

**News and Current Events :: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS****THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/8 14:41**

IN REMEMBRANCE of

## THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS

It is a matter of history that when Supreme Commander of the Allied Forces, General Dwight Eisenhower, found the victims of the death camps he ordered all possible photographs to be taken, and for the German people from surrounding villages to be ushered through the camps and even made to bury the dead.

He did this because he said in words to this effect: 'Get it all on record now - get the films - get the witnesses - because somewhere down the track of his tory some people will get up and say that this never happened'

All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing.  
Edmund Burke

In Memorial

This week, the UK removed The Holocaust from its school curriculum because it 'offended' the Muslim population which claims it never occurred.

This is a frightening portent of the fear that is gripping the world and how easily each country is giving into it.

It is now more than 60 years after the Second World War in Europe ended. and 1,900 Catholic priests who were murdered, massacred, raped, burned, starved and humiliated while the German and Russian peoples were looking the other way!

Now, more than ever, with Iran, among others, claiming the Holocaust to be 'a myth,' it is imperative to make sure the world never forgets.

**Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/8 14:48**

Hi moe\_mac,

Your source did not do his research properly, as the UK opted to have a national day of Holocaust remembrance, of which we have already had the first.

([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holocaust\\_Memorial\\_Day\\_\(UK\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holocaust_Memorial_Day_(UK))) [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holocaust\\_Memorial\\_Day\\_\(UK\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holocaust_Memorial_Day_(UK))

**Re:, on: 2008/1/8 15:07**

Hi Dorcus,

Actually I must confess, I did not confirm my source before I posted this thread and I normally do, but after I read your comment I did. Here is what I found, the public in the UK may recognize the Holocaust, but not the school, which is what the article and the thread was about. I do make mistakes and try to admit them when I do. I am not real quick to embrace what I read on wikipedia or for that matter this site either, but this story does confirm the thread about the UK change on the Holocaust in the UK schools.

<http://www.snopes.com/politics/religion/holocaust.asp>

Have a great day

moe\_mac :-D

**Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/8 15:10**

Are they saying it never happened or are they saying that it never happened the way history is presenting it? I have been told that the numbers keep going up of how many died. Now they have included, JW's, Homosexuals, Gypsies and whatever other groups that want to include themselves in the 6 million that died.

I am not denying the Holocaust, I am just wondering if the Muslims are totally denying it or denying some things about the Holocaust?

**Re:, on: 2008/1/8 17:18**

It seems to me they are just trying not to offend anyone, but it's all for naught. It would be nice if this did work. In other words, they are just saying whatever or not saying whatever to appease the people who worship Baal, whether it be truth or not. Kind like in America today. The first thing we decided was, we didn't want God mentioned in our schools in 1963. Now we have moved on to Happy Holidays instead of Christmas so we don't offend anyone, and all kind of other stuff and hear what's God got to do with it from the left and they got that right, the goats on the left. Train up a child in the way he should go and when he is old he won't depart from it and you can reverse that if we train him in the way he shouldn't go and a slim chance of him departing from it. Training a child starts in the home, but what does a child think when he observes his parents stand by a watch someone support people who are in favor of kicking God out of school? Hitler said he could take a child for the first 5 years and convert him. James Dobson says we have 12 to show them the right way and after that it's tough to ever turn them around. We people here in America are flirting with a potential leader whose middle name is Mohammad. If he comes to power this may be God's plan, I don't know, and if it is God's plan there is nothing we can do about it, but I'm sure even if it is his plan, he doesn't want me to agree with it anymore than he would want me to approve of Pharaoh.

moe\_mac

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/8 21:51**

The Holocaust was real. Millions perished. Mostly Jews, many Christians, Gypsies and Gays or anyone else who fell out of favor with the Nazis.

It is a shame that the Palestinians have to suffer for what the Nazis did to European Jews.

A small yet powerful group of Jews who are not Jews as mentioned in Revelation 2:9 and 3:9 prevented all rescue attempts from Hitler's death camps to the extent that they possibly could. They knew after the terrible Holocaust that the world would turn a blind eye to the rape and pillage of Palestine. It worked.

For many many years, the Jews, Christians and Moslems lived in relative peace in the Holy Land. Over a hundred thousand people. This all changed when Theodore Herzl's disciples came to the Holy Land. Now Israel is one of the least safe places for Jews. Iran has about 25,000 Jews living there and they are safer in Iran than in Israel.

