

**Scriptures and Doctrine :: ADULTERY - Repent, or You Will Never Be Forgiven****ADULTERY - Repent, or You Will Never Be Forgiven - posted by bible school (), on: 2008/10/1 11:43**

Adultery is a sin which leads to hell. It is my duty as a minister to warn you, so that your blood will not be on my hands.

1 Corinthians 6:9 Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind,

Hebrews 13:4 Marriage is honourable in all, and the bed undefiled: but whoremongers and adulterers God will judge.

SHALL NOT INHERIT the kingdom of God - GOD WILL JUDGE

What does that mean? If you do not inherit the kingdom of God, does it mean you will be in a state of limbo? No, there are only two places to go. Either in the Kingdom of God, or in the caverns of hell. GOD WILL JUDGE - Anyone who comes under the judgment of God is sent to hell, because ALL HAVE SINNED. So - you need mercy, not judgment. And you won't get mercy if you are an adulterer. Mercy and Judgment are opposites. If you have one, you cannot have the other.

Now WHAT is Marriage, so that such a breakdown causes a person to be sent to hell? Is it in the power of a priest or a pastor to declare "Man and Wife", therefore determine whether one is sent to hell or heaven? No. Or can a Government Officer in the case of Civil Marriage be vested with such eternal powers? Never.

Marriage is a PHYSICAL ACT of taking the VIRGINITY of a woman, then she becomes your wife. How many of you are "married" by a priest (or in the Registry Office) to a woman who is not a virgin? Then your "marriage" is null and void. It has got nothing to do with being Born Again, or being a new creation. A woman is still your wife, irrespective of the new birth. TO PROVE THIS look at

1 Corinthians 7:12 But to the rest speak I, not the Lord: If any brother hath a wife that believeth not, and she be pleased to dwell with him, let him not put her away.

13 And the woman which hath an husband that believeth not, and if he be pleased to dwell with her, let her not leave him.

14 For the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband: else were your children unclean; but now are they holy.

15 But if the unbelieving depart, let him depart. A brother or a sister is not under bondage in such cases: but God hath called us to peace.

16 For what knowest thou, O wife, whether thou shalt save thy husband? or how knowest thou, O man, whether thou shalt save thy wife?

So we see that a person is still married, even if he then becomes a new believer! He is still married if he is an "Unbeliever"! You are still married to the woman you took virginity from, even if "you were not saved" at the time.

MERCY AVAILABLE ONLY ON REPENTANCE

In the text we see "ADULTERERS, GOD WILL JUDGE" we are to understand it means a PERSISTENT Adulterer. Many have committed adultery, but no longer. These can have mercy. The Judgment of God only extends to those who persist in it, and do not give it up.

Re: ADULTERY - Repent, or You Will Never Be Forgiven, on: 2008/10/1 12:53

Quote:

-----You are still married to the woman you took virginity from, even if "you were not saved" at the time.

The last sentence of your post was correct in which you said: "*The Judgment of God only extends to those who persist in it, and do not give it up.*"

However, I have a problem with the quote at the top of my post. First of all, you're suggesting that if all that happened... and then someone becomes born again, they are still bound by that sin? No. One can not be born again unless they have repented of their sins, it's part and parcel. There need not be some separate cleansing of this sin alone.

And secondly, you're making a real stretch when you say marriage is the taking of the woman's virginity. If that were the case then Noah was married to his daughters.

Taking the virginity of a woman (or man) outside of marriage is called **fornication**, not adultery. That's why scripture makes a distinction.

Bibleschool... you need to learn a little more. ;-) The scriptures you presented as "proof" do not prove your points. Those scriptures are about divorce and remarriage, and have nothing to do with premarital sex.

Anyone who persists in either sin will not inherit the Kingdom of God. But I can not allow you to handle scripture as loosely as you did here. Not trying to offend, just trying to reprove.

Quote:

-----Marriage is a PHYSICAL ACT of taking the VIRGINITY of a woman, then she becomes your wife. How many of you are "married" by a priest (or in the Registry Office) to a woman who is not a virgin? Then your "marriage" is null and void.

