be crucified in Adam that still needs to be crucified in us?

-----One needs no doctrine for what is already written plainly and simply.

Indeed, you are correct. Simply try a different perspective, get away from redemption, though necessary, as being the core of the gospel and it will read like a new book.
Blessings,
Forrest
Ps. Is Forrest you real name?
Re:, on: 2007/4/24 11:27
Quote: philologos wrote:
Quote:
Excuse me? How can righteousness be evoked? What does 'believing from his life experiences' mean? How could 'believing from this life experiences' 'lead to the eventual impartation of the righteousness of God'?
Why did God choose Abraham?
Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 11:34
Quote:How were they changed in that those after them were both righteous and unrighteous?
There is only one who is holy, one who is good, one who is pleasing to God, one who righteousand that is the one an d only Son of God.
Romans 3:10(NIV) As it is written: "There is no one righteous, not even one;
In love, Blake
Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 11:43
Quote:Simply try a different perspective, get away from redemption, though necessary, as being the core of the gospel and it will read like a new book.
Dear Ormly,

I know you were not addressing me, but what you said is of no small matter. Indeed if you try a different perspective the

bible will read like a new book, because you will be hearing what you want to hear, and not what God is saying.

My friend, Christ came for only one purpose. He came to save what was lost. His name means salvation. In Matthew 1:2 1(NIV), it says, "She will give birth to a son, and you are to give him the name Jesus, because he will save his people from their sins."

There is no other gospel than that of redemption, and no other truth apart from Jesus Christ.

Again, I pray Christ will show this to you as I certainly cannot.

In love, Blake

Re:. on: 2007/4/24 11:49

Beenblake, Why don't you just be a good reader for awhile, ok?

Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 11:54

Quote:		
No	t in the least is it explaned in Genesis or anywhere else that man could not return or respond to Go	od.

Genesis 3:22 (NIV)

And the Lord God said, "The man has now become like one of us, knowing good and evil. He must not be allowed to rea ch out his hand and take also from the tree of life and eat, and live forever."

The tree of life, which is eternal life, which is knowledge of God, was blocked off. We could not in our own power return t o God. We were forbidden.

John 8:34-35 (NIV)

Jesus replied, "I tell you the truth, everyone who sins is a slave to sin. Now a slave has no permanent place in the family, but a son belongs to it forever."

A slave has no permanent place in the family. A slave cannot make him or herself part of the family. Only Jesus, the Son , belongs to God forever. Only Jesus can bring a person to God.

John 10:1, 9

"I tell you the truth, the man who does not enter the sheep pen by the gate, but climbs in by some other way, is a thief an d a robber." ... "I am the gate; whoever enters through me will be saved. He will come in and go out, and find pasture."

Jesus clearly acknowledges that a person cannot by their own power enter the gate leading to God. Jesus is the gate. He is the only way to enter. This means that a person cannot return or respond to God any other way than salvation through Jesus Christ.

I could list many, many more scriptures. I am sure others will give you more. Jesus said Himself in John 3:3, "You must be born again." If we can return or respond to God by our own power, then why would we need to be born again? If we can save ourselves, why would we need a new birth where we are changed?

In love, Blake

Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 11:55

n.

Quote:Beenblake, Why don't you just be a good reader for awhile, ok?
If that is what you desire. I was just trying to help.
God bless, Blake
Re:, on: 2007/4/24 12:17
Quote:
beenblake wrote:
Quote:Beenblake, Why don't you just be a good reader for awhile, ok?
If that is what you desire. I was just trying to help.
God bless, Blake
How can you be helping me or anyone when you take issue without a good reading for understanding, from what is writt en?
I ask youWhat were my words you read concerning redemption?
Let my ask the question: What Christ have still come if Adam had not transgressed?
Re: In Regards to the concept of "Total Deprvity" - posted by InTheLight (), on: 2007/4/24 12:40
Quote:
The Bible does indeed say that unregenerate man knows God (as you have pointed out in Romans 1:21) but it also says that they do not know Him (see 1 Cor 2:14, 15:34, 1 Thess 4:5, 2 Thess 1:8, 1 John 4:7-8). So, it seems that in some se nse knowledge of God is universal and in some sense it is not. Clearly some distinctions need to be made. I believe it is significant that the passage in Romans 1:18-32 states that there is a revealed truth to all mankind that inclu
des "His eternal power and Godhead" (v. 20) among other things but it does not state that this revelation in nature comm

So, God's revelation is inescapable, but, apart from special revelation and the saving grace of God, people exchange this truth for lies and are wicked and enemies of God. Only God's grace turns that enmity into friendship, unto Him be hono

unicates the way of salvation. It seems that Paul believes that this additional revelation of the way of salvation comes thr ough the preaching of the gospel (see Romans 10:13-17). So it appears there is general revelation and special revelatio

r and glory for ever and ever, amen!
In Christ,
Ron
Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 13:08
Quote:How can you be helping me or anyone when you take issue without a good reading for understanding, from what is written?
If I am reading you wrongly, then I apologize. However, from what you are stating, you are suggesting that man did not f all from glory, but that we choose to sin. Hence, you are saying that we are not slaves, and that if we choose, we could e asily obey God. You are suggesting that all we must do is deny our sinful nature. Is that true? Or am I reading you wrong ly?
If this is what you are saying, then I ask you to really consider it. If our nature is to sin, then to deny our sinful nature is o nly to deny the truth. We are sinful, not because we commit sinful acts, but because our being is sinful. A dog barks because it is a dog. A sinner sins because he or she is a sinner.
When God redeems us and we are born again, then our being is changed. Our nature is no longer to sin. We are righted us because of what God has done. He changed our being.
A sinner fights against his her sinful nature. They are in a constant battle with sin. They struggle trying to keep themselv es from sinning. A person who has been saved has a new nature. They are a new being. Such a person doesn't have to fight against sin inwardly. Their nature is like God's nature. They act righteous naturally. Obedience is not a struggle or a fight, instead, it is a joy.
Anyone who is fighting against sin is a sinner. They are a slave to sin. They have to battle against the sinful nature for vi ctory. Sad thing is, they will never win.
When Christ sets a person free, they don't fight with sin anymore. They produce good fruit. As you know, fruit grows off a vine. This means that our good works grow off Jesus Christ who lives in us. This means that we don't fight to do good or fight against sin. We only fight against the Devil and this world. However, our inward nature is at peace. There is no fight. We are made complete in Christ.
Do you agree with this or not?
In love, Blake
edit

I just wanted to add that I have experienced both sides. I have battled against my sinful nature trying to be righteous. Wh en I realized that I could not do it, then I was saved.