The motivation for the hate crime legislation that is being pushed on the Western World is primarily to make it a jailable offense to criticize modern Israel and her history. It is very wrong to deny the Holocaust but the people behind the hate crime laws are exploiting it for their own ungodly purposes.

I love the Jews and would be one if I could choose my heritage. It does not help the Jews to push these things under the carpet. To do so actually feeds hatred. Until these things come out in the open then peace talks will continue to be PR ploys for the Western press.

**Re:, on: 2008/1/8 23:18**

quote:

I love the Jews and would be one if I could choose my heritage.quote

heritage answer:

You can and you maybe already and just not know it yet. Jesus said who is my brother and my sister, the one that do the will of the Father and Jesus is Jewish.

Rom 8:13-14

13 For if you live according to the sinful nature, you will die; but if by the Spirit you put to death the misdeeds of the body, you will live, 14 because those who are led by the Spirit of God are sons of God.  
(from New International Version)

Matt 12:48-50

48 He replied to him, "Who is my mother, and who are my brothers?" 49 Pointing to his disciples, he said, "Here are my mother and my brothers. 50 For whoever does the will of my Father in heaven is my brother and sister and mother."  
(from New International Version)

Rom 8:15-17

15 For you did not receive a spirit that makes you a slave again to fear, but you received the Spirit of sonship. And by him we cry, "Abba, Father." 16 The Spirit himself testifies with our spirit that we are God's children. 17 Now if we are children, then we are heirs—heirs of God and co-heirs with Christ, if indeed we share in his sufferings in order that we may also share in his glory.  
(from New International Version)

Gal 4:5-7

6 Because you are sons, God sent the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, the Spirit who calls out, "Abba, Father." 7 So you are no longer a slave, but a son; and since you are a son, God has made you also an heir.  
(from New International Version)

Mark 14:35-36

35 Going a little farther, he fell to the ground and prayed that if possible the hour might pass from him. 36 "Abba, Father," he said, "everything is possible for you. Take this cup from me. Yet not what I will, but what you will."  
(from New International Version)

**Re: - posted by crsschk (), on: 2008/1/8 23:25**

Forgot one there brother;

*But he is a Jew, which is one inwardly; and circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God. Rom 2:29*

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/8 23:41**

Thanks crsschk.

Yes. I have been grafted into the Vine and am a child of Abraham. It will be a glorious day for the entire body of Christ when the veil is lifted off of the Jews.

**Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/1/8 23:41**

ironwood wrote:

Quote:

-----  
Now Israel is one of the least safe places for Jews. Iran has about 25,000 Jews living there and they are safer in Iran than in Israel.  
-----

Just wondering if you have any sources for this? I remember seeing a VOTM article on Christians being persecuted. It would seem that Jews would be in the same category.

Thanks in advance.

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/9 0:21**

Here is an article about Iranian Jews:

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle\\_east/5367892.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/5367892.stm)

**Re:, on: 2008/1/9 6:17**

Quote:

-----  
crsschk wrote:

Forgot one there brother;

*But he is a Jew, which is one inwardly; and circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God. Rom 2:29*  
-----

Amen, born fully fleshly Jewish man and fully God of the Spirit. The second Adam. We beheld the Glory as the only Begotten of the Father; full of grace and truth.

**Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/9 7:38**

Hi brother ironwood,

I've been enjoying your posts, so please receive the following thought within the context of previous discussions of which you have not been a part. Your comment provides a springboard for slightly deeper examination of scripture, when you said

Quote:

-----when the veil is lifted off of the Jews.  
-----

I could ask if you intended this to sound as passive as it does, and you are welcome to answer that question if you reply,

but I would draw attention to two verses \* and \*\* which militate against it, in the sense that the veil has already been removed for those who received the word mixed with faith.

In Isaiah 59, the onus is on the individual to turn away from ungodliness. I'm going to quote the context using Young, who preserves the tenses of the verbs accurately.

17 For He put on righteousness as a breastplate,  
And a helmet of salvation on His head;  
He put on the garments of vengeance for clothing,  
And was clad with zeal as a cloak.

18 According to *their* deeds, accordingly He will repay,  
Fury to His adversaries,  
Recompense to His enemies;  
The coastlands He will fully repay.

19 So shall they fear The name of the LORD from the west,  
And His glory from the rising of the sun;  
When the enemy comes in like a flood,  
The Spirit of the LORD will lift up a standard against him.