Really?? Then how do you explain God telling Hosea: "*Go, take unto thee a wife of whoredoms*" In God's eyes Hosea was married to a woman who was not a virgin... and in fact was a prostitute, and an unrepentant one at that. But God commanded him to **marry** her.

Krispy

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/10/1 13:17

This is a man-made religiosity, made up by man to help combat fornication, nothing more.

This smells of Catholicism all over it.

Re: - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2008/10/1 13:21

This issue is spoken of in this sermon:

Watershed of the Evangelical World by Francis Schaefer

<https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/mydownloads/singlefile.php?lid=17300&commentView=itemComments>

Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2008/10/1 17:24

Krispy wrote:

Quote:

-----If that were the case then Noah was married to his daughters.

Mind explaining this? I never heard this concept before.

Thanks!
ginnyrose

Re: - posted by bible1985, on: 2008/10/1 19:21

I think it is possible for a born again believer to commit adultery and i'm sure everyone has done it in their heart already. But i don't know any born again believer who would not repent of it sooner or later unless they didn't have the holy spirit. Your assuming that someone would continue in it. Why does hell and heaven depend on our actions over what christ has done and us submitting to that truth completely. Jesus's death was not for part of our sins but for all of them. But the truth is that a born again believer will repent even if it takes a mighty hand of God to do so. Even let satan torture the person physically until they repent. A righteous man call fall 7 times and will get back up every time. A wicked person will continue in it. Don't underestimate the power of the conviction of the holy spirit and the chastizing of our lord, he will bring his child back somehow, if not he will bring him home. Adultery is a terrible offense and lusting is too. But our Lord will finish the good work he began in you, this is not the old testament where the the holy spirit didn't abide in the humans, and they fell away off into their own persistent will. But now Gods law shall be written in their hearts through his son Jesus Christ and he will make them obedient to him through his spirit.

Re: - posted by dohzman (), on: 2008/10/1 19:25

I think Krispy was talking about Lots daughters possibly?(incest?)And made a typo error???????

Re:, on: 2008/10/2 8:26

My bad... how embarrassing! Oh boy! lol...

Hey, listen, when I teach I gaff all the time. My wife is right there afterwards to point them all out for me!!

My face is red.

Somehow I think I got mixed up in my mind about Noah getting drunk and his son seeing his "nakedness", and the story about Lott.

Sorry folks!

Krispy

Re: - posted by bible1985, on: 2008/10/2 21:49

no problem, we love you still.

Re: - posted by bibleschool (), on: 2008/10/3 4:49

Marriage is a PHYSICAL ACT of taking the VIRGINITY of a woman, then she becomes your wife. This is what is meant by "God making the two into one flesh" (Matthew 19:5). It is NOT by taking a mere "vow", it is by a PHYSICAL ACT. How many of you are "married" by a priest (or in the Registry Office) by taking a vow, to a woman who is not a virgin? Then your "marriage" is null and void. Four points to note:

1. VIRGINITY respects a Woman, not a man. We never hear of the Virgin Joseph. Only the Virgin Mary.
2. Marriage is FOUNDED ON VIRGINITY. Several Scriptures IN THE KING JAMES BIBLE prove this, both in the Old Te

statement (Exodus 22:16 and Deuteronomy 22:28-29), and the New Testament (1 Corinthians Chapter 7, read verses 28, 34 and 36).

3. Divorce is tantamount to Adultery. Jesus Christ himself teaches this (Matt. 5:32 - Matt. 19:3-9 - Luke. 16:18 - Mark. 10:2-12)

4. It has got nothing to do with being Born Again, or being a new creation. A woman is still your wife, irrespective of the new birth.

etc.. etc...

Re: ADULTERY - Repent, or You Will Never Be Forgiven - posted by rowdy2 (), on: 2008/10/3 7:59

Reply to

I have only been married to one woman but she was married before she and I were. She has a son that she and I raised from the age of two. I have previously referred to him on this forum as my forty eight year old son. In some of the more base religions my wife and I were not forgiven at the time we were saved even though they claim all the nasty vile things they did were all forgiven. Go figure!

