





C | Mttp://www.sermonindex.net/

General Topics :: Is Christian Fundamentalism Really All That Bad?

Is Christian Fundamentalism Really All That Bad? - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/21 10:26

From the little I've read on Christian Fundamentalism, it began as a movement in the late 1800s as a reaction to liberalis m, a reaction to the denial of the authority of God's Word.

You can check out this article on it from wikepedia: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christian_fundamentalism

When I first came to the Lord around 13 yrs ago I was introduced to the teachings of Spurgeon, MacArthur, and others w ho many would consider to be Christian fundamentalists.

I remember back then taking lessons on the "Fundamentals of the Faith" and learning what is "Essential Christian Doctri ne". It was about learning of the deity of Christ, His virgin birth, His vicarious death on the cross for sinners, etc. All that I aid a foundation for me as to what doctrines I would believe for the coming years as a Christian.

Over the years as I've been sharing the gospel with non-believers I have noticed that they will use the term "Christian Fu ndie" as a put-down toward those of us who believe the Bible to be God's inerrant and infallible Word. But I have also se en in recent years that many Christians use it to put down other Christians, and in all honesty that has been somewhat d isturbing for me to see.

Granted, the most negative type of "Christian Fundamentalism" I've heard of and seen is the Fred Phelps type. But don't we see good and bad among all denominations or groups? Why throw out the baby with the bath water?

And I can understand why believers would be against simple "head knowledge" as opposed to "experiential knowledge". But doesn't God's Word also emphasize renewing our "minds" daily through God's Word right along with "walking in the Spirit"?

For the most part for me, Christian Fundamentalism has been about sticking firmly with child-like faith to God's Word an d sound doctrine and not straying away into liberalism, new agism, or any other destructive beliefs. Let's face it, we live i n a time when God's Word is being attacked on all fronts both from without and from within.

Would it really be that bad if Christians began to take a stand for God's Word and actually proclaim it from the roof tops? If believers would rise up and declare to a lost and dying world, "Thus says the Word of God!"? If we would stand up and preach boldly against all the wickedness of our day and warn every man to flee from the wrath to come, as scripture clea rly warns? If we would also boldly declare the good news of the cross of Christ and His resurrection from the dead and t he forgiveness of sins and salvation available only through Him?

Please tell me what I am not seeing that is so bad about "Christian Fundamentalism".

Re: Why I Am A Christian Fundumentalist - posted by ManofGod0000, on: 2012/1/21 10:56

Are you asking that question to be answered or are u declaring why you are fundamentalist?

Re: Why I Am A Christian Fundumentalist - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/21 11:07

Quote:	
Please tell me what I am not seeing that is so bad about	"Christian Fundamentalism".

Christian Fundamentalism in itslef is not a bad thing, it is when man steps in that it gets messy. People do not like to be confronted with the Word. When people are confronted with the Word and the truth of the Word, it can usually turn into a Ad hominem attack back from the hearer of the Word. Conviction is a great thing.

I try to live by this. We are called to preach the Word and Christ crucified. For the most part, we are not called to make sure that the people understand the message, only that they get the message. The Holy Spirit is the one that will have to work the message out inside of them.

Some are called to sow, while others are called to harvest. The Lord has made me a sower of the Word, this I know.

John 4:34-38, "Jesus said to them, Â"My food is to do the will of Him who sent Me, and to finish His work. Do you not sa y, Â'There are still four months and then comes the harvestÂ'? Behold, I say to you, lift up your eyes and look at the field s, for they are already white for harvest! And he who reaps receives wages, and gathers fruit for eternal life, that both he who sows and he who reaps may rejoice together. For in this the saying is true: Â'One sows and another reaps.Â' I sen t you to reap that for which you have not labored; others have labored, and you have entered into their labors.Â"

Re: - posted by ManofGod0000, on: 2012/1/21 11:40

Christian fundamentals can bring forth as you said a type of head knowledge, but nothing in the heart to confer or confir m its power in u.

The letter in itself kills, but it is God's spirit that makes us come alive.