20\* " The Redeemer will come to Zion,  
And to those who turn from transgression in Jacob," Says the LORD.

21 "As for Me," says the LORD, "this *is* My covenant with them:

My Spirit who *is* upon you, and My words which I have put in your mouth, shall not depart from your mouth, nor from the mouth of your descendants, nor from the mouth of your descendants' descendants," says the LORD, "from this time and forevermore."

In verse 20 (above), we find the same emphasis on the individual's turning, as Paul gives in 2 Corinthians 3:

15 but till to-day, when Moses is read, a veil upon their heart doth lie,

16\*\* and whenever they may turn unto the Lord, the veil is taken away.

17 And the Lord is the Spirit; and where the Spirit of the Lord *is*, there *is* liberty;

The reason I draw this out, is that Paul had taken Isaiah 59:20 to show that the Redeemer has already come to Israel, in Romans 11:26b

"The Deliverer will come out of Zion,  
And He will turn away ungodliness from Jacob;"

Thinking about this in the context of another current discussion in the S + D forum, I realised it may look as if I'm saying people save themselves, but that is not what I mean. The force of Paul's reversing the verb in Romans 11 is to say that God knew He had to come and open the way for the return to Him. It is an acknowledgement of the limitations of the Law as well. Nevertheless, faced with Jesus Christ, those who see who He is (John 1:11 - 14: 'we beheld His glory') and believe, are saved.

This was Paul's word to Jews first, and also to 'Greeks':

Romans 10:8 (KJV)  
But what saith it?

The word is nigh thee, *even* in thy mouth, and in thy heart:

that is, the word of faith, which we preach...

This was not a new thought to Jews, as Moses had said:

Deuteronomy 30:14

But the word *is* very nigh unto thee, in thy mouth, and in thy heart, that thou mayest do it.

I continue to resist the rumour that God is going to take away the veil from all Jews in a supernaturally sovereign act, before the Lord returns, as Israel's blindness has always been partial only, and as Jews received Him in person when He walked on earth, the way is open still.

No-one (Jew or Greek) is exempt from making a response to that revelation, and Paul makes it clear that the person receiving the revelation of Christ, may turn to Him. Immediately. AMEN!

This is GOOD NEWS.

Quote:

-----It will be a glorious day for the entire body of Christ

- when all Israel has been saved. :-) Amen.

**Re:, on: 2008/1/9 11:06**

Good point Dorcas and I agree the veil split when Jesus said it is finished. I'll let Ironwood speak for himself, but I just wonder was he referring to when the wild Olive shoot will be grafted back in, after the rapture of HIS church, when the fullness of the gentiles has come.

Rom 11:24-26

24 For if thou wert cut out of the olive tree which is wild by nature, and wert grafted contrary to nature into a good olive tree: how much more shall these, which be the natural branches, be grafted into their own olive tree?

25 For I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, lest ye should be wise in your own conceits; that blindness in part is happened to Israel, **until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in.**

26 And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob:

KJV

Rom 11:17-23

17 And if some of the branches be broken off, and thou, being a wild olive tree, wert grafted in among them, and with them partakest of the root and fatness of the olive tree;

18 Boast not against the branches. But if thou boast, thou bearest not the root, but the root thee.

19 Thou wilt say then, The branches were broken off, that I might be grafted in.

20 Well; because of unbelief they were broken off, and thou standest by faith. Be not highminded, but fear:

21 For if God spared not the natural branches, take heed lest he also spare not thee.

22 Behold therefore the goodness and severity of God: on them which fell, severity; but toward thee, goodness, if thou continue in his goodness: otherwise thou also shalt be cut off.

23 And they also, if they abide not still in unbelief, shall be grafted in: **for God is able to graff them in again.**

KJV

Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/9 11:29

Hi moe\_mac

Quote:  
-----I just wonder was he referring to when the wild Olive shoot will be grafted back in, after the rapture of HIS church, when the fullness of the gentiles has come.  
-----

I don't take Romans 11 to be about national salvation for Israel or mass salvation of Gentiles, but that each branch = one believer.

I don't believe there will be time to be saved *after* the Lord returns.

Thus, I believe that those who are saved - that is, who have become part of the Church - the olive tree with *all* its branches - both Jews and Gentiles - will have been grafted into Christ the Root, *before we are caught up to be with the Lord*.