Eddie

Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2008/10/3 8:30

Quote:

-----My bad... how embarrassing! Oh boy! lol...

Hey, listen, when I teach I gaff all the time. My wife is right there afterwards to point them all out for me!!

My face is red.

Somehow I think I got mixed up in my mind about Noah getting drunk and his son seeing his "nakedness", and the story about Lott.

Krispy, we all make mistakes....but you did have me scratch my memory of trying to figure out what you were saying! At least your post was not three pages long. :-)

God bless and take care!

ginnyrose

Re:, on: 2008/10/3 8:38

Bibleschool... on some points you're correct, but your overall assertion is flat wrong.

Did God consider Hosea married to Gomer or not? The correct answer is: He did recognize it as marriage. She was in no way even close to being a virgin, and was unfaithful even in marriage. Yet she was still his wife in God's eyes.

Of course the story about Hosea has a much deeper meaning, and is a prophetic analogy of God's relationship to unfaithful Israel... but we can certainly learn from the practical sense of the book too.

Now, if what you're attempting to say were true then God completely contradicted Himself by **commanding** Hosea to marry a wife of "whoredoms".

Many of us here were not saved until well after we were married, myself and my beautiful wife included. Neither of us were ever married before, but neither of us were virgins when we met either. At the point of our salvation (she was saved a while before I was) our past was forgiven. Period. End of story. Nothing before that matters anymore.

You are only partly correct when you say that "becoming one flesh" is a physical act. You're leaving out completely that it is **mainly** a spiritual act, a spiritual joining together. That is why scripture calls it a "**mystery**".

The physical act isn't any mystery. So why would scripture call it one?

You're laying down a law on people that doesn't exist anywhere in scripture. That would make you a legalist.

Now listen, we're probably more together than not on the divorce issue. Scripture makes it quite clear about divorce and remarriage. And certainly there are a lot of people in the church today who remarried outside of the guidelines of scripture. We won't have any argument on that point.

But I'm also not one of those who say "you must leave your current wife and children and live in exile" as some have put forth on that forum before. No, what they need to do is repent for their past mistakes before God... and move on, recognizing that because of their past they are scripturally ineligible to hold certain positions within the church... such as pastor.

But I don't promote tearing apart families. I don't see God in that at all. What the devil meant for bad, God can turn around for good. And He forgives.

If you wish to live under the laws you're making up, be my guest. I'm going to live according to scripture.

Krispy

The errors I perceive. - posted by bible school (), on: 2008/10/3 8:40

The errors I perceive.

1. Pre-marital sex is a sin, where "pre-marital" means "before making a vow" by way of church wedding, priest blessing, or signatures on a paper.
2. That "fornication" means the above - it rather means, having sex with a non-virgin. Technically, Fornication comes from the Greek word "porno" which is commonly known as "the display of a woman in sex to a man who is not her husband". Biblically, it means a woman having two husbands - where the Pharisees retorted at Jesus suggesting they had another father besides Abraham, they stated - "We are not children of fornication"
3. The errors developing from the above. When Jesus says, "you cannot get a divorce, saving for fornication". People have said this to mean, if your spouse has sex with another person, this gives you the right to divorce. NO. The truth has been turned inside out, with this interpretation. The truth is, You can NEVER divorce. You can only divorce if the woman was never your wife in the first place, ie, she was not a virgin, which is why Jesus says, divorce is permissible in the case of fornication.
4. Fornication is seen as the CARDINAL sin - which is another error. This belief comes from seeing fornication as so bad, as to warrant dividing asunder what God has joined together. Naturally, many errors diverge out of the one fundamental mistake of forgetting that MARRIAGE = VIRGINITY

Rightly Dividing the Truth - posted by bible school (), on: 2008/10/3 9:49

RIGHTLY DIVIDING THE TRUTH

Regarding Hosea, your reasoning would appear plausible, except that Hosea was a singular case, much like Isaiah is told to walk naked on the street:

Isaiah 20:2 At the same time spake the LORD by Isaiah the son of Amoz, saying, Go and loose the sackcloth from off thy loins, and put off thy shoe from thy foot. And he did so, walking naked and barefoot.