The Lord will teach you that fundamentals are not following Him persay, its doctrine. Stick to this, deny yourself, take up ur cross and follow Jesus and then fundamentalism will be given its proper place.

Love you

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/21 19:28

ManofGod0000 wrote "Are you asking that question to be answered or are u declaring why you are fundamentalist?"

Just asking why so much opposition to clinging to God's Word? I just don't get it coming from Christians.

I'm just saying if Christian Fundamentalism means believing and declaring God's truth then count me in as one of them "fundies".

Jesus said plainly, "For whoever is ashamed of Me and My words in this adulterous and sinful generation, of him the So n of Man also will be ashamed when He comes in the glory of His Father with the holy angels.Â" (Mark 8:38)

Re: - posted by JB1968 (), on: 2012/1/21 20:27

Amen, Oracio. Many do not want to stand with the Word of God. If you do, you are criticized. Jesus said that we are to worship Him in spirit and in truth. It takes both the Word and the Spirit; the letter and conviction. The reason many do n ot stand for the Word, is that they are ashamed of Him and/or do not really believe what God says. If you believe, you w ill stand and live for Him.

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 7:00

The answer is quite simple, really: Most people who claim to be a Christian have never been saved. How can one be sa ved an be hostile toward the Word of God and how it commands us to live?

Jesus said:

Â"If you love me, you will keep my commandments." (John 14:15)

"Jesus answered him, Â"If anyone loves me, he will keep my word, and my Father will love him, and we will come to him and make our home with him. Whoever does not love me does not keep my words. And the word that you hear is not mi ne but the Father's who sent me." (John 14:23-24)

It cant be any clearer than that. If you are loving Christ and living by His Word and preaching His Word to others out of lo ve and concern for them (and for the Lord)... and you are mocked and ridiculed by those who call themselves Christians. .. pray for their salvation because the Bible plainly states that they are not saved.

I've noticed the same thing you have in the church today. I've come to the conclusion that this is so because the churche s are filled to the gills with GOATS.

The "church-house" is becoming a mission field. The only problem is that most churches in the land are more concerned about entertaining and maintaining the goats than they are feeding Christ's sheep.

Preach the Word and let God sort them out. Most goats will flee... some will get saved, and the sheep will flourish.

Krispy

Re: - posted by jimp, on: 2012/1/24 8:30

hi, the emphasis is on the love me...cause when you love you honor and obey.a fundy is someone i picture as one with missing teeth,no tact, no wisdom, no love and a big ego.someone who knows the word of God ,more than the God of the word..obnoxeous and offensive.quite a few here.ususlly young, ever sowing never reaping.i have met and been hurt by quite a few in 48 yrs.of being with the Lord.jimp when i say here i mean where i live and minister in baton rouge.you figure it out.

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 8:51

ThatÂ's not too judgemental, now is it. You just did what you accuse Â"fundiesÂ" of doing. You accuse Â"fundiesÂ" of be ing judgemental while you are judging them. You donÂ't see any hypocrisy in that?

Putting that aside, I have met people like you described in every denomination and sect in Christianity. Fundamentalists do not have the corner market on that.

IÂ'm just curious, what does not having any teeth have to do with oneÂ's spirituality?

Thanks jimp for demonstrating for us all exactly what we are talking about. :-)

Krispy

Re: - posted by jimp, on: 2012/1/24 9:42

hi, it is part of the humor.i think you got it.

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 10:02

Apparently I missed it... lol. No worries!

Krispy

Re: Is Christian Fundamentalism Really All That Bad? - posted by Sree (), on: 2012/1/24 11:00

If Fundamentalism is relaying on every word of God and not just pick and choose the word that you like then it is really a Good thing. The problem with posters here who hate fundamentalists is they picture them as people who hit them with S cripture. This is not true. There are people who use scripture to hit people and driving away from Jesus. But a true believ er in scripture will tell the truth of God, will bring conviction of Sin to listeners but will not end up leaving them as orphans. He will guide them to Jesus. There will be a balance between the loving nature of Father and his judgment upon his pe ople. If this balance is lost, if one threatens people with judgment of God or only encourages them of their sinful life by s howing the love of God without leading them to repentance then the problem starts.