There is an awesome quote by Watchman Nee about this in His book called "The Normal Christian Life":

I was once staying in a place in China with some twenty other brothers. There was inadequate provision for bathing in the home where we stayed, so we went for a daily plunge in the river. On one occasion a brother had cramp in one leg, an d I suddenly saw he was sinking fast, so I motioned to another brother, who was an expert swimmer, to hasten to his res cue. But to my astonishment he made no move. So I grew desperate and called out: Â'DonÂ't you see the man is drowning?Â' and the other brothers, about as agitated as I was, shouted vigorously too. But our good swimmer still did not mov e. Calm and collected, he remained just where he was, apparently postponing the unwelcome task. Meantime the voice of the poor drowning brother grew fainter and his efforts feebler. In my heart I said: Â'I hate that man! Think of his letting a brother drown before his very eyes and not going to the rescue!Â'

But when the man was actually sinking, with a few swift strokes the swimmer was at his side, and both were safely asho re. When I got an opportunity I aired my views. Â'I have never seen any Christian who loved his life quite as much as yo u doÂ', I said. Â'Think of the distress you would have saved that brother if you had considered yourself a little less and hi m a little more.Â' But the swimmer knew his business better than I did. Â'Had I gone earlierÂ', he said, Â'he would have clutched me so fast that both of us would have gone under. A drowning man cannot be saved until he is utterly exhauste d and ceases to make the slightest effort to save himself.Â'

Do you see it? When we give up the case, then God will take it up. He is waiting until we are at an end of our resources and can do nothing more for ourselves. God has condemned all that is of the old creation and consigned it to the Cross. The flesh profiteth nothing! If we try to do anything in the flesh we are virtually repudiating the Cross of Christ. God has d eclared us to be fit only for death. When we truly believe that, then we confirm GodÂ's verdict by giving up all our fleshly efforts to please Him. Our every effort to do His will is a denial of His declaration in the Cross of our utter worthlessness. Our continued efforts are a misunderstanding on the one hand of GodÂ's demands and on the other hand of the source of supply.

of supply.
Re:, on: 2007/4/24 13:12
Quote:
InTheLight wrote:
Quote:
Quote:The Bible does indeed say that unregenerate man knows God (as you have pointed out in Romans 1:21) but it also says that they or not know Him (see 1 Cor 2:14, 15:34, 1 Thess 4:5, 2 Thess 1:8, 1 John 4:7-8). So, it seems that in some sense knowledge of God is universal and it some sense it is not. Clearly some distinctions need to be made.
If all that applied I might comment on it, However, it doesn't. Staying with Romans 3, where does it say they couldn't return to God. Where does it say hey couldn't be righteous for God.
Por on 2007/4/24 12:15
Re:, on: 2007/4/24 13:15 And I apologize to you Blake. I was short and it was not necessarynot yet anyhow :-)
That appliegize to you Blake. I was offer and it was not necessary
Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 13:20
Quote:
Hey Ormly,

I have a feeling you might have missed my long post on page three. Just checking.

I hope you know that I write this all in the sincerity of my heart seeking to help. I know words cannot express the tone of our voices and sometimes may be misleading.

In love, Blake

cause he or she is a sinner.

Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 13:26
Quote:And I apologize to you Blake. I was short and it was not necessarynot yet anyhow
Thanks my friend.
I appreciate your honesty. I am not offended if you ask me to remain silent. Sometimes I do need to listen better.
Blake
Re:, on: 2007/4/24 14:08
Quote:
beenblake wrote: If I am reading you wrongly, then I apologize. However, from what you are stating, you are suggesting that man did not fall from glory,
I am asking you to think with me.
Man never fell from glory, however, he did disqualified himself, and us, from ever entering into it. He was suppose to enter a process whereby he would have become as Jesus becamea divine-human.
Quote:but that we choose to sin. Hence, you are saying that we are not slaves, and that if we choose, we could easily obey God. You are suggesting that all we must do is deny our sinful nature. Is that true?
yes.
Quote:Or am I reading you wrongly?
No, you aren't, albeit the details need to be discussed as how all this is to be worked out according to what the gospels reveal to us as "The way". If we can find agreement on the broad picture the more narrow picture come to life. I promise, none of this will violate your conception of redemption but rather give more meaning it other than holding on til heaven, what some might call the "white knuckle club".
Quote:
To the contrary, to deny ourself, our emotions, solicitations to pleasure that keep us financial indebt perhaps, because we love God, is to grow in the character of God. Satan loves debt, doncha know.
Quote:

Not so. Many in scripture were blameless before God. Job being the one we may know best.
Quote:When God redeems us and we are born again, then our being is changed.
How so is it changed with denying yourself? Get what I am saying? We are given from God to change unto Him. See 1 Pet 1.
Quote:Our nature is no longer to sin.
No so again. You must mean our new nature doesn't sin because it can't. It is the very Life of Jesus Christ. However, wi h the new nature it is given that our old nature will be crucified. You know, the one that desire to do it's own thing and drag you down with it.
Having said that it would be well to point out sin no longer need seperate us from God because of the cross of Jesus. If we sin now we have one who sits on the right hand of the Father making interession on our behalf. However, sympathy with ourself is what will, and does, seperate us from God.
Quote:
Nope. God does not do anything to change our righteousness. We change our righteousness because we love Him and we want to be righteous for Him "that others will see our good works and glorify God" The reason we Love Him is because He wants us to become as He is, that many son's be brought into Glory as Jesus His only begotten was See John 17. "In that Day you will ask Me nothingBut in my name, the Father will give it to you" That day is now.
You are speaking of the Righteous of Christ that has redeemed us which we could never produce in and of ourselves. T wo different righteousness' being spoken of here.
Quote:
But a sinner doesn't fight against sin. There is no warfare.
Quote:A person who has been saved has a new nature. They are a new being. Such a person doesn't have to fight against sin inwardly. Their nature is like God's nature. They act righteous naturally. Obedience is not a struggle or a fight, instead, it is a joy.
Have you ever met such a one? "Few there be that that enter". I badly desire to be one. Don't you?
Anyone who is fighting against sin is a sinner. They are a slave to sin. They have to battle against the sinful nature for victory. Sad hing is, they will never win.
I don't know such a one.
Quote:When Christ sets a person free, they don't fight with sin anymore.
Though they don't have to fight against sin, that's when the battle just begins. The Devil wants you tied to yourself.
Quote:They produce good fruit. As you know, fruit grows off a vine. This means that our good works grow off Jesus Christ who lives in us. This means that we don't fight to do good or fight against sin. We only fight against the Devil and this world. However, our inward nature is at peace. There is no fight. We are made complete in Christ.

No, Been, I believe our "self" is our biggest enemy; our biggest problem, especially when we sympathize with it. The "wo e is me" syndrome.

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 14:30

On topic:

If there is evidence from scripture to support the doctrine that man could, but rather would not choose God, doesn't it se em reasonable to assume that God's Grace can be resisted?because it surely was throughout the bible.

Re: - posted by JaySaved, on: 2007/4/24 15:18

Brother Ormly, in regards to saving grace being resisted or not, what do you think Paul would have said concerning his o wn experience?

Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/24 15:45

Dear Ormly,

I am sorry my friend, but I see that we do not agree. I will leave you with this one challenging thought.

Please do not take offense to this. The philosophy that you are speaking of sounds much like Buddhism. They also believe that the self is the key problem. They believe our "self" is our biggest enemy.

But this isn't the case with Christ. For the golden rule says, "Love your neighbor as yourself." If I deny myself, then how can I love my neighbor as myself?

What you don't see is that the problem is not with the self, but the self without God as Lord.

God created humans for Him. We were created for God. However, we did not want to serve God. Our sin is that we sought another Lord to replace God. Indeed, one of these Lords is our "self." However, there are many false Lords, including the Devil, that is our problem. The "self" is only one of these.

Adam and Eve did not listen to God. As such, they did not obey or heed His authority. They rebelled. In doing so, they left the Kingdom of God (the rule of God) and were from then on ruled by other things. Humanity was separated from the dominion of the creator.

One of the problems that comes with the sinful nature is that we are deceived into thinking we can rule ourselves. As such, we are deluded to believe we can have self-control. People who want such "self-control" try to be righteous by controlling themselves. As such, they are Lord over themselves.

The teaching you are proposing sounds much like this. It suggests that we should have complete control over ourselves by denying ourselves. As such, we become Lord or master of our "self."

However, in Luke 9:23 (NIV) Christ said, "If anyone would come after me, he must deny himself and take up his cross daily and follow me."

