



why do some people dont get healed? - posted by Ole, on: 2008/6/17 13:36

Paul had his thorn, Wlgglesworth had his problems, and so on.

you could say in some cases, it is unbelief, or they havent heard from God or so, but is it really that simple?

can some one please help me understand this subject?

God bless

Ole

Re: why do some people dont get healed? - posted by Thommy2 (), on: 2008/6/17 13:40

Although I think we can ALWAYS pray to be healed and be in God's will...sometimes it just doesn't happen. We have to remember that we are in a fallen sinful world, and although a sickness may not be from a direct personal sin/rebellion (lik e some churches teach) we do live in and through the aftershocks of a rebelious fall. I think this is an issue we will not k now until we see His glorious face

Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2008/6/17 15:13

Well, this might not be the answer you are looking for but... sometimes God just sees it best that a healing doesn't take p lace. Why? I'm sure there can be many factors. All of which God knows. :-)

I know some preach that physical healing was part of the atonement. I think that since good godly men, even in the scriptures, had physical ailments it should be proof enough that physical healing isn't included in the atonement.

Consider if physical healing is in the atonement. If physical healing is in the atonement just like forgiveness of sins are in the atonement then the same reasons that a person's sins are forgiven or not forgiven are the same reasons a person is or isn't healed. Is that always the case? Is sin and unbelief of the individual really the only reasons any person on the earth is sick?

Thankfully, there will be a resurrection!

Re: - posted by theopenlife, on: 2008/6/17 16:20

This is a question many people ask, especially in circles where sickness is directly associated with sin. It is a sad fact that I know a man whose sister-in-law was expelled from her church for prolonged sickness, which they took for evidence that she was in secret sin. What a terrible and unfortunate misunderstanding of the scriptures! Perhaps a glance into God's word will now reveal, as Jesus did, that sickness is not always a result of unrepented sin or faithlessness, but is sometimes allowed by God for the sake of manifesting His glory.

Let us begin with this passage from John's gospel, 9:1-3:

"And as Jesus passed by, he saw a man which was blind from his birth. And his disciples asked him, saying, Master, who sinned, this man, or his parents, that he was born blind? Jesus answered, Neither hath this man sinned, nor his parents: but that the works of God should be made manifest in him."

A common belief is that all sickness is a consequence or evidence of one's sin-nature, a sort of earthly punishment for being sinful. Others belief it is proof of a "lack of faith". There are some errors with this line of thought. If illness was in fact always and only a by-product or proof of indwelling depravity, or of faithlessness, then it would make sense that sickness is something which only sinners can justly experience. However, this would not explain why other creatures which are neither sinful nor capable of faith also experience sickness. What do we make of rabid dogs, diseased cattle, or avian flu?

Let us first dispense with the notion that animals are sometimes sinful or faithless, and so endure sickness. Certainly

animals sometimes inconvenience or even endanger humans, but this is not sin. If it were, God himself would be a sinner! Sin is nothing other than the violation of God's law. Animals have no sin because no law was given to them. Ro mans 5:13 makes this plain, saying that "Where there is no law, sin is not imputed." Why then do sinless creatures and f orgiven people sometimes endure weakness and suffering?

To understand the answer we must go back to the origin. When the world was first created God granted Adam authority to subdue and represent it. Adam was the federal head of earthly creation. When he sinned all of the physical creation w hich he represented was cast into the temporal repercussions of suffering with him. The creation now looks forward, as it were, to being set free from these effects of the fall.

"The creation itself shall also be set free from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. For we know that the whole creation groans and travails in pain together until now."

Sickness in itself is neither sinful, nor necessarily a repercussion of personal sin or faithlessness, or else animals would be necessarily sinners. I assure you, my dog will not be at the judgment seat awaiting his sentence, but this very night he is sick with an infection in the eyes. His sickness comes as the effect of Adam's sin. Though animals are not sinners, they are federally subjected to the temporal consequences which their and our original head, Adam, brought upon the creation.

For this same reason Christ, though born of a virgin and thereby free from spiritual corruption in his soul, was birthed int o the physiological weaknesses of his mother. He dealt with the same temporal repercussions of sin in the world which a nimals face. He hungered after fasting, his capillaries burst under stress, and he thirsted on the cross.

