

**General Topics :: ESV Bible****ESV Bible - posted by caldwell1 (), on: 2008/1/6 22:25**

Hi I was just wondering if someone could help me understand a little bit about the ESV I have one and found out recently that it's cut from the same texts that many of the modern translations are derived. I use the KJV, NKJV, Geneva Bibles primarily and I believe these to be the most reliable, esp. since I found out that there are roughly 40+ verses that have been removed. I am not a KJV only person but I do wonder if I am losing something with the ESV, it reads very cleanly and seems close to what I am used to and it's part of the Reformation Study Bible by R.C. Sproul who I learn a lot from so what are your opinions about this trans. -As long as I mark down the missing verses in my Bible and am aware of their deletion is the rest of the text accurate enough? What do you think? Look I am sincere and understand that text questions can be negatively divisive I just want the truth and just want solid scripture, Thank you so much! :-)

Re: ESV Bible - posted by Swordbearer (), on: 2008/1/6 22:44

I wonder if it would not be possible for a little leaven to leaven the whole lump? If it is clear that whole verses have been removed, what other corrupt subtleties will there be in the text? The danger of these will lie in their obscurity. The "little foxes that spoil the vines"

In Christ Aaron

Esv - posted by davet, on: 2008/1/6 23:46

I read the ESV, and the King James Both. There is something removed from the ESV..... this is because these were not in the original texts that they use to write the modern translations.

The texts they used to write the KJV were newer than the texts used to write modern ones, because they found a lot of scrolls after the KJV era that were actually older than the ones used to write the KJV..... wow I think the grammar is a little confusing, hopefull you catch the drift....

kjv - texts from a newer century but found quite early

esv - texts from an older century found recently.

Just because the texts for the modern versions are older does not make them more accurate. This is because they had only a few to compare with each other... for instance only a few scrolls of James to compare.... Whereas with the KJV scrolls they had over 2000 scrolls compare with each other for accuracy.

I read both versions..... the things left out have a number reference and then contain the missing text at the bottom. One thing I notice is that instead of saying that Christ was revealed (in) me, they change it to say Christ was revealed (to) me..... which is theologically different, and I don't agree with it, they should leave it as in... which is what the original says.

I like the esv because it somehow retains the poetry of the King James, yet cuts out the weird endings like 'answerth'. I still read the kjv as well, both are good translations with weaknesses and strengths, and I find that reading multiple versions is helpful.

cheers

dt

Re: - posted by intrcssr83 (), on: 2008/1/6 23:51

(<http://www.esv.org/>) www.esv.org

The ESV is my personal "weapon of choice". Some of my friends call it the "Evangelical's Standard Bible" as pretty much all of the members of the translation committee are protestant whereas with other translations, the committees have been ecumenical.

Re: - posted by davym (), on: 2008/1/7 4:23

I have the Reformation Study Bible as well and find it very useful. RC Sproul is one of my favourite teachers also and anything that he endorses must, in my opinion, be reliable. I still see the KJV as the 'king' translation, but there's no doubt some of the language is archaic and difficult, but there's also no doubt some of the language in the KJV is unbeatable for its clarity. I think you have a good balance brother.

D

Re: ESV Bible - posted by iansmith (), on: 2008/1/7 10:40

I believe that if someone is not King James Only, then ESV is one of the best choices out there. NIV is good from a readability standpoint, and NASB is good from an accuracy standpoint, but ESV is somewhere in between, and has a lot of KJV elements as well.

I've got a couple of copies of the ESV, I honestly spend more time in the KJV than any other version, and a little in the NIV, and even less in the ESV and NASB... that'd probably be my order of reading.

On that note, I got a copy of 'James Earl Jones reads the Bible'... of course from the KJV. I'm having a lot of fun with Darth Vader on my rides in to work =)

Re:, on: 2008/1/7 16:47

Quote:

-----On that note, I got a copy of 'James Earl Jones reads the Bible'... of course from the KJV. I'm having a lot of fun with Darth Vader on my rides in to work

Wonder if there is a version with Yoda reading it?? Then, if someone claims the KJV is just too hard to understand, you play them Yoda reading the Bible... jumbling up all the words, etc... and suddenly reading and understanding the KJV seems like cake!!