Is the Bible teaching us to walk naked on the street? In the same way, is the Bible teaching us to marry a wife of whores, as Hosea?

No, in fact the Bible is teaching us to do the very opposite:

1 Corinthians 6:15 Know ye not that your bodies are the members of Christ? shall I then take the members of Christ, and make them the members of an harlot? God forbid.

Hosea's "wife" is only called his wife, because marriage can sometimes less strictly be defined as having sex, whether with a harlot, or indeed with anyone:

1 Corinthians 6:16 What? know ye not that he which is joined to an harlot is one body? for two, saith he, shall be one flesh.

The cases where Jesus proposes divorce is the situation where a man is married in this less strict sense.

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2008/10/3 10:27

Quote:

-----Marriage is a PHYSICAL ACT of taking the VIRGINITY of a woman, then she becomes your wife.

I think it is the other way around, actually. This thread is coming dangerously close to being locked. Proceed with discretion. Remember there are both men *and* women discussing this, and there can also be children here - and I, for one, am becoming a bit uncomfortable with the pervading imagery of marital conjugation. This is okay to mention in passing, but when we park our trucks on it and open the tailgates (as we seem to be doing here), we're going to have a problem.

Re:, on: 2008/10/3 10:49

I agree with Paul... I dont think that this discussion should get anymore "detailish".

Suffice it to say that I think on some minor points you are correct... but your overall point is completely off base.

On top of that (and this is only my own perception and may be completely wrong) I dont get a sense that your purpose in starting this thread is to lovingly warn folks agaisnt falling into sin... but seems rather to be coming from a place of condemnation.

I am reminded of the woman caught in the act of adultery. Once the crowd scattered Jesus knelt down and Jesus does not say "Sin no more, and then I won't condemn you." This is the way LAW/RELIGION answers the question. By the way, Old Testament LAW doesn't accept this formula; it says "Don't sin at all, and then I won't condemn you." According to God's LAW, the first time we break it we become deserving of God's condemnation (James 2:10).

Instead, Jesus/GRACE says "I do not condemn you--now go and sin no more." He isn't saying merely that he won't prosecute her (he can't). Neither is he saying that she isn't responsible for her actions ("go and sin no more"). Neither is he saying that he accepts her apology to him (she didn't apologize or do anything to him). He is forgiving what she did to others, and to God. In other words, he is issuing a declaration of divine forgiveness (as in Mark 2), even though she is guilty!

You would do well to learn less LAW... and more GRACE.

Krispy

Re: - posted by A-Run (), on: 2008/10/3 10:56

Quote:

-----This thread is coming dangerously close to being locked. Proceed with discretion.

Rightly said by Paul West of locking this. I think, as others do, that the comments made here (esp. by "bible school") are disturbing. I dont agree with these on many a time.

Re:, on: 2008/10/3 11:11

Hey guys... I would like to apologize if anyone thinks I went to far.

Sometimes it's a fine line about how to discuss issues like these. What "Bibleschool" is putting forth here is unscriptural and does need to be rebuked. Any attempt to put believers in bondage to supposed "law" should be confronted.

Let grace rule the day.

Krispy

Re: - posted by A-Run (), on: 2008/10/3 11:17

Hi

I don't even think what "Bible school" is talking about is "Law" either.

Re: Rightly Dividing the Truth - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2008/10/3 12:18

bibleschool,

Methinks your are complicating a very simple concept and in doing so making it very confusing.

For starters may I suggest you go study the OT law to see how the scriptures define adultery, immorality and the law's response to it and then come back to see what Jesus says about it. The Scriptures will define the meanings of these terms and that is what one must go with, not inject our own interpretation.

For starters go to Leviticus 18, 20-21 and Deuteronomy 21 and 22. When you understand the context (under the OT law) in which Jesus lived, his words will make a whole lot more sense.

BTW, there have been many threads on SI dealing with divorce and remarriage: You may want to check those out.

ginnyrose

Re:, on: 2008/10/3 13:14

Quote:

-----I don't even think what "Bible school" is talking about is "Law" either.