The people who said of Jesus, "isn't this the carpenter, the son of Joseph?" may have remembered him pricking his fing er on a splinter, quickly drawing it to his mouth. How could such a normal person be the Christ, they thought? Yet Jesus was not only fully God, but fully man in every way, sin excepted. In order to perfectly represent broken men, Christ had to come as a broken man. The author of Hebrews explains why.

"Therefore since the children are made out of flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the same; so that t hrough death he might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil... For this reason he had to be made like his brethren in every respect, so that he might be a merciful and faithful high priest in things pertaining to God, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people."

For these reasons I believe even Jesus was prone to sickness. So, the next time you feel a cold coming on, lift your pray ers to Christ who was himself tempted to complain and become discouraged, yet never succumbed to the temptation. He is merciful and compassionate toward all his children whom he represents as a perfect priest before the Father. He may desire to heal you now or, like the blind man in John 9:1-3, to use your patient endurance of illness as a means to manifest God's glory. My prayer is that you saints would be "strengthened with all might, according to his glorious power, unto all patience and long-suffering with joyfulness."

Hope that helps you, God bless.

Re:, on: 2008/6/17 20:39

This will really bless you. My mother died of cancer so this really touched my heart:

http://www.vineyardboise.org/hear the word/2007/adventure/14 Mae Rodes.wmv

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2008/6/17 22:41

I know some preach that physical healing was part of the atonement. I think that since good godly men, even in the scrip tures, had physical ailments it should be proof enough that physical healing isn't included in the atonement.

Physical healing is provided in the atonement of Christ. Otherwise, on what basis would healing be provided? Indeed, s ome glad morning when this life is over, all who believe will experience the benefit of the healing that is provided in the a tonement. For the perishable will put on the imperishable, and we will be transformed.

The issue is not if healing is provided in the atonement, rather, the issue is in regard to the time and application of that h ealing. While there is indisputable connection in the gospels between faith and healing, there is also the issue of why so me went unhealed. Sometimes, indeed, healing could not come because of a lack of faith. But as in the case of the beg gar that Peter and John healed outside the temple (who, no doubt, Christ must have come across at some time), sometimes the timing simply is not right.

To me, it seems a mystery as to why God heals some and waits to heal others. It would seem every situation is unique and contains a number of variables. But ultimately, it boils down to God showing mercy to whom He shows mercy.

Re: why do some people dont get healed? - posted by HopeinChrist, on: 2008/6/18 15:25

This verse really helped me when my daughter was born with down syndrome.

Exodus 4:11

11And the LORD said unto him, Who hath made man's mouth? or who maketh the dumb, or deaf, or the seeing, or the b lind? have not I the LORD?

Also in the gospels you can see accounts where Jesus healed but not everyone was healed. Of course that does not an swer why but at least we can see healing, even when Jesus was physically on earth was not dispensed to everyone. Go d heals whom and when He chooses.

Hope

Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2008/6/18 16:00

Quote:Physical healing is provided in the atonement of Christ. Otherwise, on what basis would healing be provided?
What scriptures do you think directly teach there is healing in the atonement? I don't see why God would have to be pro pitiated to heal someone. I understand why He would be to forgive sin, but not to heal.
There will be a resurrection, but that isn't because of the cross but rather of Christ's resurrection. I guess I don't see healing the same as resurrection.
Quote:The issue is not if healing is provided in the atonement, rather, the issue is in regard to the time and application of that healing.

If healing is in the atonement like forgiveness of sins are, then they should be received similarly. Where in scriptures doe s a person believe God and then years later finally get justified? I'm under the impression the moment you believe you a re justified. I understand that will ultimately be on the day of Judgment, but is a believer justified now or not? If he is just ified now, then a person should be healed now. If we aren't justified now how can anyone be healed now? That is if heal ing and forgiveness of sins are in the atonement.

Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2008/6/18 16:17

Here is another question if healing is in the atonement:

Are we to expect to live in "divine health"? If healing is in the atonement and we are walking in faith, I don't see how we could ever get sick. If sickness always has a direct connection with sin/unbelief- which seems to be remedied by the ato nement- then we should only assume if someone is sick they are in sin/unbelief.

To me, the logic seems to flow as follows:

- 1)The atonement remedies sin/unbelief.
- 2) Sickness is directly related with sin/unbelief.
- 3) Therefore the atonement contains healing.
- 4) and in turn the only reason someone is sick is because they haven't received the atonement.

One of these statements have to be false or we should expect to live in divine health, right?

Re: - posted by Thommy2 (), on: 2008/6/18 16:25

Hey PreacherParsly I think King Jimmy answered your question

"Indeed, some glad morning when this life is over, all who believe will experience the benefit of the healing that is provided in the atonement. For the perishable will put on the imperishable, and we will be transformed. " - King Jimmy

If you were to define healing in the atonement based on King Jimmy's statement above I think that is very biblical. I have diabetes and I know b/c of the atonement i can continually pray and be in God's will for him to heal me. I also know that someday whether in this life or the next I will be healed. When I am healed of my diabetes it will only be b/c the at onement of Jesus Christ.

I don't think King Jimmy (based on the statement above) is trying to say that you are instantly promised healing upon reg eneration.

Thommy

Re:, on: 2008/6/18 16:25

I just read Andrew Murray's book, (http://www.whatsaiththescripture.com/Voice/Murray.Divine.Healing.html) Divine Healing and it has changed my views on divine healing. I haven't had time to read this thread yet...but I thought I'd throw a b ook recommendation out there to add to the discussion. :)

Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2008/6/18 16:28

Quote:	
	-I don't think King Jimmy (based on the statement above) is trying to say that you are instantly promised healing upon regeneration.
	-

I don't think he believes that either (other than saying we will all be resurrected- which I agree), but if physical healing is in the atonement, I don't see how you can separate it from being promised instantly. Isn't justification promised instantly upon belief?

Re: - posted by Thommy2 (), on: 2008/6/18 16:35

"Isn't justification promised instantly upon belief?" -preachparsly

yes but so is glorification. those who He has justified He has also glorified...past tense. So I would say even if our glorification has not come to fruition we could still hold on to its truth as a past tense event. Couldn't we also do the same with healing? i.e. Even though I am still doing insulin shots today I know that b/c of the person and work of Jesus my diabete s has been healed and I am just waiting for the reality to come to fruition.

Thommy

Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2008/6/18 16:49

Quote:				
Co	ouldn't we also	do the sa	me with	healing?

I think it's a little different because some are actually healed today. Are any Christians here on earth glorified today? In other words, we expect this to be a future event unquestionably. There are certain things that will come in the future that we should hold fast until the end. And then it will come. We should surely believe in the resurrection- it's going to happe n.

I know that some sickness can be because of sin and that some are healed immediately upon faith. But the question is why aren't all?

Here is an example.

Php 2:25 Yet I supposed it necessary to send to you Epaphroditus, my brother, and companion in labour, and fellowsol dier, but your messenger, and he that ministered to my wants.

Php 2:26 For he longed after you all, and was full of heaviness, because that ye had heard that he had been sick.

Php 2:27 For indeed he was sick nigh unto death: but God had mercy on him; and not on him only, but on me also, lest I should have sorrow upon sorrow.

Epaphroditus in this case seems to be healed, but it tell us the reason he was sick. And it didn't say that it was because of sin or unbelief but because he worked so hard.

Php 2:30 Because for the work of Christ he was nigh unto death, not regarding his life, to supply your lack of service to ward me.

Actually, this doesn't seem like too bad of a characteristic to have.

Rev 12:11 And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death.

If the scriptures teach that healing is in the atonement, I'll believe it. Actually, I used to believe it... but I really don't think so anymore. And no, I haven't been getting sick since I've reconsidered it! Even with a few "Word of faith" books sitting on my shelf judgment hasn't fell on my apostasy. :-)

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2008/6/18 18:18

Quote:
What scriptures do you think directly teach there is healing in the atonement

That healing is provided on the basis of the atonement is taught in Matthew 8:14-17. In this passage, Christ heals Peter'

s mother-in-law, to which Matthew quotes the great Messianic passage, Isaiah 53:4, as the basis of fulfillment: "Surely o ur griefs He Himself bore, And our sorrows He carried..." The very next verse in that great passage, if you recall, goes onto say "...and by His stripes, we are healed."