Indeed, Christ said we must deny ourselves AND take up our cross. We must be crucified with Christ. The key thing Jesus said here is "follow me." We deny ourselves, not so we can rule ourselves, but so that Jesus can rule over us. Jesus said, "Believe in me" which means we trust Jesus more than ourselves. The Father said, "This is my Son, listen to Him." We call Jesus Christ our Lord because we loving submit to Him and His authority.

The question is: Have you made Jesus Christ your Lord? Does He rule over your "self"...over all of you?

Or do you fight with your "self"? Do you battle with your "self" trying to be something you are not?

If the "self" were righteous, then there would be no battle. The "self" would not be our enemy, it would be righteous.

However, if the "self" is our enemy, if we constantly have to fight with it, this means we are trying to be something we are not. This means, we need to be changed and born again.

We deny ourselves, not so we can conquer our own nature, but that we may give it to Jesus so He can give us a new "self." By doing this, Jesus Christ becomes our Lord. He becomes the one who conquers our nature. He becomes the one who gives us victory over sin. He becomes our righteousness. By this, we have done nothing in our own power. Instead, God is the one who works in us.

There is nothing wrong with the new "self" that is united as one with Christ. The "self" that is crucified to Christ has been raised to new life. The resurrected "self" is entirely righteous because it is dominated by Christ. The new "self" is controlled by the Spirit when a person is born again.

Have you not heard Paul speak about this in Romans? He speaks about becoming a "new creation." Some bible translations even translate it a "new self."

The point is this, if a person has been born again, and they have a new "self" from God, then this new "self" is just like the resurrected Christ. There is nothing wrong with Christ, and there is nothing wrong with the resurrected "self."

Quote:	
ndrome.	-No, Been, I believe our "self" is our biggest enemy; our biggest problem, especially when we sympathize with it. The "woe is me" sy

I do not mean to be arrogant, but I do not experience this anymore. Since I have been saved, I do not fight with myself. Every day, I relinquish all control over to Jesus. He then takes complete control. There is no fight, no war, just a continu al pursuit of God's will. As a result, I am filled with the joy of God.

If you actually knew me in person, you could see this is true. I wish you could. However, all I can do is encourage you.

My friend, we could debate this for years. All I can say is this....if you struggle with the "self", then try giving up self-contr ol. Pray to Jesus Christ and ask Him to take control and transform you so that you don't have to fight with yourself. Make Him your Lord. If you do this, Christ will change you, and you will see this is true.

Otherwise, we are just debating over words.

I say this in love, May Christ be ours,

Blake

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 15:53

There is a true doctrine of total depravity and there is a false doctrine of total depravity.

The false view of total depravity is that of inability. That man is totally inable to do anything but sin, that man does not ha ve a free-will.

The true view of total depravity is that sinners do have free-will, but use it wrongly in everything. None of their choices are e supremely for God or neighbor, but all of their choices are for themselves. Total depravity in this sense is that a sinner is totally selfish.

So they are totally depraved in their intention, not in their ability. They are totally depraved when their heart is not right, when their intention is selfish.

Likewise, entire sanctification is when a persons heart is right, when they daily choose to serve God and neighbor instea d of living selfishly.

Both sin and righteousness involves the whole personality. You cannot be partly sinful and partly righteous, because bot

h involve the whole personality, both involves the application of all our faculties towards a single end.

The view that we can be partly righteous and partly sinful at the same time presupposes that all sin and righteousness c onsists in executive outward actions. But sin and righteousness are not merely extended to outward executive actions, but sin and righteousness are inward intentions of the heart, they are both states of the will.

(Hense the pharisees did outwardly righteous things, but were inwardly full of iniquity, because they did them with selfish motives or selfish intentions in their will)

Sin is when a person's end in which they are living for is themselves. Righteousness is when a persons end in which the y are living for is God's glory and the well-being of all.

All choices respect ends and means. And since a person cannot have two opposite ends at the same time, a person is a t any given moment totally depraved or entirely sanctified. But they cannot be somewhere in between because there is n othing in between.

Here is a chart I made on it that serves as a visual aid. It's also good to look up the scriptures listed on the chart: http://www.openairoutreach.com/gallery/adobe/SanctificationDepravity3?full=1

Re:, on:	2007/	4/24 1	5:59
----------	-------	--------	------

Quote:

JaySaved wrote:

Brother Ormly, in regards to saving grace being resisted or not, what do you think Paul would have said concerning his own experience?

Which one? or which part?

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 16:03

Quote:

Lazarus1719 wrote:

There is a true doctrine of total depravity and there is a false doctrine of total depravity.

The false view of total depravity is that of inability. That man is totally inable to do anything but sin, that man does not have a free-will.

The true view of total depravity is that sinners do have free-will, but use it wrongly in everything. None of their choices are supremely for God or neighb or, but all of their choices are for themselves. Total depravity in this sense is that a sinner is totally selfish.

So they are totally depraved in their intention, not in their ability. They are totally depraved when their heart is not right, when their intention is selfish.

That can never be a blanket statement in fact or truth.

Simeon, Anna, Elizabeth, Zacharia, Mary, Joseph, etal, adnausm......David who had a heart after God. Need more be said that what you say is error?

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 16:13

Quote:	in regards to saving grace being resisted or not, what do you think Paul would have said concerning his own experience?

Here are Paul's own words:

"I was not disobedient unto the heavenly vision". Ac 26:19.

This implies that he could have been disobedient. Just as others:

"But the Pharisees and lawyers rejected the counsel of God for themselves" Luke 7:30

"Ye stiffnecked and uncircumcised in heart and ears, ye do always resist the Holy Ghost: as your fathers did, so do ye." Acts 7:51

A person can either obey or rebel against the light that is revealed, against the knowledge that God gives them. See Joh n 3:19

Quote:	That can never be a blanket statement in fact or truth	
Simeon, Anna, r?	Elizabeth, Zacharia, Mary, Joseph, etal, adnausm	David who had a heart after God. Need more be said that what you say is erro

I am not saying a person is born morally depraved. I am saying that a person is a sinner who chooses to be selfish. But a person is a saint who chooses to be benevolent, to love God and neighbor. Many throughout history have chosen to be benevolent instead of selfish.

My whole point was the all choices respect ends and means. Sin is choosing the end of self-gratification or personal hap piness. Righteousness is choosing the end of God's glory and the well-being of all. And since you cannot have two opposite ultimate ends, a person is either entirely sanctified or totally depraved.

Re:, on: 2007/4/24 16:21

Sorry, but I don't get what it is you are agreeing with.

Re: - posted by Christinyou (), on: 2007/4/24 22:57

Jhn 6:44 No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day

The word draw has the connotation of to drag.

Jhn 6:65 And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Fa ther.

It takes the spiritual wind of of being born again unto a new live in Christ to bring any man to Jesus. No man would, coul d or even has the capacity to reach for God. It takes the Father Himself to drag any man to the feet of Jesus, and even at that, kicking and screaming, no, no, no I can do it myself, just see how all the others came to you of their own goodne ss and Killing your own Son, Jesus Christ was not necessary, we are our own gods and we can do it on our works. So t hank you very much God but you really did not have to do it.

No man is righteous or could ever be or ever will be on his own. Total deprivation is absolutely and without fault the who le of man.

Praise God, His foolishness is so much greater than the wisest of men. Christ in us is truly the only Hope of Glory, for there is no hope in ourselves.