I believe in every word of God, I do not support any theology. I believe God's word is true, I have never run away from a ny scripture because it is against my theology. I have never found any scripture contradicting another. I have never class ified scriptures as saying this is for unsaved people and this cannot be true with saved person etc.

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 11:39

Sree... every once in a while you say something that makes me smile and say AMEN!

The only thing that seems funny to me is that you said: "I do not support any theology" ... and then you went on to describe what you believe. So yes, you support a theology. And you espouse your theology almost every time you write on her e. I'm not saying that it's right or wrong, I am simply pointing out that ALL the word theology means is "what you believe about God".

Even an atheist has a theology. He believes God does not exist... thats "theology".

Krispy

Re: Is Christian Fundamentalism Really All That Bad?, on: 2012/1/24 11:51

"Is Christian Fundamentalism Really All That Bad?"

yes. fore it is the gentile cousin of the pharisees, and muslim 'fundamentists' are their stepbrothers...same traits, same te ndencies, same hard and brittle relgiousity.

flee.

because religion kills, Jesus saves.

neil

Re: - posted by Sree (), on: 2012/1/24 12:01

Quote:
I'm not saying that it's right or wrong, I am simply pointing out that ALL the word theology means is "what you believe about God".

Thanks for pointing it out. I never thought believing in whole Bible is also a Theology. By theology I meant the famous si des that most believers take that prevent them from accepting all scriptures equally. I will always welcome any theology

as long as it is not against the word of God.

For example one can form a Theology saying Bible says there is no God and prove it based on scripture.

Psalm 14:1-The fool says in his heart, Â"There is no God.Â"

If you take the first sentence out and then believe only in rest and can form a theology out of it.

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/24 12:40

I really appreciate all the replies here.

I'm thinking maybe I should word it differently. How about:

"Is Firmly Believing and Boldly Declaring Essential Truths from God's Word Really All That Bad?"

I think many "Christians" have been programmed to accept a sort of "Feministic Christianity", one that has no back bone or courage to stand up boldly for Jesus and His Words.

What we need is men and women of God who, as Wesley said, "fear nothing but sin and love nothing but God".

"Lord, raise up such an army of soldiers in these last days, who will cry aloud in the public arena and spare not, as you commanded Isaiah." (Isa.58:1)

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 13:16

Oracio... AMEN AMEN AMEN!!

Neil... you are being just as jugdmental as the people you are speaking about, brother. Not all people who call themselv es "fundamentalists" are anything like what you just described.

Granted, some are, but self-righteousness knows no boundaries.

Krispy

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 13:19

We need to trace where this title "Fundamentalists" came from and who are using that term out in the world.

Back in Daniel Webster's day - it was merely a term for those who followed "the fundamentals of the Bible".

That definition should have remained - but we've somehow adopted this newer definition from secular sources and it was also picked up by the emerging interfaith church.

The slur is directed at "Fundamentalist Jews" as well - and the secular governments use radical-murderers as the key to whom falls under this category of being a "fundamentalist".

Bible believers, that believe Jesus will return, according to the first statements against us by Janet Reno, back in the 90's, categorize us as a "threat" - just for believing He'll return. They falsely claim that we may try to intentionally do something dangerous to bring about Armegeddon.

At this point in time - when the three main religions are being pit against one another - the plain of the secularists is to turn with great hatred at "those three religions together" - those fundamentalists for 'causing' the war that puts the world into a tail spin - when actually - it's those that are called by the name "globalists" that are the cause of this havoc that's coming upon the earth and it is true that the emerging church has joined ranks with them - being dominionists.

We've fallen into the labeling-hands of those who don't particularly like what the Bible says, because they desire to be the gods of this earth that's all it is and where it came from and we should be very careful to not judge a fellow believ er for being a Biblicist and need to think more of praying in unity together for all of the earth's population's future. Attacki

ng one another over man made labels is a Satanic devise to rob us of the power of being in one accord - that strength th at we'll need to handle what these 'gods' of this world have planned for the entire earth's population, by attempting to ma ke people fearful of quoting His Words.