This shows that divine healing finds its source in the crucifixion of Christ. Healing finds its source in the crucifixion of Christ just as the basis for the forgiveness of sins is found in His atoning sacrifice. For sickness and death did not enter this world save through the power of sin unleashed in creation at the fall. Thus, both are dealt with at the cross.

Quote:
f healing is in the atonement like forgiveness of sins are, then they should be received similarly.

Now we are getting into more tricky waters, areas which I care to tread more carefully. Healing, like the forgiveness of si ns, is received on the basis of faith. And the same type of faith that is released to bring about our salvation is the same type of faith that can bring about the healing provided in the atonement.

Where I part with those who hold to a word of faith view of healing (who insist that the child of God should never be sick, and should always walk in divine health, on the basis of faith), is that while healing is indeed provided in the atonement f or all who believe, the procurment of that healing as an abiding reality in the here and now is nowhere promised in Script ure.

Thus, while I understand that healing is provided in the atonement, and real physical healings can occur in the here and now on the basis of that, I also understand that we still live in jars of clay, that we live in a fallen world where things are a citvely decaying, including our human bodies. For, we are still eagerly awaiting the redemption of our bodies. While we can actively expect God, and believe God for healing in the here and now, ultimately, there may be times where we suff er greatly physically due to sickness, due to the fact we live in a fallen world.

Such may seem inconsistent. But, consider that in Hebrews 11 we read of individuals who died in faith believing God for the things God promised them, things they never obtained in the here and now. But there were others who by faith, obtained the things promised to them by God in the here and now.

Can we work out the seemingly arbitrariness of who gets healed and who doesn't? I don't think I for one can. But, what I do understand is that healing is provided in the atonement. In a sense, by faith, we all are healed at the moment of sal vation... that is, healing is provided for us in the atonement.

Thus, somebody who walks around coughing and is sick utters no lie when they say, "By His stripes I am healed!" Such a person no more lies than when Abraham introduced himself as Abraham, long before the child of promise ever came a long. For, "Abraham," if you recall, means "Father of many nations." Thus, long before Abraham ever received what Go d had already promised, Abraham was holding to and confessing what he was believing God for. The timing by which the child of promise came into this world, however, was entirely up to God. I think that little story can be applied to healing, which God has provided in the atonement.

Re: - posted by RobertW (), on: 2008/6/19 0:08

Now we have a problem. If healing is provided in the atonement in the *same sense* and on the *same basis* as salvation f rom Sin and sins, it would have to carry the same promise as God saying- "He was not willing that any should perish, but that all come to repentance." Otherwise we end up with an inconsistency and give opportunity to the faith healers to press their case.

It appears that Isaiah 53:5 and Hosea 14:4 are combined in I Peter 2:24. Even though I believe God heals today and ha ve been healed and so has my wife, I believe Isaiah 53:5 deals particularly and most importantly with healing from Sin (the root) and sins (the fruit). We are 'healed' of our sinfulness and our backsliding. Hosea 14:4 seems to be in view in I Peter 2:24, 25 as a combination of both it and Isaiah 53:5.

We have to be very careful here how we say healing is provided in the atonement because some people believe God has promised healing in the same sense that He offers man repentance and they are prepared to hold Him to their interpre tations of Isaiah 53:5 and I Peter 2:24. Yet even salvation requires the Holy Spirit's leading and involvement. When they don't get healed their faith begins to waver and some even wonder of they are saved because they approached both sal vation and healing in the same fashion. They reason that if they be not healed, what basis do they have to believe they a re saved?

God's sovereignty comes into play to a great deal with the subject of healing. Though we may be seech the Lord to let a cup pass from us, ultimately we must allow His will to be done. This means we do not accuse Him for not healing (as so me are prepared to do) or blame the sick for their lack of faith. In any case, in no way can salvation and sickness be gro uped together as being equally available in the atonement this side of the resurrection. To do so would be a serious esc hatological over realization.