Galatians 2:12-21 For before that certain came from James, he did eat with the Gentiles: but when they were come, he withdrew and separated himself, fearing them which were of the circumcision. And the other Jews dissembled likewise w ith him; insomuch that Barnabas also was carried away with their dissimulation. But when I saw that they walked not upri ghtly according to the truth of the gospel, I said unto Peter before them all, If thou, being a Jew, livest after the manner of Gentiles, and not as do the Jews, why compellest thou the Gentiles to live as do the Jews? We who are Jews by nature, and not sinners of the Gentiles, Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus C hrist, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the I aw: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified. But if, while we seek to be justified by Christ, we ourselves also are found sinners, is therefore Christ the minister of sin? God forbid. For if I build again the things which I destroyed, I make myself a transgressor. For I through the law am dead to the law, that I might live unto God. I am crucified with Christ: nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ liveth in me: and the life which I now live in the flesh I live by the faith of the Son of God, who loved me, and gave himself for me. I do not frustrate the grace of God: for if righteousness come by the law, then Christ is dead in vain.

It is All God, man can do nothing to attain any righteousness, even Enoch and Elijah, God did it all, Who's fiery chariot w as it anyhow? Enoch did not walk with God on Enoch's terms, but Gods.

Now perfect In Christ, not on my terms but God's in Christ Jesus by His work: Phillip

Re: - posted by rookie (), on: 2007/4/25 1:21

Brother Jesse wrote:

Quote:The true view of total depravity is that sinners do have free-will, but use it wrongly in everything. None of their choices are supreme y for God or neighbor, but all of their choices are for themselves. Total depravity in this sense is that a sinner is totally selfish.

Sorry to keep following you in a sense...but this caught my eye....

You wrote..."Total depravity in this sense is that a sinner is totally selfish."

Is the "lie" that Lucifer promotes the energy behind why we become selfish?

In Christ Jeff

Re:, on: 2007/4/25 5:35

Quote:

Christinyou wrote:

Jhn 6:44 No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day.

The word draw has the connotation of to drag.

Jhn 6:65 And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.

It takes the spiritual wind of of being born again unto a new live in Christ to bring any man to Jesus. No man would, could or even has the capacity to r each for God. It takes the Father Himself to drag any man to the feet of Jesus, and even at that, kicking and screaming, no, no, no I can do it myself, j ust see how all the other came to you of their own goodness and Killing your own Son, Jesus Christ was not necessary, we are our own gods and we can do it on our works. So thank you very much God but you really did not have to do it.

No man is righteous or could ever be or ever will be on his own. Total deprivation is absolutely and without fault the whole of man. Praise God, His foolishness is so much greater than the wisest of men. Christ in us is truly the only Hope of Glory, for there is no hope in ourselves.

Galatians 2:12-21 For before that certain came from James, he did eat with the Gentiles: but when they were come, he withdrew and separated himse If, fearing them which were of the circumcision. And the other Jews dissembled likewise with him; insomuch that Barnabas also was carried away with their dissimulation. But when I saw that they walked not uprightly according to the truth of the gospel, I said unto Peter before them all, If thou, being a Jew, livest after the manner of Gentiles, and not as do the Jews, why compellest thou the Gentiles to live as do the Jews? We who are Jews by nature, and not sinners of the Gentiles, Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified. But if, while we seek to be justified by Christ, we ourselves also are found sinners, is therefore Christ the minister of sin? God forbid. For if I build again the things which I destroyed, I make myself a transgressor. For I through the law am dead to the law, that I might live unto God. I am crucified with Christ: nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ liveth in me: and the life which I now live in the flesh I live by the faith of the Son of God, who loved me, and gave himself for me. I do not frustrate the grace of God: for if righteousness come by the law, then Christ is dead in vain.

It is All God, man can do nothing to attain any righteousness, even Enoch and Elijah, God did it all, Who's fiery chariot was it anyhow? Enoch did not walk with God on Enoch's terms, but Gods.

Now perfect In Christ, not on my terms but God's in Christ Jesus by His work: Phillip

That is an opiinion based on commentary. No where in the bible can anyone find where man is incapable of turning to G od except by the hardness of his heart brought on by his own rebellious choices that God, after repeated attempts to con vince him otherwise, would then turn him over to serve Him in the evil way.

Since this an establiahed fact, supported by scripture, it shouldn't have to be continually rehashed.

One other note: Mis-representing another's words, in my estimation, is a Satanic device intended to make man a hopele ss -hapless victim, incapable of getting out of his own way..

Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/25 9:17

Quote:	
Why	did God choose Abraham?

I presume you have this verse in mind...Â"For I know him, that he will command his children and his household after him, and they shall keep the way of the Lord, to do justice and judgment; that the Lord may bring upon Abraham that which he hath spoken of him.Â"

(Gen 18:19 KJVS)...and have not seen that almost all other versions correct the verse to read..."For I have known him, to the end that he may command his children and his household after him, that they may keep the way of Jehovah, to do righteousness and justice. To the end that Jehovah may bring upon Abraham that which he hath spoken of him."(Gen 18:19 ASV)

"For I have known him, in order that he may command his children and his household after him, that they keep the way of the LORD, to do righteousness and justice, that the LORD may bring to Abraham what He has spoken to him."" (G en 18:19 NKJV) The KJV could be interpreted as meaning God had chosen him 'because'... but the other version elimin ate that possibility and show that the sense is 'in order that'...

Re:, on: 2007/4/25 9:52

Very good observation, Ron.

I could accept all three you post but rather place the KJV at the head because of the present tense it supports inferring an on going relationship **from the beginning**.

Ephesians 1:4 (KJV) 1: According as he hath chosen us in him **before the foundation of the world**, that we should be holy and without blame before him in love:

Interesting we find this translation with an obvious agenda supporting a very Calvinistic interpretation:

Genesis 18:19 (HCSB) For I have "chosen him" so that he will command his children and his house after him to keep the way of the Lord by doing what is right and just. This is how the Lord will fulfill to Abraham what He promised him.Â"

Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/25 10:35

Quote:

Quote:

"For I have known him, to the end that he may command his children and his household after him, that they may keep t he way of Jehovah, to do righteousness and justice. To the end that Jehovah may bring upon Abraham that which he ha th spoken of him." (Gen 18:19 ASV) On what linguistic basis are you wanting to 'support' the present tense? I am not very informed about Hebrew but the Hebrew tense system is quite different to European languages and is based more of the 'lastingness' of t he the action. Hence some will not speak about present or future tenses in Hebrew but only in terms of 'short' or 'long' tenses.
The KJV only has a present tense here in respect to God's 'knowing' of Abraham and refers not to Abraham's present or future but simply to God's recognition of him. I don't see why you would want support of this 'present' tense.
The sense of the verse is plainly seen in the general way in which it has been translated, as in (http://www.blueletterbible.org/cgi-bin/versions.pl?bookGen&chapter18&verse19&versionKJV#19) these examples.
Re:, on: 2007/4/25 10:46
Quote:
philologos wrote:
Quote:
The KJV only has a present tense here in respect to God's 'knowing' of Abraham and refers not to Abraham's present or future but simply to God's rec ognition of him. I don't see why you would want support of this 'present' tense.
Simply because everything with God is "present" tense. In Him there is no time. Whenever God says He knows someone it was from the beginning He knew him, in Him, whenever that was from eternity past.
This understanding gives life to: Ephesians 1:1 (KJV) 1: Paul, an apostle of Jesus Christ by the will of God, to the saints which are at Ephesus, and to the faithful in Christ Jesus:
I pray you can see that gem of truth.
Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/25 11:23

We are not discussing God's ability to see the end from the beginning but the relevance of 'why he chose Abraham'? He did not choose Abraham 'because of' but 'in order to'.

-----Simply because everything with God is "present" tense. In Him there is no time. Whenever God says He knows someone it was fro

The Ephesians quotation has nothing to do with this question.

m the beginning He knew him, in Him, whenever that was from eternity past.

