

**Scriptures and Doctrine :: New NIV Version being released****New NIV Version being released - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2010/10/23 23:04**

It is interesting they are working on a new version of the NIV. when they released the TNIV with gender neutral translation there obviously was quite a negative reaction. I use the older translation of the NIV much!

it will be interesting to see what changes they make to the translation. here is a website about the process:

<http://nivbibleupdate.com/>

Re: New NIV Version being released, on: 2010/10/24 0:04

Go to the very bottom of this article and you will find more things to watch out for in the "new" version. It will be interesting if they correct those things, too.

http://www.av1611.org/kjv/tniv_intro.html

TNIV & THE LORD JESUS
TNIV & CHRIST
TNIV & WITH CHILD
TNIV & HOMOSEXUALITY
TNIV & MASCULINE PRONOUNS
TNIV & MAN
TNIV & FATHERS
TNIV & SISTERS
TNIV & MORE BLUNDERS

This is not my website and I am not KJV ONLY. I am KJV Preferred because I fellowship with any believer regardless of what Bible they read.

Regardless of this website's "bent", this link is the most thorough info I have found on the TNIV.

Re: - posted by Giggles (), on: 2010/10/24 0:06

I've seen KJV/NIV parallel bibles...that seems like a good idea. A good literal coupled with a paraphrase to expound a little.

Re: , on: 2010/10/24 0:21

Websters Dictionary helps, too. :-)

Literal Version does not help when verses are missing or big parts of verses are missing.

Ok, now, I am not picking a fight. Far from it, but can we please educate new believers?

Gotta love this one. I wonder if they will fix it, also.

1 Corinthians 6:8-10, TNIV

8 Instead, you yourselves cheat and do wrong, and you do this to your brothers and sisters.

9 Or do you not know that wrongdoers will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: Neither the sexually immoral nor idolaters nor adulterers nor male prostitutes nor practicing homosexuals

10 nor thieves nor the greedy nor drunkards nor slanderers nor swindlers will inherit the kingdom of God.

Why not "practicing" murderers, or "practicing" liars, or "practicing" slave traders?

Scriptures and Doctrine :: New NIV Version being released

The fact is – every time "practicing" shows up in the TNIV it ONLY applies to "homosexuals". And the fact is – every time "homosexual" shows up in the TNIV it is blurred with "practicing". There is no basis in the Greek text for adding the word "practicing".

Why do the homosexuals get special treatment? Hmm. . .

http://www.av1611.org/kjv/tniv_homo.html

Quote:

-----that seems like a good idea

Boy, that's a phrase that has gotten many a man in trouble.

Re: New NIV Version being released - posted by ccchhrrriiiss (), on: 2010/10/24 1:19

Quote:

It is interesting they are working on a new version of the NIV. when they released the TNIV with gender neutral translation there obviously was quite a negative reaction. I use the older translation of the NIV much!

It will be interesting to see what they plan to do with this. I still use the original 1978 version of the NIV -- along with the NASB, several spanish versions and, of course, the current edition of the KJV. I still consider the 1978 NIV as the best academic version from the sources that its translators used.

I might send an email and try to find out their purpose for this revision. I agree that the gender neutral backlash might be the cause.

Re: - posted by SolaVeritas, on: 2010/10/24 21:19

I hope they will just correct those portions long criticized and debated, and leave the text otherwise as is. As a one day old Christian, I wandered into a bookstore only to stand dumbfounded before a large shelf of oh-so-many different Bible versions. Using my new found ability to talk to God, I asked Him to help me choose the right one for me, and ended up coming home excited with a brandnew NIV Bible(my english was basic at the time but I could understand this translation for the most part). He has taught me much from that first Bible and all I have memorized is from it. I would love nothing more than having all potential inaccuracies reviewed and corrected if necessary, without any other changes. As for the TNIV, may it be put out of print now and disappear quickly from every bookstore!

Re: - posted by Lesserlight, on: 2010/10/26 15:10

I too prefer the KJV and find it easier than other versions that by attempting to make improvements have done the opposite.

I see a certain repetition of words in the KJV that work as spiritual headlines to get my attention that I do not see in other translations

As far as the male female thing goes..... all of mankind has a soul that is female that comes from a male spirit (although the word 'spirit' is a neuter noun) just as Eve came from Adam.

God is male and female (spirit and soul) and so is man thus man was made in the image of God.

Jesus as the Son is definitely male and so is God the Father..... yet Jesus is the flesh manifestation of the soul (female) of God who is spirit (male).

Man being in both the image and likeness is the plan so Paul said "let this mind be in you that was in "Christ Jesus"

Any translation that clouds that being the will of God is IMO missing the mark

Blessings

Doug

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2010/10/26 15:49

Lesserlight.

I am sorry, but no where in the Bible can you find that any part of the Trinity is female. Its just not in there. To teach otherwise is to teach an unbiblical practice made by man.

The only comparison that can be made is through the HS sent as a helper, which in no way implies that the HS is female

Re: - posted by Lesserlight, on: 2010/10/26 16:06

Miccah

As far as the word "trinity" goes I do not care one way or the other about a word that does not appear in the Bible.

But.....

The soul is female both in its use and in the Hebrew language..... that you can take to the bank as well as God does have a soul.

The word "spirit" although gender free in the original Hebrew is always referred to in the male tense throughout the scriptures.

Blessings

Doug

Re: - posted by flameoffire (), on: 2010/11/1 19:26

The NIV 2011 went live on Biblegateway.com and Biblica.com. You can check out an interview with the chair of the translation committee at

<http://www.dashhouse.com/2010/11/interview-with-douglas-moo-on-the-updated-niv/>

and the translator's notes at

<http://www.biblegateway.com/niv/Translators-Notes.pdf>

and an introductory video from the committee

<http://www.niv-cbt.org/niv-2011-overview/video-featuring-dr-moo/>

outside sources are commenting that its about 95% the old NIV 2-3% TNIV and 2-3% new.

Re: - posted by Giggles (), on: 2010/11/20 19:02

Another perspective on an underlying issue regarding this new version:

<http://trevinwax.com/2010/11/16/the-niv-2011-forces-a-choice/>

Re: - posted by deltadom (), on: 2010/11/20 20:07

If it is based on the Wescott and Hort texts it still has not got any better

Re: - posted by dougr777 (), on: 2010/11/20 22:10

which text should we use?

Doug

Re: - posted by roadsign (), on: 2010/11/20 22:18

Here is some more "food" for discussion on the TNIV - put out a few years ago:

"The Untold Story of a Good Translation" by Craig Blomberg

http://www.tniv.com/retail_partners/docs/Blomberg.pdf

By the way, I look forward to using the updated NIV - on Bible Gateway. I wonder if makes everyone happy. Certainly we can respect the huge amount of research behind translation work today.

Diane

Re: - posted by ccchhrrriiisss (), on: 2010/11/20 23:07

Quote:

Another perspective on an underlying issue regarding this new version:

<http://trevinwax.com/2010/11/16/the-niv-2011-forces-a-choice/>

I read the article. It is interesting that the NIV 2011 is meant as a replacement for the original 1978 NIV. However, I haven't studied the new NIV enough to determine the rationale behind it. I have a feeling that the translation society simply wanted to make the original translation more accurate.

Now, I do think that the analogy with the KJV in the article is a bit flawed. The KJV went through several of its own revisions over a period of more than a hundred years. The current "King James Version" that most of us use today is the one eventually completed either the 1769 or 1850 version.

Re: , on: 2010/11/20 23:46

Anyone care for my thoughts on this?? ...lol

Didn't think so!

Krispy