It isnt, not in the context of OT Law. It's "Bibleschool"'s law derived from a gross misunderstanding and misapplication of scripture... which in my mind is even more dangerous.

Krispy

Re: Restitution Undone - posted by bible school (), on: 2008/10/3 23:28

I perceive restitution is left undone.

You cannot be saved while robbing a bank. While your gun is pointed to the bank cashier, can you be in a justified state?

If you then get saved, would God accept you in his kingdom, while you did nothing to return the money? While you held it in your hand, or while you had the means to repay it - could God accept you, while you withhold it thus?

Shall we not say the same when a man has another man's wife? Can he be justified while he thus withholds her, and does not restore her to where she belongs?

We would call it an abomination for a thief to not return what he stole, on coming to Christ. How then can we stop short of coming to the same conclusion, in respect to stealing another man's wife?

Indeed, this is the meaning of a little known sermon of Charles Finney's called SALVATION IMPOSSIBLE TO THE SINNER

You may listen to it here. (It is in fact me who is reading it to you):

<https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/mydownloads/visit.php?lid=15055>

Re: ADULTERY - Repent! - posted by Llewellyn (), on: 2008/10/20 6:43

Well look at this!

Summary made by Dean Taylor of Early Church Doctrine on Marriage, Divorce and Remarriage 90 A.D. – 419 A.D.

If a spouse persists in adulterous behavior and there is no other alternative, the marriage relationship can be terminated by the innocent party (Hermes, Clement, Jerome, Augustine).

Spouses that are divorced for any reason must remain celibate and single as long as both spouses live. Remarriage is expressly prohibited (Hermes, Justin Martyr, Clement, Origen, Basil, Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine).

To indulge in lust with the mind is to be guilty of adultery of the heart (Justin Martyr).

Whoever marries a divorced person commits adultery (Hermes, Justin Martyr, Clement, Origen, Basil, Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine).

Whoever contracts a second marriage, whether a Christian or not, while a former spouse lives is sinning against God (Justin Martyr, Ambrose).

God does not, and the Church must not, take into account human law when it is in violation of God's law (Justin Martyr, Origen, Ambrose).

God judges motives and intentions, private thought life and actions (Justin Martyr).

The marriage covenant between a man and a woman is permanent, as long as both husband and wife are alive (Clement, Origen, Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine).

It is a serious offence against God to take another person's spouse (Basil).

The Church must charge all persons who are in possession of another living person's former husband or wife with adultery (Basil).

Scriptures and Doctrine :: ADULTERY - Repent, or You Will Never Be Forgiven

Marriage and affection with a remarried spouse while a former spouse lives is the sin of adultery (Hermes, Justin Martyr, Clement, Origen, Basil, Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine).

It is a serious mistake to believe that it is simply one's right to divorce a spouse and take another. Even though human law may permit such a thing, God strictly forbids it, and cannot, and will not honor it (Clement, Origen, Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine).

Anyone who follows human customs and laws regarding marriage, divorce and remarriage, instead of God's divine instructions should stand in fearful awe of God Himself (Clement, Ambrose).

All lawmakers, in and out of the Church are warned, to their peril, to hear and obey the Word of the Lord in regard to His commands on marriage and divorce (Ambrose).

Christians are to stop making excuses and trying to find justification for divorce and remarriage. There are no valid reasons acceptable to God (Jerome, Augustine).

A marriage is for life. No matter what a spouse turns out to be, or how they may act, what they do or don't do, or the sins they commit, the covenant remains fully in effect. A remarriage while a former spouse lives is not marriage at all, but sinful adultery. God does not divide the one flesh relationship except by physical death (Hermes, Clement, Origen, Basil, Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine).

Marriage is a lifelong covenant that will never be invalidated by God while both parties live (Hermes, Justin Martyr, Clement, Origen, Basil, Ambrose, Augustine).

It never has been lawful, it is not now lawful, and it never will be lawful to divorce and remarry. To say and do otherwise is to worship and adopt the adulterous superstitions of a different God than the one to which we have to do (Augustine).

How does God See It?