Re:, on: 2007/4/25 11:47
Quote:
philologos wrote:
Quote:Simply because everything with God is "present" tense. In Him there is no time. Whenever God says He knows someone it was from the beginning He knew him, in Him, whenever that was from eternity past.
We are not discussing God's ability to see the end from the beginning but the relevance of 'why he chose Abraham'? He did not choose Abraham 'be ause of' but 'in order to'.
He chose Abraham because He knew him. Foreknowledge of God, you know.
Quote:The Ephesians quotation has nothing to do with this question.
Regretable you couldn't make application.
Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/25 14:41
Quote:
why God chose him' in terms of cause but everything in terms of purpose. Quote:
Regretable you couldn't make application.
Not couldn't, wouldn't because yours is a misapplication.
Re:, on: 2007/4/25 16:20
Quote:
philologos wrote:
Quote:
You have no idea why God chose him and it is sheer presumption to suggest you do. This verse has nothing to do with 'why God chose him' in terms of cause but everything in terms of purpose.
Quote:Regretable you couldn't make application.
Not couldn't, wouldn't because yours is a misapplication.

Sorry, but in light God's dealings and choosing of others I believe I am on safe ground. No need to wonder off the reserv ation, so to speak. I will stick with my version unless you have something more concrete than what I rest my opinion upo n

Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/25 17:34
Quote:I will stick with my version unless you have something more concrete than what I rest my opinion upon.
You have obviously made your mind up, far be it from me to confuse you with facts.
Re:, on: 2007/4/25 17:40
philologos wrote:
Quote:I will stick with my version unless you have something more concrete than what I rest my opinion upon.
You have obviously made your mind up, far be it from me to confuse you with facts.
If you have facts you believe could alter my thinking, Please bring them on.
Re: - posted by Christinyou (), on: 2007/4/26 1:53
Strong's Greek Dictionary 1670. helkuo Search for G1670 in KJVSL elkuw helkuo hel-koo'-o or helko hel'-ko; probably akin to 138; to drag (literally or figuratively):draw. Compare 1667.Greek for 1670
Pronunciation Guide helkuo {hel-koo'-o} or helko {hel'-ko}
TDNT Reference Root Word TDNT - 2:503,227 probably akin to 138 Part of Speech v
Outline of Biblical Usage 1) to draw, drag off
2) metaph., to draw by inward power, lead, impel
Authorized Version (KJV) Translation Count — Total: 8 AV - draw 8; 8
Thayer's Lexicon (Help)
MORE (47 KBytes)

Re:, on: 2007/4/26 6:03 Quote: Christinyou wrote: Strong's Greek Dictionary 1670. helkuo Search for G1670 in KJVSL elkuw helkuo hel-koo'-o or helko hel'-ko; probably akin to 138; to drag (literally or figuratively):--draw. Compare 1667.Greek for 1670 Pronunciation Guide helkuo {hel-koo'-o} or helko {hel'-ko} **TDNT Reference Root Word** TDNT - 2:503,227 probably akin to 138 Part of Speech Outline of Biblical Usage 1) to draw, drag off 2) metaph., to draw by inward power, lead, impel Authorized Version (KJV) Translation Count — Total: 8 AV - draw 8: 8 Thayer's Lexicon (Help) MORE (47 KBytes) -----Probably, as in maybe? Re: - posted by Christinyou (), on: 2007/4/26 16:23 Jhn 6:40 And this is the will of him that sent me, that every one which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have everlasting life: and I will raise him up at the last day. Jhn 6:41 ¶ The Jews then murmured at him, because he said, I am the bread which came down from heaven. Jhn 6:42 And they said, Is not this Jesus, the son of Joseph, whose father and mother we know? how is it then that he

Jhn 6:43 Jesus therefore answered and said unto them, Murmur not among yourselves.

Jhn 6:44 No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day.

Lexicon / Concordance for Jhn 6:44

saith, I came down from heaven?

```
English Strong's Greek (Root form) Tense
(Click on any item below for Concordance) (Click)
No man
           oudeis
        dunamai
can
          erchomai
come
      pros
to
me,
        me
except
           ean me
the Father
             pater
which
          ho
hath sent
            pempo
me
       me
draw
         helkuo
him:
        autos
and
        kai
     ego
will raise
            anistemi
him
        autos
up
       anistemi
at the last
             eschatos
day.
        hemera
```

Jhn 6:45 It is written in the prophets, And they shall be all taught of God. Every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me.

Jhn 6:46 Not that any man hath seen the Father, save he which is of God, he hath seen the Father.

Jhn 6:47 Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me hath everlasting life.

Jhn 6:48 I am that bread of life.

Jhn 6:49 Your fathers did eat manna in the wilderness, and are dead.

Jhn 6:50 This is the bread which cometh down from heaven, that a man may eat thereof, and not die.

Jhn 6:51 I am the living bread which came down from heaven: if any man eat of this bread, he shall live for ever: and the bread that I will give is my flesh, which I will give for the life of the world.

Jhn 6:52 The Jews therefore strove among themselves, saying, How can this man give us flesh to eat?

Jhn 6:53 Then Jesus said unto them, Verily, Verily, I say unto you, Except ye eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you.

Jhn 6:54 Whoso eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blood, hath eternal life; and I will raise him up at the last day.

Jhn 6:55 For my flesh is meat indeed, and my blood is drink indeed.

Jhn 6:56 He that eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blood, dwelleth in me, and I in him.

Jhn 6:57 As the living Father hath sent me, and I live by the Father: so he that eateth me, even he shall live by me.

Jhn 6:58 This is that bread which came down from heaven: not as your fathers did eat manna, and are dead: he that e ateth of this bread shall live for ever.

Jhn 6:59 These things said he in the synagogue, as he taught in Capernaum.

Jhn 6:60 ¶ Many therefore of his disciples, when they had heard, said, This is an hard saying; who can hear it?

Jhn 6:61 When Jesus knew in himself that his disciples murmured at it, he said unto them, Doth this offend you?

Jhn 6:62 and if ye shall see the Son of man ascend up where he was before?

Jhn 6:63 It is the spirit (HOLY SPIRIT) that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing: the words that I speak unto you, are spirit, and are life.

QUICKENETH:

Lexicon Results for zoopoieo (Strong's 2227) Greek for 2227

Pronunciation Guide zoopoieo {dzo-op-oy-eh'-o}

TDNT Reference Root Word TDNT - 2:874,290 from the same as 2226 and 4160 Part of Speech v

Outline of Biblical Usage

- 1) to produce alive, begat or bear living young
- 2) to cause to live, make alive, give life
- a) by spiritual power to arouse and invigorate
- b) to restore to life
- c) to give increase of life: thus of physical life
- d) of the spirit, quickening as respects the spirit, endued with new and greater powers of life
- 3) metaph., of seeds quickened into life, i.e. germinating, springing up, growing

Authorized Version (KJV) Translation Count — Total: 12 AV - quicken 9, give life 2, make alive 1; 12

Thayer's Lexicon (Help)

MORE (42 KBytes)

Word / Phrase / Strong's Search Here Previous Strong's 2226 H G Next Strong's 2228

To give life a created being has to be dead. That is why rebirth is necessary. We are dead in trespasses and sins. We must be quickened by the Holy Spirit to receive a complete new birth in Christ, that being of Spirit and the Water of Life which is Christ promise at the well.

Jhn 6:64 But there are some of you that believe not. For Jesus knew from the beginning who they were that believed n ot, and who should betray him.

How did He know? He knew who the Spirit had quickened.

Jhn 6:65 And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my F ather.

Jhn 6:66 From that many of his disciples went back, and walked no more with him.

How can man be righteous if He dead to righteousness? The only righteousness is in Christ whom the Father has made unto us.