Krispy

Re: - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2008/1/7 17:30

Quote:

-----Hi I was just wondering if someone could help me understand a little bit about the ESV I have one and found out recently that it's cut from the same texts that many of the modern translations are derived. I use the KJV, NKJV, Geneva Bibles primarily and I believe these to be the most reliable, esp. since I found out that there are roughly 40+ verses that have been removed.

Brother,

yes you are correct, it is very deceiving because the ESV in the forward claims to be in the lineage of the KJV version but it is translated from an entire different strain of manuscripts. The ESV and the NASB are both literal translations of the other manuscripts that the KJV does not use.

Re: - posted by narrowpath, on: 2008/1/7 18:48

Hi guys, I have not yet met a person who backslid in his faith because he read a bible version that others claim to be less accurate. Were our 1st century brethren led astray because they only had a few patches of scripture available to them? Besides there are languages where they only have one or two translations anyway. My mother reads her bible daily for over 20 years and she still hasn't got a clue who Jesus is, and I do not think it is because she reads the Good News bible in German instead of a more literal translation.

It does not hurt to read various translations and allow God speak through them to you. There are bible scholars who know the scriptures inside out but haven't got a spark of God's life in them.

2 Corinthians 3:6 (NIV)

He has made us competent as ministers of a new covenant—^Anot of the letter but of the Spirit; for the letter kills, but the Spirit gives life.

(KJV)

Who also hath made us able ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.

(ESV)

who has made us competent to be^(A) ministers of^(B) a new covenant, not of^(C) the letter but of the Spirit. For the letter kills, but^(D) the Spirit gives life.

Whatever translation is better
Let's not stumble over the letter.

Philip

Re: - posted by HomeFree89 (), on: 2008/1/7 20:13

delete

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/7 22:13

Quote:

-----There are bible scholars who know the scriptures inside out but haven't got a spark of God's life in them.

We must never forget that the Pharisees were the KJV-only ultra-conservative theologians of their time, had every word of Moses memorized...and these guys thought God Incarnate was the prince of devils. The Sadducees were the more liberal bunch - not getting caught up with resurrections and angels and the like - and they weren't nearly as hard on Jesus as the Pharisees. There is a very profound lesson here.

Pedantics and traditional formalities really mean nothing if your heart is not right with God - and it doesn't matter if you use the KJV, ESV, NASB, NIV, AMP. The flip side is that if the heart is right with God, you can use any and all abovementioned translations to reap deep and profound treasures. This is what so many people do not understand. I've heard Keith Daniel preach in blood-drenched fire from the KJV, and I've heard Zac Poonen extract mind-blowingly anointed wisdom from the Message and NLT.

But those who are not right with God, or have areas of compromise or strongholds of immature convictions will fight for one particular pet translation. This is like a poor, hungry man in rags leaning on a shovel, boasting on how great his shovel is while others work with their own shovels, extracting gold and planting seeds and harvesting food. Instead of following their example with his own shovel, however, he cries out against all the different shovels striking gold and shakes his own empty one above his head in anger.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by theopenlife, on: 2008/1/8 4:25

Sometimes I read the Tyndale New Testament, and it is frequently more easy for me to understand than any of the other versions.

If you haven't read it, I received mine from Amazon.com for about \$9. Well worth it.

Re:, on: 2008/1/8 8:15

Quote:

-----We must never forget that the Pharisees were the KJV-only ultra-conservative theologians of their time

So are you calling me a Pharisee, brother Paul?

I don't think painting with such a broad brush is beneficial.

Krispy

Re:, on: 2008/1/8 8:18

Quote:

-----Sometimes I read the Tyndale New Testament, and it is frequently more easy for me to understand than any of the other versions.

That's interesting, considering that 80% of the KJV New Testament is straight up Tyndale New Testament. Very little changes were made to what Tyndale had already done... and what changes were done to it were for the most part grammatical.

Krispy

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/8 8:54

Quote:

-----So are you calling me a Pharisee, brother Paul?

