



## Articles and Sermons :: Thoughts on the Minnesota Marriage Amendment by John Piper

## Thoughts on the Minnesota Marriage Amendment by John Piper - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2011/5/23 23:34

On May 21, 2011, the proposed Minnesota Marriage Amendment passed the House of Representatives by a vote of 70 to 62. This means that the question will be put on the ballot in the 2012 election.

If the amendment passes in November of next year, Article XIII will be amended to include the words, "Only a union of one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in Minnesota.Â"

The question submitted to the voters will be: A"Shall the Minnesota Constitution be amended to provide that only a union of one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in Minnesota?Â"

Here are some thoughts rooted in my Christian, biblical convictions that may help you think through this issue. I hope they help.

1. There is no such thing as so-called "gay marriage."

Except in a sentence like this one, I donÂ't think we should use the term Â"gay marriageÂ" or Â"same-sex marriage.Â" I think in our everyday discourse, we should say Â"so-called gay marriageÂ" or Â"so-called same sex marriage.Â" I would encourage politicians, pastors, and people to adopt this simple habit.

The reason is that in GodÂ's eyes, there simply is no such thing as so-called Â"gay marriage.Â" It does not exist. It cannot be made to exist by desires or decisions or language or laws. God ordained marriage with the words: Â"A man shall leave his father and his mother and hold fast to his wife, and they shall become one fleshÂ" (Genesis 2:24). Marriage is the union of a man and a woman in a lifelong covenant as husband and wife.

Humans donÂ't create or define marriage. God does. Not all humans believe this. But those who do, should not use the term Â"marriageÂ" to refer to any other relationship than the one God ordains.

## 2. Same-sex sexual relations are sin.

When the human relationship with God was broken at the beginning of our race, countless good things were broken, including the goodness of sexuality. When the vertical axis of our existence was disordered, the horizontal axis was disordered.

There are many tragic expressions of this disordering in the sphere of sexuality. For example, narcissism, exhibitionism, bestiality, pornography, fornication, adultery, abusiveness, coercion. All of us are broken sexually one way or the other and in need of the forgiving and healing mercy that only comes through Jesus Christ.

One of the expressions of this horizontal disorder of our sexuality is same-sex desires. Thousands of decent, moral people, including Christians, find this disorder in their desires. Many do not want it, but it is there. The apostle Paul describes the roots of it, along with other sins, in the disordering of manÂ's relationship with God.

exchanged the truth about God for a lie and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator . . . . For this rea son God gave them up to dishonorable passions. For their women exchanged natural relations for those that are contrar y to nature; and the men likewise gave up natural relations with women and were consumed with passion for one anothe r, men committing shameless acts. (Romans 1:25Â-27)

This does not mean that every person who finds same-sex desires in his heart has consciously brought it on himself by exchanging God for a lie. Some of the most God-honoring, Christ-exalting people may find themselves with deep disord ers.

PaulÂ's point is that, in general terms among the human race, a disordered desire for God has resulted in a disordered d esire for people. Homosexual desire is one form of that disorder. There are others.

As in the case of other disordered desires, God forbids that we indulge same-sex desires. For example, the apostle says , Â"Do you not know that the unrighteous will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: neither the sexually i mmoral, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor men who practice homosexualityÂ" (1 Corinthians 6:9).

Therefore, the practice of homosexuality is a sin. And we can see from this same verse that heterosexual adultery is als o a sin. Both sins are the indulgence of disordered sexual desires. Men should not desire another man or another manÂ's wife. Therefore, God forbids that we act on these desires.

Knowing how deeply dependent all of us are on the mercy of God for the forgiveness of our sins, and the healing of our peculiar brokenness, Christians should be slow to anger and quick with compassion. Jesus did not condone sin, but was compassionate with broken-hearted sinners. From the cross he even prayed for his proud adversaries: "Father forgive them, for they know not what they do" (Luke 23:34). Joe Hallett, who died of AIDS in 1997, helped me taste how conviction and compassion come together. I pray that this remarkable and rare combination will pervade the Christian community as the marriage debate continues.

3. Not all sins should be proscribed by human law, but some should be.

Almost everyone agrees that there are unethical actions that should not be illegal, and some that should be. Almost ever yone would agree that theft and murder are unethical actions that should be proscribed by human law. If life and propert y are not protected by law, living in society becomes virtually impossible.

But where to draw the line on which unethical actions are made illegal is a judgment call that in our system of governme nt is made by elected legislators. ItÂ's a pretty good system that balances the freedom of the human conscience (Luke 1 2:57; 2 Corinthians 5:11; Acts 17:11) with the rights of government to make laws and use force (Romans 13:1–4).

For example, looking at pornography should not be proscribed by human law. To be sure, the lustful use of pornography dishonors GodÂ's design for sexuality, damages male-female relationships, and corrupts a personÂ's capacity for holy a ffections. It is sin. But it should not be proscribed by human law.

Some of the reasons would be 1) without a common ground of biblical holiness, the precise definition of whatÂ's accepta ble to look at would entangle our lawmakers in hopeless disputes; 2) the privacy of the act would make the law virtually unenforceable; and 3) the indirect way that people are hurt make it unfeasible for the law to be handled with proper prop ortion. So there are many sinful behaviors that should not be illegal.

4. The legal significance of marriage makes a statutory definition necessary.

It is clear that some laws are necessary in relation to marriage. The clearest place this is seen is in relation to children. S exual union in marriage usually produces children. Marriage creates a mutual claim of parents to have the right to raise t heir children. These rights of parents must be protected by law because of the reality of kidnapping and because of cust ody conflicts that arise through divorce.

There are many other laws relating to marriage, such as inheritance laws, and the rights of married couples to own property or file income taxes together, and so on. The inevitable legal significance of marriage makes it imperative that there be a clear statutory definition of what it is.

5. It is wise that our laws define marriage as between a man and a woman.

This is not because homosexual practice or same-sex relationships should be legally stopped. Rather, itÂ's because the y should not be legally sanctioned. The issue is not whether same-sex unions are permitted, but whether they are institut ionalized. The issue is not whether we tolerate same-sex relationships, but whether we build on them as a foundation for society. The issue is not whether we forbid a particular sin, but whether we mandate social approval of that sin. The issue is not whether we block a sinful behavior, but whether we imbed it in our laws.

I am not making a case for the legal prosecution of homosexual practice. Nor would I advocate the legal prosecution of h eterosexual fornication. But I would make a case against the institutionalization of fornication, or making it a building bloc k of society, or mandating its approval, or imbedding it in our laws. It is one thing to tolerate sin. It is another to build soci ety on it.

## Articles and Sermons :: Thoughts on the Minnesota Marriage Amendment by John Piper

May God have mercy on us. Laws are not our Savior. We need a great awakening to the truth and glory of Jesus Christ f ar more than we need a marriage amendment. Our hope lies in the work of Jesus—for us on the cross, and in us by hi s Spirit. Be thankful for laws and for courageous political servants, but live for the gospel and the glory of Christ (Mark 8: 35).