

News and Current Events :: The whole world needs to adopt China's one-child policy

The whole world needs to adopt China's one-child policy - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2009/12/16 0:52

The whole world needs to adopt China's one-child policy

The "inconvenient truth" overhanging the UN's Copenhagen conference is not that the climate is warming or cooling, but that humans are overpopulating the world.

A planetary law, such as China's one-child policy, is the only way to reverse the disastrous global birthrate currently, which is one million births every four days.

The world's other species, vegetation, resources, oceans, arable land, water supplies and atmosphere are being destroyed and pushed out of existence as a result of humanity's soaring reproduction rate.

Ironically, China, despite its dirty coal plants, is the world's leader in terms of fashioning policy to combat environmental degradation, thanks to its one-child-only edict.

The intelligence behind this is the following:

- If only one child per female was born as of now, the world's population would drop from its current 6.5 billion to 5.5 billion by 2050, according to a study done for scientific academy Vienna Institute of Demography.

- By 2075, there would be 3.43 billion humans on the planet. This would have immediate positive effects on the world's forests, other species, the oceans, atmospheric quality and living standards.

- Doing nothing, by contrast, will result in an unsustainable population of nine billion by 2050.

Humans are the only rational animals but have yet to prove it. Medical and other scientific advances have benefited by delivering lower infant mortality rates as well as longevity. Both are welcome, but humankind has not yet recalibrated its behavior to account for the fact that the world can only accommodate so many people, especially if billions get indoor plumbing and cars.

The fix is simple. It's dramatic. And yet the world's leaders don't even have this on their agenda in Copenhagen. Instead there will be photo ops, posturing, optics, blah-blah-blah about climate science and climate fraud, announcements of giant wind farms, then cap-and-trade subsidies.

None will work unless a China one-child policy is imposed. Unfortunately, there are powerful opponents. Leaders of the world's big fundamentalist religions preach in favor of procreation and fiercely oppose birth control. And most political leaders in emerging economies perpetuate a disastrous Catch-22: Many children (i. e. sons) stave off hardship in the absence of a social safety net or economic development, which, in turn, prevents protections or development.

China has proven that birth restriction is smart policy. Its middle class grows, all its citizens have housing, health care, education and food, and the one out of five human beings who live there are not overpopulating the planet.

For those who balk at the notion that governments should control family sizes, just wait until the growing human population turns twice as much pastureland into desert as is now the case, or when the Amazon is gone, the elephants disappear for good and wars erupt over water, scarce resources and spatial needs.

The point is that Copenhagen's talking points are beside the point.

The only fix is if all countries drastically reduce their populations, clean up their messes and impose mandatory conservation measures.

from: <http://www.financialpost.com/story.html?id=2314438>

Re: The whole world needs to adopt China's one-child policy - posted by ccchhrrriiisss (), on: 2009/12/16 1:18

Wow. However, this mentality should not surprise us. It is the worship of this planet by people would prefer to worship the creation rather than the Creator.

These sort of people truly believe that God does not exist...and that this world is all that is truly important. Thus, Earth has become their "god," so they will do whatever they can to prevent people from desecrating it or using up its natural resources. They fight the destruction of animals and the environment. They push population control (even though they have long claimed that the world cannot sustain a population of 2 Billion). They worship on the altar of dirt. They evangelize through environmental, animal and birth control activism. *Imagine* (by John Lennon) is their hymn and anthem. Christians (and, more importantly, the God of Creation) are their enemy. Abortion, homosexuality and environmentalism is their mission.

These folks fail to remember the FIRST command to humanity given by God. God said, "*Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth*" (Genesis 1:28). First of all, God told man to "be fruitful and multiply" -- which goes against ABORTION and HOMOSEXUALITY. Secondly, God gave man dominion over the entire planet (and everything in and upon it). This goes against the more radical ideas of ENVIRONMENTALISM and ANIMAL RIGHTS.

There are a set of stones in Georgia that are considered the Law of Humanism. The monument was created anonymously, but contain all of the "commandments" of these humanists.

Quote:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely - improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion - faith - tradition - and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth - beauty - love - seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth - Leave room for nature - Leave room for nature.

Interestingly, commandment #3 on this list (a universal language) was the featured logo for Google just yesterday -- in honor of the foolish creator of *Esperanto*, L. L. Zamenhof. They have even celebrated the birthday of Charles Darwin (a racist and proponent of Eugenics) with a special logo this year. Yet, Google has refused to create a logo for "Christmas" for several years.

Yes, the very subtle ideas of this "new religion" of humanism have been desperately trying to undermine the Creator of the Universe for many years. The good news? Jesus Christ is still alive...and He wins in the end. No matter how pushy these abortionists, environmentalists, homosexual activists and general humanists might become -- they will all one day bow down before the King of Kings and Lord of Lords.