The return of Jews to a land of Israel I can make sense of only after all those Jews who should be saved, have been saved. Will the Lord have returned before they get their land, or, is that one of the things which happens *because of His return*? I don't know.

Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/9 13:14

Dorcas wrote:

"I continue to resist the rumour that God is going to take away the veil from all Jews in a supernaturally sovereign act, before the Lord returns, as Israel's blindness has always been partial only, and as Jews received Him in person when He walked on earth, the way is open still."

I agree Dorcas. When I read your post I was reminded of Derek Prince in Jerusalem. The Lord sent a bright light to Jerusalem through Derek and Others.

The Veil has been removed from the Holy of Holies and a way has been made for any who call on the name of the Lord to enter in through this new and living way.

This way is open for all Jews. I do think there will be a great harvest in the Holy Land of Jews who in the end will find out that statehood cannot save them. They will see that they have been lied to by modern Israel's founding fathers. None of whom embraced the Torah nor the Author of the Torah. They will look on Him whom they have pierced and mourn for Him.

Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/9 13:59

Hello ironwood. Amen to your thoughts above.

Perhaps you saw a recent thread in which I tried to bring our thinking in line with scripture, in that it was Romans who killed Jesus, and this accords with what He prophesied to His disciples about His death at the hands of *Gentiles*.

While accepting Jews were implicated by their actions and claim that 'His blood be on us and on our children', it was a Roman who pierced Him. All this is only one tiny aspect of God's goodness to *all* of us in sending the Saviour. There is more discussion in the thread, should you care to look it up.

([https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?mode=viewtopic&topic\\_id21059&forum36&start40&viewmode=flat&order0](https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?mode=viewtopic&topic_id21059&forum36&start40&viewmode=flat&order0)) I'm not joking... who do you believe killed Jesus?

Re:, on: 2008/1/9 14:27

Quote:

-----This all changed when Theodore Herzl's disciples came to the Holy Land.

Your referring to Zionism aren't you?

Re:, on: 2008/1/9 14:36

Quote:

dorcas wrote:

Hello ironwood. Amen to your thoughts above.

Perhaps you saw a recent thread in which I tried to bring our thinking in line with scripture, in that it was Romans who killed Jesus, and this accords with what He prophesied to His disciples about His death at the hands of *Gentiles*.

While accepting Jews were implicated by their actions and claim that 'His blood be on us and on our children', it was a Roman who pierced Him. All this is only one tiny aspect of God's goodness to *all* of us in sending the Saviour. There is more discussion in the thread, should you care to look it up.

([https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?mode=viewtopic&topic\\_id=21059&forum=36&start=40&viewmode=flat&order=0](https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?mode=viewtopic&topic_id=21059&forum=36&start=40&viewmode=flat&order=0)) I'm not joking... who do you believe killed Jesus?

-----  
Again I'm not Ironwood but feel compelled to answer:

To be honest, I was so convinced on who actually killed Jesus I did not even read the thread. Although the Roman Soldiers actually pierced him, the fact is our sins crucified HIM (ISA 53:5 as Prophesied) why HE was required to die. In our stead (Matt 20:28  
28 just as the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give his life as a ransom for many.")

I don't believe, unless I've forgotten, I ever clicked up the thread, but I'm sure this was covered by someone in the thread. Now, I gotta go read the thread.

Later  
moe\_mac

Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/9 15:19

Ironwood quote:

This all changed when Theodore Herzl's disciples came to the Holy Land.

Compliment asks:

"Your referring to Zionism aren't you?"

Yes. I purposely left out the "Z" word for a specific reason. I have no trouble using it but the people I was referring to as the likes of those mentioned in Rev 2:9 and 3:9 have purposely blurred their terminology. All Jews in Israel are told that they are Zionists from the moment they step foot in School. Jew=Zionist=Jew. This is the big lie. Herzl had no regard for the Torah. His dream was a Jewish state. Almost all of the Rabbis and Hebrew Sages of Europe warned against this. They said that it would cause much catastrophe to Jews both in the Holy Land and diaspora.

Again, the hate crime legislation is also aimed to preserve this lie. They want Joe Public to believe that if someone exposes Zionism of the Herzl ilk that they are in the same breath attacking Jews. Nothing could be further from the truth.

AIPAC uses the same tactics. If a politician even questions AIPAC policy then they will sic the ADL on them and label him or her a Jew Hater.