No, and please tell me where I've said "Steve is a pharisee" in my post. Or where Krispy is even mentioned or alluded to. See, this is getting to be so very tiring, and I think bit pretentious on your part - assuming yourself to be SermonIndex's patron saint of KJV-only defense, taking every jot and tittle personally. I tell you, I was not even thinking about you when I typed my post, and I was only stating a perceived truth. If you don't like it, defend your position and prove it wrong without embroiling yourself on a personal level. Play the ball, not the man, as they say. Now, if you want to associate yourself with the statement you extracted, this is sad, for it shows you've clearly disregarded everything else that came after.

Brother, I'll ask you the same questions you often ask me:

"Did you drink your coffee this morning? Did you get up out of the wrong side of bed?"

:-)

Re:, on: 2008/1/8 10:03

No no no... all is good. Just pointing out that not everyone who is KJV is a Pharisee, though unfortunately many are.

Chill bro... had my coffee, smelled the roses, life is good.

Krispy

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/8 16:38

Quote:

-----No no no... all is good. Just pointing out that not everyone who is KJV is a Pharisee, tho unfortunately many are.

Brother, the KJV is my translation of choice, I love it. It hurts me that you would take my post as a personal attack, as though I had personally called you, "Steve" a pharisee. This is so wrong, brother, and it's hard for me to "chill" after such a public insinuation. If this had come from the lips of an apostate believer, I could just shake it off, but since I love and respect you too much, it cuts me all the more.

What I did in my post concerning the ancient Pharisees and today's modern KJV pedantics was built upon an innocent general observation, and I had no one person specifically in mind here. In my posts, I try to veer away from doing just that - indicting specific persons, specific individuals. I think it's wrong to pick out a person and "stalk" him or her here with hidden invective in each post. I like to focus instead on generalities, and with generalities, I think one can sometimes be justified by painting with "broad strokes" - because the very term "general" in and of itself denotes broadness.

I hope this clears things up dear brother.

Paul

Re:, on: 2008/1/8 17:59

Paul, that is an excellent analogy. Praise God!!! I recently bought a wonderful new Bible called the "http://www.amazon.com/Narrated-Bible-Chronological-International-Version/dp/0890814082/refsr_1_1?ie=UTF8&sbooks&qid=1199832459&sr8=1) Narrated Bible in Chronological Order (New International Version)" by F. Lagard Smith. It is awesome! It's a reading Bible definitely not a study Bible!!!

Anyway, I took it to work and a woman on the elevator asked me about it and I was telling her how cool a read it is and she was interested but AS SOON AS she saw NIV, she said, "I'll just keep my King James Version, thank you very much." I thought how sad.

Quote:

-----The flip side is that if the heart is right with God, you can use any and all above mentioned translations to reap deep and profound treasures. This is what so many people do not understand.

I know it's lumped in there but I would also add, if the heart is hungry... God can and will move in their life. That is of course until someone stomps all over what God is doing and tells them different. And then everything the Lord planted in their life is questioned because they weren't reading the right translation... all because someone had to elevate their own personal shovel.

We just need to let God work and get out of the way!!! I'm learning to do this myself day by day! I haven't mastered this yet though!!! LOL

God bless, Paul

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/8 18:42

Quote:

-----That is of course until someone stomps all over what God is doing and tells them different. And then everything the Lord planted in their life is questioned because they weren't reading the right translation... all because someone had to elevate their own personal shovel.

This "stomping someone" used to be me. Let me share with my brethren here a personal account. I used to chide my wife about her reading the NIV. I would refer to it as the "bloodless" translation, the "New Incorrect Version" and beat her over the head with KJV superiority. I would watch her read her NIV at night, in bed, steadily and slowly, through Genesis t

o Revelation, a few chapters a night. It took her a year. While she would read, she would periodically exclaim, "God is so awesome.." and you could see the love of Jesus, the innocent love and excitement she was experiencing as she read through the high adventure of Joshua, the plights of David, the magnificence of Isaiah, the mystery of Daniel.