We cannot just pull passages out of scripture and apply them to ourself as if to twist God's arm. The Holy Spirit will quick en the word to us and with that quickening we can respond in faith. People confuse faith and hope. Faith is trusting God; not trusting God to do *my* will, but trusting God as He carries out His will in my life whether I understand it or not; whether I like it or not.

Re: - posted by PreachParsly (), on: 2008/6/19 0:22

Quote:

------That healing is provided on the basis of the atonement is taught in Matthew 8:14-17. In this passage, Christ heals Peter's mother-in-law, to which Matthew quotes the great Messianic passage, Isaiah 53:4, as the basis of fulfillment: "Surely our griefs He Himself bore, And our sorrow s He carried..." The very next verse in that great passage, if you recall, goes onto say "...and by His stripes, we are healed."

Thanks for bringing that up. I'll consider it. The first thing that come to mind though is the original context and how Pete r uses that verse. They both seem to connect it directly with sin, rather than physical healing.

Isa 53:5 But he was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace w as upon him; and with his stripes we are healed.

Isa 53:6 All we like sheep have gone astray; we have turned every one to his own way; and the LORD hath laid on him the iniquity of us all.

1Pe 2:24 Who his own self bare our sins in his own body on the tree, that we, being dead to sins, should live unto righte ousness: by whose stripes ye were healed.

1Pe 2:25 For ye were as sheep going astray; but are now returned unto the Shepherd and Bishop of your souls.

I wonder if Matthew uses this similar as he does other OT scriptures.

Mat 2:15 And was there until the death of Herod: that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet, s aying, Out of Egypt have I called my son.

Now, to look at the original context of "out of Egypt..." we would never see it as a prophecy.

Hos 11:1 When Israel was a child, then I loved him, and called my son out of Egypt.

Hos 11:2 As they called them, so they went from them: they sacrificed unto Baalim, and burned incense to graven imag

es.

Surely, in Hos. this is actually talking about Israel the nation yet Matthew says it was "fulfilled" by Christ. It seems that M atthew sometimes takes scripture and when Christ does something parallel to it, he calls is a fulfillment. Here is another example.

Mat 2:17 Then was fulfilled that which was spoken by Jeremy the prophet, saying,

Mat 2:18 In Rama was there a voice heard, lamentation, and weeping, and great mourning, Rachel weeping for her children, and would not be comforted, because they are not.

Does the original context in Jer. actually refer to the killing of 2 year and under children? Or something else?

Jer 31:15 Thus saith the LORD; A voice was heard in Ramah, lamentation, and bitter weeping; Rahel weeping for her children refused to be comforted for her children, because they were not.

Jer 31:16 Thus saith the LORD; Refrain thy voice from weeping, and thine eyes from tears: for thy work shall be reward ed, saith the LORD; and they shall come again from the land of the enemy.

Jer 31:17 And there is hope in thine end, saith the LORD, that thy children shall come again to their own border.

I haven't looked really deeply into the issue but this seems to be something particular to Matthew's style. That is to take a scripture and parallel it with something that Christ did. If Matthew has done this with the scripture you referenced then it might not be he is trying to connect physical healing to the atonement. At least not a direct connection. There is a par allel like Israel being called out of Egypt and Christ coming out of Egypt. The two aren't necessarily directly connected in the sense that both are to convey the exact same thing*, but they are parallel.

* Here is an example of a scripture being fulfilled that are conveying the same thing in both contexts- which should lead us to conclude that they are literally fulfillments of a specific prophecy rather than a parallel fulfillment.

Deu 18:15 The LORD thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet from the midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me; unt o him ye shall hearken;

Joh 6:14 Then those men, when they had seen the miracle that Jesus did, said, This is of a truth that prophet that should come into the world.

Act 3:22 For Moses truly said unto the fathers, A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, lik e unto me; him shall ye hear in all things whatsoever he shall say unto you.

I'll do some more thinking about it but this is what first comes to mind.