Politicians have lost their careers by just suggesting something contrary to AIPAC's wishes. AIPAC is known as "The Lobby" in Washington.

These organizations are no friend to the Jews. Hundreds of thousands of Jews see what is going on but their voices are ignored in the press.

**Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/9 16:11**

ironwood said

Quote:  
-----They want Joe Public to believe that if someone exposes Zionism of the Herzl ilk that they are in the same breath attacking Jews. Nothing could be further from the truth.  
-----

It is a relief to find an American who can explain this without being accused of being anti-Semitic. Others have tried to make this point before, but been met with that very cry :-)

**Re: - posted by deltadom (), on: 2008/1/9 18:01**

Quote:  
-----This week, the UK removed The Holocaust from its school curriculum because it 'offended' the Muslim population which claims it never occurred.  
-----

What other history will be removed, the Holocaust is known history. I have not offended enough Muslims in the UK. Why do we worry about offending Muslims.

Is forgetting history making history reoccur!! Will a Holocaust happen in the UK

**Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/1/9 18:08**

ironwood wrote:

Quote:  
-----  
Politicians have lost their careers by just suggesting something contrary to AIPAC's wishes.  
-----

Hey Ironwood. I do enjoy your posts. Very thought provoking.

Do you have any sources for this statement?

Thanks in advance again :-)

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/10 1:10**

ironwood wrote:

Politicians have lost their careers by just suggesting something contrary to AIPAC's wishes.

Miccah asks:

"Do you have any sources for this statement?"

"Virtually everyone of our representatives are subservient to the same lobbies, passing on average 100 resolutions per year favorable to Israel and written by the lobbyists, obsequiously fawning before AIPAC's annual meeting where its very existence is touted as of "significant benefit for both the United States and Israel," and where no one dares to question or criticize the state of Israel lest they suffer the fate of those who have, and lose their seats in Congress. This one might argue is coercion. Can it be documented? One need only research the congressional and senate races that put Paul Findley, Cynthia McKenny, Charles Percy and the few other renegades that dared to be critical of Israel out of their positions. "The handful of members of Congress who have been critical of Israel over the last 40 years have been publicly chastised with a figurative dunce cap or, worse, lost their seats to AIPAC-backed opponents" (NewsMax.com, May 1, 2006. "Israel the Third Rail of American Foreign Policy," Arnaud de Borchgrava, Editor at large of the Washington Times).

<http://www.counterpunch.org/cook10052007.html>

"By blindly following AIPAC, Congress reinforces a hard-line consensus: Criticizing Israeli actions, even in the best of faith, is anti-Israel and possibly anti-Semitic; enthusiastically backing whatever military action Israel undertakes is the only acceptable stance."

~Ari Berman

[http://www.thenation.com/doc/20060814/aipacs\\_hold](http://www.thenation.com/doc/20060814/aipacs_hold)

Here is a video documentary about AIPAC:

<http://ru.truveo.com/Documentary-The-Israel-lobby-The-influence-of/id/4021037870>

Politicians Paul Findley, Cynthia McKenny and Charles Percy were critical about some of Israel's policies and paid the price for it. They did not criticize Judaism but politicians are labeled anti-semites if they oppose "The Lobby".

This is not good for Israel or the US.

**Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/1/10 3:23**

Hi Ironwood,

I just looked at some of the websites and references that you listed. One question kept popping in my mind. Would Jesus read this stuff?

In America, you have a right to your opinion and beliefs, and I respect that right. But all I see on these sites is scary. Look at CounterPunch. What do you see listed in the book section? anti-Bush, anti-Israel, anti-troops, anti-local/state government, BUT pro-Chavez, pro-Cuba, pro-socialism, pro-unmarried sex, pro-Beatles and a neutral book about Bears (the furry kind).

Besides the bear book, all I see on this site is messages of hate being given. As believers, should we open ourselves up to this kind of filth?

**1 Corinthians 15:33**

Do not be deceived: "Evil company corrupts good habits."

I hope you have, but if not, seriously pray on this. Go to that secret place and see what the Lord tells you. Its humbling, but turn this over to the Lord. He will always lead straight. :-)

**Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/10 6:13**

Miccah asked

Quote:  
-----Would Jesus read this stuff?  
-----  
Brother, isn't that rather like asking if He agreed with how the Pharisees portrayed God? It doesn't mean there is no truth in what is being published, but one needs a clear idea of the edges of truth, to recognise that which is not.