But I wouldn't read my KJV the same way she was reading her NIV; not with the innocence. I had the superior version, and I knew it. I was seeking out high-falutin theological concepts, reading to get knowledge, reading to fulfill a personal agenda of conquering X-amount of scripture in X-amount of time. When my wife would ask me a question pertaining to deep things in Daniel, for example, I wouldn't answer it; I would instead chide her for the version she was reading it in. This sowed confusion in her mind, and the innocent joy of her Bible reading slowly began to dwindle. I would scoff at her NIV, pointing out how different it was from the KJV, how it couldn't be trusted, how it was written by apostate Alexandrian Jews, how only the Textus Receptus was the true word of God, etc.

Brethren, you need to know that all this was a result of my own lack of joy in reading the KJV. When a believer's joy is defeated and the innocence of his own quiet time has been compromised, he begins to sharpshoot the joy and edification others receive from various sources his acquired knowledge cannot accept. If someone is relentlessly critiquing the translations whereby God is feeding others, this is a sure sign the naysayer himself is not being fed at all by the source he claims to be ultimate and exclusive. His or her starvation in God, in turn, brings in a malnourished understanding of God to fit their paltry level, a level that says "though I have nothing and live in a straw hut of dead pedantics, I have much more than all those living in fullness who acquired their sustenance in God from poisonous fields."

Because of my barrenness in joy, I spread it unto to my wife, who no longer reads her NIV with that kind of excitement. She doesn't understand how something so allegedly cursed and fake could bring her so close to Jesus. I should have kept my mouth shut, when I was so full of knowledge and bereft of grace. Now, I read the NLT and God gives me wonderful revelation! Talk about turning the tables. Consequently, I am truly embarrassed of my zeal-minus-knowledge past. Once God smashes a man into a million pieces, the notorious "translation-battle" becomes quite insignificant. And when a defeated man or woman is absolutely absorbed in Christ and beholds the glory of God, they will not fuss about KJV versus NIV or ESV versus NASB or whatever else. They may have their favorite translation, and high opinions of it, but will never disparage or discourage another from a God-ordained food source. With full confidence, I now tell my wife that it's *perfectly fine to read the NIV* if it is where God is presently feeding her.

No longer do I want to usurp the role of Holy Spirit. I pray this post is read with spirit eyes and discerned.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by theopenlife, on: 2008/1/8 19:00

Regarding the Tyndale, it is true - 80% or more of the KJV is directly lifted from it. But to me it seems as though the differences are in orders of magnificence. When the KJV sounds grandiose, the Tyndale often sounds like it was spoken by my neighbor.

For instance, Romans 8 says, "There is then no damnation to them which are in Christ, which walk not after the flesh: but after the spirit."

The KJV says, "There is therefore now no condemnation..."

Though both are translations of this verse are useful, I appreciate the clearly stated "damnation" of Tyndale's. We all know how at times there may come a false feeling of condemnation. Yet for the believer, all feelings aside, there is no damnation!

Certainly there are instances where the KJV is more current in word choice, but rarely, it seems to me, is the KJV so grammatically simple as Tyndale.

I like as well that the Tyndale doesn't include verse numbers, which makes it very useful for reading and gaining contextual familiarity, rather than proof-texting.

I primarily use the KJV, but the Tyndale is a nice supplement.

Re: - posted by Tears_of_joy, on: 2008/1/8 19:42

Quote:

-----PaulWest wrote:

Once God smashes a man into a million pieces, the notorious "translation-battle" becomes quite insignificant.

Yes, brother. And not just that battle, but many battles also (of course not the battle for the truth!), but the battles (with every possible permitted 'resource') of fighting to survive staying on the throne. You know, Mr. ME reigning the 'kingdom'.

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/8 19:58

Quote:

-----Yes, brother. And not just that battle, but many battles also (of course not the battle for the truth!), but the battles (with every possible permitted 'resource') of fighting to survive staying on the throne. You know, Mr. ME reigning the 'kingdom'.

Excellent point. Mr. ME has his ways, doesn't he? He's got a whole entourage, like Adonijah, to run before his chariot and promote his kingship. But it's a puppet potentate. The spiritual Joabs and Abiathars all have an agenda, and Adonijah-self is just the front. What a battle! What treachery!