Listen to Roman Kauffman on the subject.

Re:, on: 2008/10/20 8:59

I dont care what any of these men might have said if it doesnt jive with scripture.

Interesting that you quote from Catholic "Saints". That wont get you too far around here.

Some of the things they said are scriptural... but some of them are not. For instance, in scripture if an unbeliever leaves their believing spouse... the believing spouse is "free", meaning free to remarry.

All of the men you quoted from taught false doctrines that eventually led to the false religion of Catholicism... the great whore spoken of in Revelation 17. Why in the world we hold up what they had to say as proof of anything?

I'll stick with scripture. Not every divorce comes with a command to never remarry. There are cases where someone can remarry... in the case of adultery and in the case of an unbeliever abandoning a believer.

Krispy

scripture! - posted by Llewellyn (), on: 2008/10/20 10:06

Quote:

-----I dont care what any of these men might have said if it doesnt jive with scripture.

Amen to that.

So also I don't care what you would say, seeing it doesn't jive with scripture, sorry I don't want to sound discourteous.

Romans 7 and many other scripture clearly teaches that remarriage is adultery. You would defend an unbiblical concept of remarriage that is ok with me, but make sure you not fighting against God.

One man with God is a majority, remember that.

The sad truth is many people today think in a theological box that they grew up in, God have mercy on us. His word has not changed, Jesus called it adultery and so did the Apostles and the Early Church and the Anabaptist and many other Godly men of old.

“Interesting that you quote from Catholic "Saints". That wont get you too far around here.”

You missed the point; I don’t want to get far around here nor any were. Some of these men are the disciples of the Apostles them self. I suppose you know better then them.

Strange that men would try to justify sin when they should call for repentance.

I can agree that some of those names are questionable. Yet I placed them all up seeing this is an open forum (some are from this back ground some from that) so one will read this post and find him self confronted because those whom he sees are quoted. Yet I often wonder why it is so easy to disregard these men of faith and not rather your own concepts and understanding. It can be that we have become so proud and self conceited; therefore strife often comes when he that is wrong is too blind to see it.

Why give men false hope?

Listen to

Re: scripture!, on: 2008/10/20 12:19

Well then... what does scripture say?

Matthew 5:31-32 *“It has been said, ‘Anyone who divorces his wife must give her a certificate of divorce.’ But I tell you that anyone who divorces his wife, **except for marital unfaithfulness**, causes her to become an adulteress, and any one who marries the divorced woman commits adultery.”*

Matthew 19:3-9 *Some Pharisees came to him to test him. They asked, “Is it lawful for a man to divorce his wife for any and every reason?” “Haven’t you read,” he replied, “that at the beginning the Creator ‘made them male and female,’ and said, ‘For this reason a man will leave his father and mother and be united to his wife, and the two will become one flesh’? So they are no longer two, but one. Therefore what God has joined together, let man not separate.” “Why then,” they asked, “did Moses command that a man give his wife a certificate of divorce and send her away?” Jesus replied, “Moses permitted you to divorce your wives because your hearts were hard. But it was not this way from the beginning. I tell you that anyone who divorces his wife, **except for marital unfaithfulness**, and marries another woman commits adultery.”*

1 Corinthians 7:15 *But if the unbeliever leaves, let him do so. **A believing man or woman is not bound in such circumstances**; God has called us to live in peace.*

These are obvious exceptions to the rule that both Jesus and Paul (writing under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit) give. There is no other way to interpret what it means to be "not bound".

You're putting down a man-made law on fellow believers. It's not a scriptural law by any means.

If a spouse is unfaithful and reconciliation is impossible... divorce is permitted and not held against the offended party, and they are free from the bounds of that marriage, and therefore remarriage would not be adultery... because they are NOT BOUND.

If an unbeliever leaves the believing spouse (abandonment) then the believing spouse is clearly NOT BOUND (as scripture says) to that marriage. "Not bound" means just that... NOT BOUND... and can therefore remarry.

Period. There really is no debate about it.

Krispy

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/10/20 12:32

Llewellyn,

I looked up the link you provided and read the "confession of faith". There is a ton of man-made rules installed in place of grace.