I agree that it may be a matter of maturity or calling, which allows some people to deal in certain areas of life without themselves being polluted, while others faced with the same situation feel thoroughly compromised or contaminated. It's a matter of, 'if you can't stand the heat, get out of the kitchen!'

Any worldly site is likely to accept non-Christian values, but it doesn't mean that the integrity of reporting or analysis should be doubted. In fact, because they are writing against the system, there is greater onus on them *not* to exaggerate their findings. Even non-Christians have an eye for truth, desires towards righteousness, personal honour and aspirations

If freedom of the press means anything, this kind of reporting is quite okay. We have a magazine which uses the underbelly of current events as a platform for its satirical take on truth, and believe me, that kind of black humour is harder to digest; although we did have a member on SI a while ago who pointed out that satire was used by OT prophets, (I'm not sure which ones - before you ask), I don't find this justifies my indulgence in secular versions of it, as a Christian.

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/10 8:11**

Miccah wrote:  
"I just looked at some of the websites and references that you listed"

I do the same thing. I obviously don't agree with everything on these websites. I was referencing AIPAC and I understand that these are not "Christian" sites.

I would much rather prefer finding a Christian website to reference when I can. I'm really not trying to be sarcastic but should I go to a "Christian" site such as Hagee and Co. to find objective references on AIPAC? Hagee refuses to mention the saving name of Jesus at conferences such as CUFI. He is an AIPAC darling. He doesn't even believe in evangelizing Jews.

I will just mention only one more example since I don't want to derail the thread subject:

You mentioned Hugo Chavez. Chavez is obviously not a poster boy for righteousness but do Christians really know what is behind the demonization of him in the American press?

Hugo witnessed the horrors of "Reaganomics" in Central and South America. Democratically elected leaders were assassinated and thug dictators who were favorable to American imperialism were put in their place via trained terrorist graduates of the School of the Americas. Hugo refuses to play the game so the American press, which is obedient to those who own them, demonizes him. It is very hard to find a Christian news website that will even bring up these subjects.

Should I go to Pat Robertson's website? He called for the assassination of Chavez. He also used donated money to fly humanitarian missions in Africa. He was a friend of the brutal dictator Mobutu and several of the "humanitarian missions" were actually used to fly mining equipment to his diamond mine.

Have you ever heard of Venezuelan Gustavo Dudamel? At age 26 he was chosen to lead the Los Angeles Philharmonic Symphony. He is a product of Chavez's "evil regime".

This is not anti-American. I love my country even though I am a pilgrim passing through. My permanent home is heaven.

I am also a friend of the Jews. Corrie ten Boom is our family heroine. Four times I have been to the "Beje", the house where she grew up in.

I will expose the enemies of the Jews. Some of their enemies call themselves Jews. AIPAC, the B'nai B'rith, Anti Defamation League are among them. They militantly push their agenda for a "Jew only" secular Holy Land and cover their bloody tracks as they call those who would cry out for justice for the Palestinians "Jew Haters". Would Jesus condone the forcing of an entire people in to squalid refugee camps? Especially in a nation as wealthy as Israel?

Israel gets more US aid than any other country yet she is the only country that does not have to account for how they spend their aid. Israel has had a nuclear arsenal as early as the 1960's yet she is not held accountable for it as other countries are.

This is not being anti-Israel. It does not help the Jews to turn a blind eye to what Israel does. To do so actually foments hatred among the international community.

Was Jesus being a "Jew Hater" when he called some of their leaders a brood of vipers? Of course not.

Enough of my rantings and ravings.

We should be in prayer for all peoples for Jesus went to Calvary for all peoples. The Jews, the Palestinians and all other peoples. Even for the globalists. We have two enemies. Our flesh and the devil. I have set my heart to be about my Father's business. Along the way He shows me what is really going on. Not everything of course! I am still learning. Things are not the way they seem. I strive to be able to hear the voice of my Shepherd. Some others may say the same things as my Shepherd but the voice is different and I don't recognize it.

It is paramount that as the darkness and deception grows that we do not let our love grow cold.

Blessings

ironwood

**Re: THE HOLOCAUST AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, on: 2008/1/10 10:06**

ironwood said

Quote:

-----Things are not the way they seem.  
-----

This is the beginning of making sense of much which goes unsaid, but is neither invisible nor unreal.