1 Corinthians 1:30-31 But of him are ye in Christ Jesus, who of God is made unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and s anctification, and redemption: That, according as it is written, He that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.

I would think that death is the epitome of total depravity. Especially when man has not the power of regeneration.

In Christ: Phillip

Re:, on: 2007/4/26 18:03
Quote:
Christinyou wrote:
Quote:To give life a created being has to be dead. That is why rebirth is necessary. We are dead in trespasses and sins.
Very true however, this is pointedly spoken with regards to the condition Adam left us in because of His willful transgression. The death spoken of here infers total separation from God, who is Life.
Quote:
I like how that reads but am curious if what I like is actually what you are intending me to grasp.
Quote:Jhn 6:64 But there are some of you that believe not. For Jesus knew from the beginning who they were that believed not, and who hould betray him.
How did He know? He knew who the Spirit had quickened.
I understand
Quote:Jhn 6:65 And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.

I can answer that but would prefer you answer it yourself. Ask how it was that so many were righteous; were pleasing to the God in the OT; those of old who were justified by their faith. Ask of Anna and Simeon, the two prayer warriors in the temple when Jesus was taken there to be dedicated.

How can man be righteous if He dead to righteousness? The only righteousness is in Christ whom the Father has made unto us.

Jhn 6:66 From that many of his disciples went back, and walked no more with him.

The "dead" you are referring to is that of AdamÂ's transgression. That means everyone. Everyone is dead in that regard. In that none of the God fe aring folk who lived righteously, who did righteous deeds, I just spoke of qualified because you are speaking of a righteousness that would allow some one to enter the very literal presence of God. In that regard, no one qualified, all were dead. Enter the Man Jesus who, after He passed His tests, would make all things new.

Quote:

------1 Corinthians 1:30-31 But of him are ye in Christ Jesus, who of God is made unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption: That, according as it is written, He that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.

I would think that death is the epitome of total depravity. Especially when man has not the power of regeneration.

I donÂ't know much about epitomes but total depravity is from somebodyÂ's commentary. It isnÂ't scriptural as a blanket statement to be applied to un regenerate man.

FWIW, I won't be replying to most of the scripture you spamming up here. It's far to much and most N/A. So spare yourself the cut and paste effort and me and others from having to scroll through most all of in order to feeret out what it is we think you trying to get at. I tried to figure some but really am n ot quite sure I hit it all.

Re: - posted by Christinyou (), on: 2007/4/26 19:38

My answer, man cannot.

Do you understand the mystery?

In Christ: Phillip

Re: In Regards to the concept of "Total Deprvity", on: 2007/4/27 4:09

On topic:

In Romans 3 it speaks of man's sinful nature, this spoken of a specific group of men, as a result of, in Chapter 1, he-man didn't want to retain God in his thinking: Romans 1:21 (KJV) Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not a s God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. This would ind icate that man, before "as it is written", knew God and obeyed Him, howbeit in varying degrees.

So my question is where in any of Paul's writings has it that man couldn't return to God. I rather read that he would not, not that he could not.....and suffered the consequences for his actions.

Re: God is in Control! - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 5:11

Quote:

Christinyou wrote:

Jhn 6:44 No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day.

The word draw has the connotation of to drag.

Jhn 6:65 And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.

It takes the spiritual wind of of being born again unto a new live in Christ to bring any man to Jesus. No man would, could or even has the capacity to r each for God. It takes the Father Himself to drag any man to the feet of Jesus, and even at that, kicking and screaming, no, no, no I can do it myself, j ust see how all the others came to you of their own goodness and Killing your own Son, Jesus Christ was not necessary, we are our own gods and we can do it on our works. So thank you very much God but you really did not have to do it.

No man is righteous or could ever be or ever will be on his own. Total depravation is absolutely and without fault the whole of man. Praise God, His foolishness is so much greater than the wisest of men. Christ in us is truly the only Hope of Glory, for there is no hope in ourselves.

Galatians 2:12-21 For before that certain came from James, he did eat with the Gentiles: but when they were come, he withdrew and separated himse If, fearing them which were of the circumcision. And the other Jews dissembled likewise with him; insomuch that Barnabas also was carried away with their dissimulation. But when I saw that they walked not uprightly according to the truth of the gospel, I said unto Peter before them all, If thou, being a Jew, livest after the manner of Gentiles, and not as do the Jews, why compellest thou the Gentiles to live as do the Jews? We who are Jews by nature

, and not sinners of the Gentiles, Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified. But if, while we seek to be justified by Christ, we ourselves also are found sinners, is therefore Christ the minister of sin? God forbid. For if I build again the things which I destroyed, I make myself a transgressor. For I through the law am dead to the law, that I might live unto God. I am crucified with Christ: nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ liveth in me: and the life which I now live in the flesh I live by the faith of the Son of God, who loved me, and gave himself for me. I do not frustrate the grace of God: for if righteousness come by the law, then Christ is dead in vain.

It is All God, man can do nothing to attain any righteousness, even Enoch and Elijah, God did it all, Who's fiery chariot was it anyhow? Enoch did not walk with God on Enoch's terms, but Gods.

Now perfect In Christ, not on my terms but God's in Christ Jesus by His work: Phillip

Oooooh!!!! I liked this one, Philip. Very well put! And really good satire, too!!!

Ormly, if you are trying to convert us or convince us, Jaysaved has already tried. And he's a great scholar and a good Br o!

It isn't that I don't care, even though I don't, that much, 'cause without Jesus, we'd have nothing, and without God, we wo uldn't have Jesus.

So what is the POINT? Are you going to play Stephen Hawkins, and tell me how the world was made too?

If you want a book on refutations of Calvinism, you could go to a book store.

Look, I don't know you well enough to know anything about you except that you are well versed in your denomination's b eliefs, and that you are very stubborn.

I have no denominational beliefs at all, having no denomination, and I am very stubborn. What of it?

Could we just get to know you without you showing off your Calvinist credentials? I'm sure, like JaySaved, you are a ver y well meaning person, who loves Jesus. Why else would you come to this site?

But believe it or not, you don't need to address the finer points of what the Bible says about the entire concept of salvation to prove that.

GOD IS IN CONTROL...OF EVERYTHING HE WANTS TO BE IN CONTROL OF!

Sorry to shout, but you don't seem to be listening.

Philip, please, don't encourage this - your opinion, and time, is needed on weightier matters. Which ones? Almost any.

I'm beginning to believe that Calvin was a cult leader, his adherants are so sure they are right, and only they are right. No offense to Reformist Believers.

Me, I'm only sure that Jesus loves me, having died to pay my debt.

Almost everything of this type gets down to 'I KNOW what God did' statements, which, no one can ever prove entirely.

Let's leave it to Jesus to explain in detail just how it was all done...during the Millenium!