GOD Bless you!

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/24 13:23

"We are called to preach the Word and Christ crucified. For the most part, we are not called to make sure that the peopl e understand the message, only that they get the message. The Holy Spirit is the one that will have to work the message e out inside of them."

I've got to say that I don't know where you got that. The last sentence, yes, but not the rest. Jesus told us to go into all t he world making disciples of all men. He didn't say go preach at them.

That, I think, is the biggest problem people have with so-called fundamentalists. That paragraph defines what I think when I think of a fundamental. It's a "You're going to hear this and you're going to like it! I don't care if you don't like it. I told you and now it's your problem" mentality. It is our job to try to get people to understand. That's why it takes all kinds of people to preach the gospel. Some people have a certain way of learning, which God gave them. To reach those you have to speak their language. I'm not talking about watering the gospel message down. I'm talking about bringing a very old book and making it relevant to people today.

I agree with whoever said that fundamentalism is the cousin of the pharisee's. While often times "fundie" is simply mean to be an insult, being a fundamental is most often associated with being rigid and rules driven.

We all have to be careful in what we call one another and the labels we give them. Some people are naturally drawn to a more rigid life. Some aren't. And guess what, God made people with the personality to like the things they like, be it f undamentalism or more of an emergent type church (without the universalism and what not). The problem lies in makin g a doctrine out of our preferences.

We need to unwind a little and look at what someone is really saying before we label them fundie, emergent, or whateve r. Could be that they're saying the exact same things you are but just using different language.

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/24 14:05

hoohoou wrote: "Jesus told us to go into all the world making disciples of all men. He didn't say go preach at them."

Right, Jesus did not say go and preach "AT" them, He said go and preach "TO" them in Mark 16:15. All throughout the N ew Testament as well as the Old we see a clear call for the servants of God to "PREACH" His Word to those that are in r ebellion against Him.

Re: hoohoou - posted by Lysa (), on: 2012/1/24 14:22

Thank you brother for your honest words.

God bless,

Lisa

Re: , on: 2012/1/24 14:29

Quote:
He didn't say go preach at them

Well, actually He did (thru Paul). You are wrong.

Romans 10:14-17 "How then will they call on him in whom they have not believed? And how are they to believe in him of whom they have never heard? And how are they to hear without someone PREACHING? And how are they to PREACH unless they are sent? As it is written, Â"How beautiful are the feet of those who PREACH the good news!Â" But they hav e not all obeyed the gospel. For Isaiah says, Â"Lord, who has believed what he has heard from us?Â" So faith comes from HEARING, and HEARING through the word of Christ."

Then you go on to describe (mischaracterize) people who go out and street preach and take the gospel to the masses. Do some do it in a manner that I disagree with? Yes! But most do not, most are being OBEDIENT.

You criticize those who take the gospel and preach it to the masses at large... I wonder what you think of Ezekiel in the OT? Or Elijah... today's preachers who go to the masses are TAME by comparison.

Look at how Paul stood in the middle of Athens and addressed the people there. His sermon is recorded in Acts for all of us to read. Stephen preached on and on before he was killed. The book of Acts is full of public sermons that were not de livered in a church... but in the public square. And make no doubt about it... those fellas were PREACHING.

And just like then, today people (religious and heathen alike) mock, ridicule and mischaracterize (i.e. lie about) them.

Krispy

Re: - posted by brothagary, on: 2012/1/24 14:42

parise reidhead, was a self proclamed fundi,,

the most listen to serom on this site ,,is a fundamentel sermon ,,ten shekels and a shirt

self defined words is what we have today as jesus is god said

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/24 15:08

"If Jesus preached the same message ministers preached today, He would have never been crucified." - Leonard Ra venhill

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/24 15:14

Quote:

------That, I think, is the biggest problem people have with so-called fundamentalists. That paragraph defines what I think when I think of a fundamental. It's a "You're going to hear this and you're going to like it! I don't care if you don't like it. I told you and now it's your problem" mentality. I t is our job to try to get people to understand. That's why it takes all kinds of people to preach the gospel. Some people have a certain way of learning, which God gave them. To reach those you have to speak their language. I'm not talking about watering the gospel message down. I'm talking about bri nging a very old book and making it relevant to people today.