I serve the Lord who fulfilled the law. Krispy is right, this is a non-debate.

God bless you - posted by Grysaard (), on: 2008/10/20 13:49

Few still stand for the truth.

How blessed I was to read your post Llewellyn.

I looked at your links, what a blessing. May the work there in Namibia prosper.

I have had three marriages, God deliver me from the second two before I even knew the danger I was in. Today I praise Him for His mercy on my life, I can just rejoice when I realize what He has done.

I am a single grandfather today. I can honestly say I have seen the error of second marriages and all the confusion it brings.

Remarriage is adultery!!

Amen Brother Llewellyn - posted by Flyod (), on: 2008/10/20 14:04

The scripture says: "And in the house his disciples asked him again of the same matter.

Mar 10:11 And he saith unto them, Whosoever shall put away his wife, **and marry another, committeth adultery against her.**"

Re: God bless you, on: 2008/10/20 14:05

Quote:

-----Remarriage is adultery!!

Remarriage, **except** in the cases that scripture shows us, is adultery.

Welcome to Sl.

Krispy

Re: Amen Brother Llewellyn, on: 2008/10/20 14:08

Flyod... why not post ALL the scriptures pertaining to divorce and remarriage instead of copy pasting the ones you like?

We can not take single verses out of context and say "ah-ha! gotcha!". Doesn't work like that.

While those verses are true that you posted, you are conveniently ignoring the clear teaching in scripture that there are two exceptions to the "remarriage is adultery" issue.

Not to mention that there is very little room for mercy and forgiveness when we condemn all those who have remarried.

What is being declared here is unscriptural, folks.

Welcome to SI too! :-)

Krispy

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/10/20 14:28

I am so thankful that our God is a God of mercy and grace. I'll take grace everytime.

Re:, on: 2008/10/20 14:36

Hi. Long time viewer... second time poster under a new acct.... (i took a break!!!)

From what I can gather from some first time posts and newly registered accts it seems there's a bit of 'like-minded support' that is showing up. I won't say collusion but I've noticed it. I'm not speaking of the theology itself, but the 'set up' of support.

Re:, on: 2008/10/20 14:37

Yea, I checked out the statement of faith of that website... I'm not prepared to call it "legalistic" because that has to do with the heart, and I don't know their heart. However, I won't put myself under the law, and this is all about law.

Krispy

Praise God! - posted by Llewellyn (), on: 2008/10/20 15:50

Hey if this post draws new users I praise the Lord.

I can see that this topic will be going nowhere. I will pray for you Krispy.

I will leave it for now, yet know this. The Word can not be changed to your liking. All you say can be disproved, just by one word.

The wife is bound by the law as long as her husband **liveth**; but if her husband be **dead**, she is at liberty to be married to whom she will; only in the Lord. (1Co 7:39)

You give just one scripture that so clearly say that a divorced person may remarry, not this assumption business. Come just one!! There aren't any. Many that leave room for divorce yet none that say "you divorced person can marry again"

I know many saying **clearly** don't.

I know brother Llewellyn - posted by Flyod (), on: 2008/10/20 15:57

That does not change the truth of this doctrine that the Lord teaches those that can hear.

Re: Praise God!, on: 2008/10/20 15:58

Quote:
-----I will pray for you Krispy

I'll take prayer whenever anyone wants to offer it. :-) ...but if your prayer is that I'll change my position on this one, I beg you to find something else to pray about. I have a trip to make to Nashville tomorrow... perhaps you could pray about that.

I presented scripture to you. Just saying that I didn't doesn't make it so. I would recommend if you can't seem to understand where I'm coming from on these verses that you pick up a couple commentaries and see what they say. Just make sure they aren't written by Catholic so-called "saints".

You are asking for scripture that specifically says "in this case you can remarry", which it does when it states clearly that in 2 cases the one spouse is "no longer bound". Take an English class.

The Bible does not specifically say a believer should not do drugs either. Does this mean we can? That's the logic you're using. Some churches don't have musical instruments because the NT doesn't say they should have them. That's a bad way to approach scripture. That's how legalism and false teachings enter into a church.