**Re:, on: 2008/1/10 10:32**

Quote:  
-----These organizations are no friend to the Jews. Hundreds of thousands of Jews see what is going on but their voices are ignored in the press.  
-----

This is true. There is a worldwide organization called, " (<http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com/>) Jews Against Zionism" who believe that Jews do not belong in Palestine, they have been in exile and remain in exile because of disobedience. They know there is no scripture in the bible that speaks of their return.

It's funny though, my Christians brothers don't see the same thing as they do. The JAZ are right!

Iron said:

Quote:  
-----Things are not the way they seem.  
-----

You are very right sir.

**Re: - posted by Compton (), on: 2008/1/10 11:02**

Quote:  
-----Things are not the way they seem.  
-----

This is the beginning of making sense of much which goes unsaid, but is neither invisible nor unreal.  
-----

Well, when you guys get to the bottom of this thing, be sure to let us all know. ;-)

MC

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/10 12:28**

Compliments wrote:

"This is true. There is a worldwide organization called, "Jews Against Zionism" who believe that Jews do not belong in Palestine, they have been in exile and remain in exile because of disobedience. They know there is no scripture in the bible that speaks of their return."

Actually JAZ believes that God Himself will bring the Jews back to the Holy Land. They believe that to take it upon themselves to do so is disastrous. They believe that modern Israel is a work of human hands and not God. They do not believe that the end justifies the means. When God brings the Jews back to the Holy Land they will be a blessing and not a curse.

They despise the blue hexagram which was never a symbol for the Jews. The Israeli hexagram on the flag came from the Rothschild family shield. Six points, six triangles with a six sided middle represents 666 and has always been an important occult symbol. This is where we get the term to put a "hex" on somebody. The symbol for Israel is either a menorah or a fig tree.

God's promises to Abraham are yea and amen both physically and spiritually. The Bible never promised a secular state for Israel after Messiah came. Jesus did not die for a piece of turf. One reason He went to the Cross was so that all who call upon His Holy Name, both Jew and Gentile, could dwell with Him forever in New Jerusalem which is the real promised land now.

The Western Church was prepared to believe that 1948 was a fulfillment of Bible prophecy to a great extent through Cyrus Scofield's footnotes. The Scofield reference Bible had been a standard in seminaries for quite some time.

Your High school history teacher never told you about Plan "D" or in Hebrew, Plan "Dalet".

Westerners and Israelis are fed a sanitized history. Many are opening their eyes to what really happened and to what is going on. More Jews are leaving the Holy Land than immigrating to it. They see that Israeli Nationalism is the state god

and that the Torah is being trampled under foot more and more. Many of the Orthodox Jews in Jerusalem refuse to take on Israeli citizenship and they suffer for it.

JAZ ilk groups travel to Iran and speak with Iranian leaders. Iran welcomes them. They see the difference between Jew and Zionist. The Iranian leaders see who the Zionists are and what they do. They do not want to push all the Jews in to the ocean. They want to stop the Zionist government from starting more dirty wars in the name of "Eretz Y'Israel". The Western press does not see the difference so any Arab voice against Zionism is interpreted as a voice against all Jews in general.

Again. There was relative peace for many years in the Holy Land between the hundred thousand Palestinian Moslems, Jews and Christians who lived there before Herzl's disciples showed up.

A land without a people for a people without a land was a blatant lie. Plan "D" worked extremely well so there was no opposing voice to these lies.

It is unfortunate that many hate groups use these things to foment more hatred for Jews. They also do not distinguish between national Zionists and Jews.

Pray for the peace of Jerusalem.

Edited one sentence for clarity  
Jan 10 @ 5:16 my time.

**Re:, on: 2008/1/10 14:43**

Brother Ironwood, maybe you could explain to me what this that Dusty posted means from this link -

<http://www.templeinstitute.org/megillat-bush.htm>

Where they address -

"Esteemed Mr. George W. Bush, the chief prince of Meshech and Tubal (Ezekiel 38:1), leader of the west!"

:-?

What in the world is going on out there?

**Re: - posted by ironwood, on: 2008/1/10 19:22**

HeReigns,

"Esteemed Mr. George W. Bush, the chief prince of Meshech and Tubal (Ezekiel 38:1), leader of the west!"

I read that post at RS and I haven't got a clue what that means. It may be a warning for him not to rock the boat with their wishes.