Blessings,

Forrest

Re:, on: 2007/4/27 5:55 Quote: UniqueWebRev wrote: Quote: Christinyou wrote: Jhn 6:44 No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day. The word draw has the connotation of to drag. Jhn 6:65 And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father. It takes the spiritual wind of of being born again unto a new live in Christ to bring any man to Jesus. No man would, could or even has the capacity to r each for God. It takes the Father Himself to drag any man to the feet of Jesus, and even at that, kicking and screaming, no, no, no I can do it myself, j ust see how all the others came to you of their own goodness and Killing your own Son, Jesus Christ was not necessary, we are our own gods and we can do it on our works. So thank you very much God but you really did not have to do it. No man is righteous or could ever be or ever will be on his own. Total depravation is absolutely and without fault the whole of man. This is poppycock. If you think I am wrong then please address the topic of this thread. Quote: ---It is All God, man can do nothing to attain any righteousness, even Enoch and Elijah, God did it all, Who's fiery chariot was it anyho w? Enoch did not walk with God on Enoch's terms, but Gods. Now perfect In Christ, not on my terms but God's in Christ Jesus by His work: Phillip -----Now perfect in Christ, no problem, however, please reconcile this verse from Jesus: Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect. Matthew 5:48 (KJV) Thank you Quote: -----Ormly, if you are trying to convert us or convince us, Jaysaved has already tried. And he's a great scholar and a good Bro! It isn't that I don't care, even though I don't, that much, 'cause without Jesus, we'd have nothing, and without God, we wouldn't have Jesus. So what is the POINT? Are you going to play Stephen Hawkins, and tell me how the world was made too? If you want a book on refutations of Calvinism, you could go to a book store. Look, I don't know you well enough to know anything about you except that you are well versed in your denomination's beliefs, and that you are very st ubborn. I have no denominational beliefs at all, having no denomination, and I am very stubborn. What of it? Neither do I have a denomination. Quote: ------Could we just get to know you without you showing off your Calvinist credentials? I'm sure, like JaySaved, you are a very well mean ing person, who loves Jesus. Why else would you come to this site?

I am not of Calvin. If you read the OP you would know that.

Quote:
hat
I am not out to prove anything except the notion of "total depravity" is a man made reasoning devoid of Biblical insightand I certainly won't contritute to anyones knowledge who is unteachable. Are you unteachable?
Quote:GOD IS IN CONTROLOF EVERYTHING HE WANTS TO BE IN CONTROL OF!
Sorry to shout, but you don't seem to be listening.
<u></u>
And you don't read too well. So who has the greater problem?
Study to show yourself approved. Maybe then the Holy Spirit will have something to work with from your account.
Read the OP for understanding.
Re: - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 7:32
Actually, it was ChistinYou:
Now perfect in Christ, no problem, however, please reconcile this verse from Jesus: Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect. Matthew 5:48 (KJV)
Thank you
I said:
Ormly, if you are trying to convert us or convince us, Jaysaved has already tried. And he's a great scholar and a good Bro!
It isn't that I don't care, even though I don't, that much, 'cause without Jesus, we'd have nothing, and without God, we wouldn't have Jesus.
So what is the POINT? Are you going to play Stephen Hawkins, and tell me how the world was made too?
If you want a book on refutations of Calvinism, you could go to a book store.
Look, I don't know you well enough to know anything about you except that you are well versed in your denomination's beliefs, and that you are very stubborn.
I have no denominational beliefs at all, having no denomination, and I am very stubborn. What of it?
Ormly said: Neither do I have a denomination.
I said: Could we just get to know you without you showing off your Calvinist credentials? I'm sure, like JaySaved, you are a very well meaning person, who loves Jesus. Why else would you come to this site?

Ormly said:

I am not of Calvin. If you read the OP you would know that.
I Said:
But believe it or not, you don't need to address the finer points of what the Bible says about the entire concept of salvation to prove that.
Ormly said:
I am not out to prove anything except the notion of "total depravity" is a man made reasoning devoid of Biblical insight and I certainly won't contritute to anyones knowledge who is unteachable. Are you unteachable?
I said: GOD IS IN CONTROLOF EVERYTHING HE WANTS TO BE IN CONTROL OF!
Sorry to shout, but you don't seem to be listening.
Ormly said:
And you don't read too well. So who has the greater problem?
Study to show yourself approved. Maybe then the Holy Spirit will have something to work with from your account.
Read the OP for understanding.
Now, I say:
My, you have a vicious tongue. But at least you are now saying something clearly. What is the O.P.?
It may be why you are being misunderstood. If you are not of Calvin, why does total depravity interest you? I'd like to know why, so that, when I know what the O.P. is, I can talk reasonably about it.
I've read the thread, and it sounds, I repeat, sounds, like a standard Calvinistic Argument.
What is the OP? I'll happily read it.
Blessings,
Forrest
Re: christinyou - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 7:53 Phillip,
I seem to have misunderstood the thread. I'm trying to get the gist from Ormly.
Sorry to break in on your argument. The thread sounded soooooo Calvinistic, I was misled.
On the other hand, you seem to have proved your point to me, but it's Ormly that wants the answers.
Do as you will, and never mind me!
Apologies,
Forrest

Re:, on: 2007/4/27 7:58
Quote:
UniqueWebRev wrote:
Now, I say:
My, you have a vicious tongue. But at least you are now saying something clearly. What is the O.P.?{/quote Quote:
Pardon me!!! What's your problem??
Quote:It may be why you are being misunderstood. If you are not of Calvin, why does total depravity interest you? I'd like to know why, so hat, when I know what the O.P. is, I can talk reasonably about it.
Why what??!! It asks what it asks. Am I using therm OP wrongly? Ok, My "orginal post". How's that?
I've read the thread, and it sounds, I repeat, sounds, like a standard Calvinistic Argument.
What is the OP? I'll happily read it.
Blessings,
Forrest
Blessings,
I stand by last comment to youvicious in your estimation as it may seem to you or not.
If you are all I have look forward to on this forum I won't be here longagain. And I will have no problem with that because this terrible.
Re:, on: 2007/4/27 8:00
Quote:
UniqueWebRev wrote: Phillip,
I seem to have misunderstood the thread. I'm trying to get the gist from Ormly.
Sorry to break in on your argument. The thread sounded sooooooo Calvinistic, I was misled.
How were you misled? Who misled you?

Re: Wow! - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 8:24

Ormly,

christinyou is a pretty good example of this site, as is Krispy Kritter, Rookie, Philologos, RoaringLamb, Enid, and many o thers. I'm too new to be a known quantity. Those that represent this site well are also the moderators, the chief of which i s a young man who started it called SermonIndex.

For examples of what this site is like, stay above the 1000 post level - you have to be around a while to get there, with lif e and all intruding.

But I don't want you to go away, even if you do sound, I repeat, sound other than you directly mean. I can learn to take y ou literally, and read nothing into your words.

Sorry, but what I objected to was your tone, as it comes across to me, but since writing never comes off quite the same way as a conversation, please be a little patient with me.

I sincerely thought you meant a book called the O.P.!

And I did read your original post, and the whole, long thread, and it sounded, repeat, sounded, like a standard Calvinistic offense/defense thread.

My mistake.

Dumping the line of thought of Calvinism, let me ask you:

Are you asking - Was man made with a depraved nature:

as in unable to understand Scripture? as in unable to apprehend the idea of God? as in unable to seek God without being called? as in unable to ever be right in his own nature? as in unable to make up for that depravity?

or in any other way that might help me to understand you, and what you are asking.

Also, is it a question that can be answered by even the most scholarly of us?

Or are you just testing the site, to see if anyone knows as much as you do?

All this in sincere curiousity, in an attempt to 'hear' you clearly. Please take a deep breath, and tie up your quite natural fr ustration at not being understood, and then, seemingly attacked by me.

You see, I hate Calvinistic attack/defense threads, or those that resemble them - really unanswerable except by God.

Please let me know, then I'll re-read the thread again.

I really am trying to understand what you said in the O.P., now that I know what that is.

Unfortunately, I'm having trouble with it.

Apologies,

Forrest

Re:, on: 2007/4/27 8:39

The last paragraph from my OP asks with pointed reference to Romans 3:10-18 (HCSB) as it is written: There is no one righteous, not even one; there is no one who understands, there is no one who seeks God. All have turned away, togeth er they have become useless; there is no one who does good, there is not even one."

..... Where in any of Paul's writings has it that man couldn't return to God. I rather read that he would not, not that he could not.....and suffered the consequences for his actions?