Re: - posted by Tears_of_joy, on: 2008/1/8 20:21

Quote:

-----PaulWest wrote:

Excellent point. **Mr. ME has his ways, doesn't he?** He's got a whole entourage, like Adonijah, to run before his chariot and promote his kingship. But it's a puppet potentate. The spiritual Joabs and Abiathars all have an agenda, and Adonijah-self is just the front. What a battle! What treachery!

Oh, yes he does! You got me thinking even more now. That's amazing to start examining the ways of Mr. ME. (Psalm 13 9:23) Much revealing thing, to much scum on the surface (<https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/mydownloads/singlefile.php?lid16033&commentViewitemComments>) boiling potatoes example!) isn't it?

But here is how one brother is describing, how the battle of the 'soldier' finish:

All great Christians have been wounded souls. It is strange what a wound will do to a man. Here's a soldier who goes out to the battlefield. He is full of jokes and strength and self- assurance; then one day a piece of shrapnel tears through him and he falls, and whimpering, beaten, defeated man. Suddenly his whole world collapses around him and this man, instead of being the great, strong, broad-chested fellow that he thought he was, suddenly becomes a whimpering boy, again. And such have even been known, I am told, to cry for their mothers when they lie bleeding and suffering on the field of battle. There is nothing like a wound to take the self-assurance out of us, reduce us to childhood again and make us small and helpless in our own sight.

(https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id16624&forum34) Digged from SI archive.

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/8 20:49

Quote:

-----All great Christians have been wounded souls. It is strange what a wound will do to a man. Here's a soldier who goes out to the battlefield. He is full of jokes and strength and self- assurance; then one day a piece of shrapnel tears through him and he falls, and whimpering, beaten, defeated man. Suddenly his whole world collapses around him and this man, instead of being the great, strong, broad-chested fellow that he thought he was, suddenly becomes a whimpering boy, again. And such have even been known, I am told, to cry for their mothers when they lie bleeding and suffering on the field of battle. There is nothing like a wound to take the self-assurance out of us, reduce us to childhood again and make us small and helpless in our own sight.

This is superb, this is truth, this is God's way. Wounds, failures, scum, shrapnel. Blowing living things to bits so that men might be put back together again dead.

Re: - posted by roaringlamb (), on: 2008/1/8 21:11

Brother Paul,

Thank you for wonderful words specifically of your interaction with your wife. As I read them I was wondering if you had been living under our roof :-P

There is one Word who saves us, and that is Christ, the Word incarnate. Faith is given by the Holy Spirit as one is hearing the Good News of Christ's work for sinners. It matters little what translation one hears the Gospel in, but rather that it is "the Gospel(good news)" of Christ justifying those who come to Him in faith.

This is our weapon against that constant enemy, not satan(though he is there as well), but rather self, and all the lofty views held of self by self. Oh it will be a constant battle to rid the heart of that which thinks righteousness can come from anything we do, or have done rather than Christ alone.

The process you brothers have been describing so wonderfully is that process in which God begins to strike at the idols, and high places within our hearts. We begin to see just how far we fall short daily, nay hourly. Thus it creates a moment by moment dependence upon Christ our life, our High Priest who alone intercedes for us. In the end, we crawl on bended knee to Him and proclaim Him great for His mercy and grace toward one so obstinate, and sinful.

For a real mind blower, get a Greek lexicon, and begin to understand the uses of words, and what the writers were getting at. Of importance is verbs and their tenses, which lose much of their meaning in any translation.

To be brutally honest, there was only one "flawless" translation, and that were the originals, and in many places the KJV differs from what TR says, or gets at through the language. A great example of this is the word "church". Tyndale used the word "assembly" I believe for ekklesia as would be the proper idea, for ekklesia is a compound of two Greek words, "ek" which means "out of", and "kaleo" which means "to call". Thus we see that the word means literally "called out ones".

Just some insight, and friendly words. God's grace to you all.

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/1/8 21:17

Quote:

-----Just some insight, and friendly words. God's grace to you all.

Indeed these are friendly words, and your insight is most welcome and cherished. I love you, my dear reformed brother. Thank you for gracing us with the truth you've shared.

Brother Paul