:-)

Re: - posted by Compton (), on: 2009/12/16 1:27

I second Chris' wow. Scary left wingers.

Re: The whole world needs to adopt China's one-child policy, on: 2009/12/16 2:28

...Forced abortions in china. People do not realize that the ONE CHILD policy in china is not the reality of the LAW. Agriculture workers can have as many as FOUR.

It's the Drone doctrine. The providers alone may multiply some...but only to enhance the elite....

Say a Christian woman who violates this;...and does not comply....she can LEGALLY be kidnapped and a forced murder.

der/abortion committed upon her. MAO says amen.

The BEAST is given his name to describe his wonderful character. God help us.

Re: , on: 2009/12/16 8:09

This is pathetic... and all based on junk science. While this article says that decreasing the population rate is the only answer the "climate change"... the whole climate change issue is proving to be based on completely false evidence! Even Al Gore had to apologize this week for false information in his movie!

This is just more stupidity on the rampage.

Krispy

Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2009/12/16 9:37

Quote:

-----Even Al Gore had to apologize this week for false information in his movie!

Al Gore apologized??!!! I did not think he had the capability, the smarts to do that!

Limiting population growth - wonder where that animal has been hiding? I keep reading on the web how sociologists were very concerned about the lack of population growth....On the other hand, I recall reading years ago of an animal rightsist wacko saying that he is looking forward to the day when the earth will be rid of people to allow the animals to live at will ...! SIGH.

ginnyrose

Re: - posted by PassingThru, on: 2009/12/16 9:45

All this is playing out according to the UN's Agenda 21 'script'.

Have a look for "Agenda 21 Henry Lamb" on Youtube.

PassingThru

Re: - posted by enid, on: 2009/12/16 9:50

Just think for a moment...

How many of us would never have been born had the world adopted the one child policy?

I wouldn't have been.

But God had other plans.

God bless.

Re: - posted by PassingThru, on: 2009/12/16 10:11

What goes hand in hand with this, is the fact that schooling/upbringing is being hijacked by the state.

Home-schooling is progressively being clamped down on. This map is interesting:

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legality_of_Homeschooling) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legality_of_Homeschooling

At the same time *what* is being taught in schools is being dictated by the state as is evident in the separate thread on the EU resolution banning the presentation of the Creationist view in schools.

The God-given right of parenthood is being oppressed as the socialists' human chook farm model is being installed.

PassingThru.

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/12/16 12:03

Do you guys realize that if the world adopted a one child only policy that within 50 years the population would be reduced to half of what it is presently? Amazingly scary stuff.

Re: , on: 2009/12/16 12:50

After looking at that homeschooling map... suddenly Canada looks like a good place to live... if it wasn't for all that snow and cold air!

Krispy

Re: The whole world needs to adopt China's one-child policy - posted by kathleen1 (), on: 2009/12/16 16:36

Greg, I don't know why you posted this, and I sure wouldn't think you're advocating this policy, but you quoted this article without any comment, so I'm wondering why you would even post this. Limiting births may not be visibly on the agenda in Copenhagen, but make no mistake it's one driving point behind their agenda.

The Bible says "be fruitful and multiply" the world mentality says no, don't do that. We'll starve. There will be too many people. Well why don't they just say that God didn't know what He was doing when He made the earth, didn't foresee the population explosion, and didn't provide what will be needed to sustain it.

A one child policy will lead to 1-loss of freedom, 2-forced abortions, and that's just the top two dangers. What about the unintended or eventual consequences? Control the population by limiting births, getting rid of the elderly, (yes that is where this kind of mentality leads-get rid of the weak and anyone with perceived uselessness, or burdens on society, i.e., the disabled and elderly).

Any government that would put this kind of policy in effect doesn't care a whit about human life. Where will it end? The elderly will be pushed toward euthanasia, told they are a burden to their families-which will get rid of the wisdom of the elderly, because they have lived and seen things this younger generation needs to know.

I may not be able to put this in good words, but I strongly, STRONGLY disagree with this birth restriction thing. You start limiting people's reproductive freedom,(and where would that end?) and you fall in line with the new world order that is getting a grip on our world, our nation's government, and you end up on the opposite side of God. No thanks.

Re: - posted by ccchhhrrriiisss (), on: 2009/12/16 18:23

Hi kathleen1...

Quote:
-----Greg, I don't know why you posted this, and I sure wouldn't think you're advocating this policy, but you quoted this article without any comment, so I'm wondering why you would even post this.

I certainly know that Greg isn't advocating such a policy. He simply saw a "news" article and included it here (like a "sign of the times"). I've done this often...and I certainly didn't take a position in favor of the individuals focused in the article

s. I simply found a notable article that appears to have relevance to the Body of Christ. I think that this is what Greg has done by including this article.

By the way, I agree with the rest of your comments.

:-)