Krispy

Re: Praise God! - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/10/20 16:26

Llewellyn wrote:

Quote:

I know many saying **clearly** don't.

As do I. As does Krispy. As do many Christians. I agree with most of what you are saying. But... not all scripture says "don't". And that is the issue at hand.

Shall we lean on our own understanding? - posted by Flyod (), on: 2008/10/20 16:42

The debates of the ages seem to always want to humble the other to prove his own superiority. This is what Krispy is doing. You see he has read all the commentaries and has become wise. Scripture says that our wisdom and our knowledge, can pervert us. So how shall I answer?

I will answer him according to his folly. For it seems he needs some Greek classes to know that "bondage" in the mentioned scripture means "to enslave"; and in context it means that she is at liberty to now only serve the Lord and not her husband as well. It does not say she may remarry.

You have no scripture to support our ideology. :-)

Re: Shall we lean on our own understanding? - posted by Miccah (), on: 2008/10/20 17:59

Ya, it is fun to frazzel Krispy.

Opps :-P

Re: - posted by TaylorOtwell (), on: 2008/10/20 18:25

I beg you, in the love of Christ, to read this entire post before responding.

Marriage is never presented in the Bible as solely physical union.

Marriage involves covenant. Hence, the bride of Christ are partakers in the new covenant. Marriage involves a covenant between both parties to be married for the entirety of their lives. Fornication involves no such covenant and no such promises; therefore, is not marriage.

Other aspects of Biblical knowledge come into play here. There are covenants, and then there are signs of the covenants. There was covenant with Noah, and there was the rainbow, the sign of the covenant. There was the covenant with Abraham, and then there was circumcision, the sign of the covenant. There is the new covenant with those of faith, and then there is baptism and the Lord's supper, signs of the covenant.

Marriage is the covenant, physical union is the sign of the covenant. It follows the pattern of the divine covenants through

hout Scripture.

One can partake of the Lord's supper and baptism (signs of the covenant), yet not be married to the Lord. Likewise, one can sinfully partake of the sign of the covenant of marriage, yet they are not married to the person.

Adam and Eve were joined together by God in a covenant relationship of marriage. **Notice in Matthew 1:19 that Joseph is called Mary's husband before any physical union has taken place.** Why? They had entered into a covenant.

There are people on this board who genuinely desire to follow the Lord according to his revealed word in the Scriptures, and if your teachings were Biblical, we would gladly submit to them out of love for Christ. However, the fact is, as I have noted above, they aren't Biblical.

You said in your original post that you desired to "get the blood off your hands". If that was your desire, you have already done so. You should hold your peace now, lest the Lord find you guilty of fulfilling this verse:

Wherefore thus saith the Lord GOD; Behold, I am against your pillows, wherewith ye there hunt the souls to make them fly, and I will tear them from your arms, and will let the souls go, even the souls that ye hunt to make them fly. Your kerchiefs also will I tear, and deliver my people out of your hand, and they shall be no more in your hand to be hunted; and ye shall know that I am the LORD. Because with lies ye have made the heart of the righteous sad, whom I have not made sad; (Ezekiel 13:20-22)

Re: - posted by MrBillPro (), on: 2008/10/20 21:41

"Then neither do I condemn you,' Jesus declared. 'Go now and leave your life of sin.'" If Jesus did not condemn her and forgave her that is all I need to know about how my Lord and savior and how he treats folks that have committed adultery. It's called Grace folks Grace and Grace is the "ONLY" way we will get into Heaven, because none of us deserve Heaven, not one of us.

Re: Shall we lean on our own understanding? - posted by crsschk (), on: 2008/10/20 22:57

This thread is not very helpful. It got off on the wrong foot and some of the comments have been turned to leveling charges at others.

For our newer members, please read the following;

(https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?viewmodeflat&order0&topic_id14144&forum13&post_id&refreshGo) MUST READ: SermonIndex Forum Disclaimer / Community Rules

Just as well, this is not the first time this subject matter has been broached here. Please try a search of the site.

Thread locked.