You, not being a Calvinist, should have no difficulty with this question. It really isn't even addressed to you. Perhaps you have a Calvinist friend?...and If I don't read like I am happy,, you will be correct in assuming that.

Re: - posted by whyme, on: 2007/4/27 8:53

I presume that everyone will agree that those not born from above by regeneration are all children of the devil. That is scriptural. If you look at Revelation at what happens when the Holy Spirit departs from the world just prior to tribulation, all men become the beasts that they are. The Holy Spirit restrains evil from actually acting out what it is. If God depart ed from this world, it would become Hell. Men aren't good, they are children of wrath. There is none good no not one. What does the word sinful mean but full of sin. I sincerely struggle with how this isn't plain to all from Scripture. I somet imes wonder if the reason people struggle with the utter depravity of mankind is because we were raised to think all men are the children of God and just need to fixed a little bit. There is a reason we have to be born again. Flesh and blood will not see the Lord. We are born the first time with corruptible seed and the second time with incorruptible.

Re:, on: 2007/4/27 9:09

Quote:	
whyme wrote: I presume that everyone will agree that those not born from above by regeneration are all children of the devil. That is scriptural	
Oh? Where is that	stated?
Quote:If you asts that they are.	u look at Revelation at what happens when the Holy Spirit departs from the world just prior to tribulation, all men become the be
Beasts they are??	
Quote: The I	Holy Spirit restrains evil from actually acting out what it is.
I believe it reads re	strains the Devil, the man sin.
Quote: If Go	d departed from this world, it would become Hell.
You mean hell will	break loose, correct?
Quote: Men	aren't good, they are children of wrath.

What men? What children of wrath are you referring?
Quote:There is none good no not one.
Where does it say unregenerate men/man could not/ cannot turn to God and be saved?
Quote:
Because it is not in scriptureanywhere! It's commentary.
Quote:
Man, by nature, is not utterly depraved which would mean he <u>couldn't</u> turn to Godunless you can point to scripture that t says he is. I have found none.
Re: - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 9:25
Quote:
Ormly wrote: The last paragraph from my OP asks with pointed reference to Romans 3:10-18 (HCSB) as it is written: There is no one righteous, not even one; there is no one who understands, there is no one who seeks God. All have turned away, together they have become useless; there is no one who does goo d, there is not even one"
Where in any of Paul's writings has it that man couldn't return to God. I rather read that he would not, not that he could notand suffered the consequences for his actions?
You, not being a Calvinist, should have no difficulty with this question. It really isn't even addressed to you. Perhaps you have a Calvinist friend?and f I don't read like I am happy,, you will be correct in assuming that.
Well, when you sound like you're growling, you might easily be misunderstood.
But that quote was exactly why I took this to be a Calvinist Thread. If you already know there are none righteous, why are you asking the question?
And when you label a thread 'Total Depravity', it is a bit misleading to then pretend ignorance of the fact that there is non

As to not writing to me, you are not on a private message board, but an open thread.

e righteous when you yourself quoted those words.

If you only wanted christinyou to answer you, you could have messaged him, instead of opening a thread that is read by all at this site. He's very nice, you see, and answers everyone's questions. It was why I didn't want him being trapped in

another Calvinist thread.

And I thought this was a Calvinistic thread, because of the words 'Total Depravity', and the quote that 'none are righteous'. It seemed to me that you answered yourself.

These things do happen, you know, and I did apologize. Do you want another one? I'm often wrong, and hence, apologize often, so I have a lot of practice.

As to sounding mean and unhappy, if you want to, you can. I will still try to take you seriously and literally.

I am trying now.

Blessings,

Forrest

Re:, on: 2007/4/27 9:28

You can't read. I'm done

Re: - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 9:40

Quote:

Ormly wrote:

You can't read. I'm done

Uh Oh!

And I really was trying really hard to be nice.

My apologies to everyone on the site.

Forrest

Re: - posted by beenblake (), on: 2007/4/27 10:50

Quote:

------Man, by nature, is not utterly depraved which would mean he couldn't turn to God....unless you can point to scripture that says he is . I have found none.

These scriptures are taken from the NIV, unless otherwise stated...

John 6:44

No one can come to me unless the Father who sent me draws him, and I will raise him up at the last day.

Galatians 2:21

I do not set aside the grace of God, for if righteousness could be gained through the law, Christ died for nothing!

Jeremiah 13:23

can the Ethiopian change his skin or the leopard its spots? Neither can you do good who are accustomed to doing evil.

1 Corinthians 2:14

The man without the Spirit does not accept the things that come from the Spirit of God, for they are foolishness to him, a nd he cannot understand them, because they are spiritually discerned.

Romans 8:3 (NAS)

For what the Law could not do, weak as it was through the flesh, God did: sending His own Son in the likeness of sinful f lesh and as an offering for sin, He condemned sin in the flesh,

In the entire chapter of Job 9, he also makes known this very issue. Who is man that he could turn to God?

Job 9:2,15

Indeed, I know that this is true. But how can a mortal be righteous before God? 15 Though I were innocent, I could not a nswer him; I could only plead with my Judge for mercy.

Job 14:1-5

1 "Man born of woman is of few days and full of trouble. 2 He springs up like a flower and withers away; like a fleeting sh adow, he does not endure. 3 Do you fix your eye on such a one? Will you bring him before you for judgment? 4 Who can bring what is pure from the impure? No one! 5 Man's days are determined; you have decreed the number of his months and have set limits he cannot exceed.

Proverbs 20:9

Who can say, "I have kept my heart pure; I am clean and without sin"?

SCRIPTURES ON SLAVERY TO SIN SUGGESTING THAT MAN CANNOT TURN TO GOD

John 8:34

Jesus replied, "I tell you the truth, everyone who sins is a slave to sin."

Romans 7:14

We know that the law is spiritual; but I am unspiritual, sold as a slave to sin.

Galatians 3:22

But the Scripture declares that the whole world is a prisoner of sin, so that what was promised, being given through faith in Jesus Christ, might be given to those who believe.

Jeremiah 2:22

"Although you wash yourself with soda and use an abundance of soap, the stain of your guilt is still before me," declares the Sovereign Lord.

Ecclesiastes 7:20,29 There is not a righteous man on earth who does what is right and never sins. 29 This only have I f ound: God made mankind upright, but men have gone in search of many schemes."

Psalms 51:5

Surely I was sinful at birth, sinful from the time my mother conceived me.

Isaiah 64:6

All of us have become like one who is unclean, and all our righteous acts are like filthy rags; we all shrivel up like a leaf, and like the wind our sins sweep us away.

Blake

Re: Your Post, BeenBlake - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 11:30

Blake, I'm so sorry.

I chased Ormly off with my honesty, even though I tried to be nice. I guess he didn't believe me when I said I was sorry. I must have hit his aggravation point, though why after 60 posts in three days was he aggravated? Must be my stupidity.

Anyway, it was a great post - answered what I thought the question was, once I re-read the original post.

Of course, Ormly might not think so.

Regrets,
Forrest
Re: - posted by philologos (), on: 2007/4/27 14:01
Quote:Of course, Ormly might not think so.
Ormly doesn't want a discussion; he wants a surrender.
Re: - posted by UniqueWebRev (), on: 2007/4/27 14:07
Quote:Of course, Ormly might not think soOrmly doesn't want a discussion; he wants a surrender.
Thank you, Ron. I don't feel quite so rotten now. That makes sense then. I couldn't figure out why he didn't answer my questions.
I do try to be kind and well spoken, but I also try to be honest.
That makes it a bit hard sometimes. Still, words can bite, and dig in deep if you're not careful.
I'll just keep on being careful.
Thank you, Bro!
Blessings,
Forrest