Then you have a problem with the Bible itself. What is the 5-fold ministry? Ephesians.

We all have different callings. Some are called to preach At people, as you see in the OT and NT. Example, Jesus preaching AT the pharasee after healing the lame man (John 5 I believe). Others are to be teachers, etc...

Let's stay on target.

Re: - posted by jimp, on: 2012/1/24 15:39

hi, for more than 30 years span i preached and ministered in the french quarter in n.o..we had guys that came in with the ir turn or burn signs and such and we would watch them and see no results.one day a group of us arthur blessed, leo hu mphreys, james robison and few other folks scanned the area and picked up quite a few converts to Christ. arthur walke d into a strip bar and brought a girl out in hewr costume and a robe that we led to the LOrd, called her parents, arranged for transportation home.she ended up getting a job at her mothers church znd became the secretary for the church.i hav e seen hundreds reached with the Love of Jesus but very few reached with the sin centered message, they realize when you tell them that all have sin that they are sinners, if you were to minister in a r.c. church would you point out all the erro rs in their doctrine or would you preach Jesus? what do you do if you preach Jesus, you are not a fundy.

Re: , on: 2012/1/24 15:55

Quote:			
bu	t very few reached wit	h the sin cente	red message

So then you do not agree with Ray Comforts emphasis on using the Law as a mirror? How is the gospel good news for f allen man if we do not address sin in our message?

By the way, shrill people with bullhorns and "turn or burn" signs are irritating to me. But I wont judge them over it. I dont t hink it's the most effective way to present the gospel... but they are doing way more than 98% of "Christians" who are sitt ing in front of their TV's.

Krispy

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/24 16:56

Quote:

------By the way, shrill people with bullhorns and "turn or burn" signs are irritating to me. But I wont judge them over it. I dont think it's the most effective way to present the gospel... but they are doing way more than 98% of "Christians" who are sitting in front of their TV's.

Well said Krispy

Re: - posted by PrimaDogma, on: 2012/1/24 18:09

Jesus said, "If any man would be my disciple, let him deny himself, take up his cross daily and follow me."

But this sort of religion was never to man's taste, so God gave us fundamentalism instead. Now all you have to do is beli eve the Bible, and you are a disciple! And God immediately accommodates you by transforming Himself into a gigantic v ersion of Yourself. You can be just as full of yourself as you have always been, because now your will is God's Will, and your opinion becomes God's Word.

If you are a pathologically angry person, you may now vent your anger for the glory of God. Jesus said, "Love your ene mies." He did not say you have to love Christians who disagree with your doctrine, which leaves you free to be as spiteful, prideful and abusive as you want to be, as long as the target of your abuse is a religious person.

Jesus is Lord of Heaven and earth, and is no more apt to human control than the wind, the rain or the earthquake; but the Bible is an object that you can control and use as a sculpting tool to fashion God in your own image, and a rod of authority with which to dominate others. None of this self-denial and submission stuff! You can even name yourself "The Bible Answer Man", go on the radio and convert dogma into cash.

Clearly fundamentalism is far more user-friendly than Christianity.

Re: - posted by Compton (), on: 2012/1/24 18:19

Starbucks, in order to expand their market to coffee buyers who don't like big successful brand corporations, has been r ecently experimenting with "de-branding" some of their coffee shops. The idea is to make the coffee shops look like inde pendent small businesses that are not part of the second largest fast food franchise in the world. The ploy almost works until people find out...and then it just looks insincere.

Recently, a controversial video in which a young man raps as to "Why he hates religion, but loves Jesus," has gained popularity on the internet. As is the case with Starbucks, his attempt at de-branding has provoked mixed reactions.

I think the problem isn't the problem we think it is!;)It isn't religion, or the church, or fundamentalism, or doctrine. It isn't t hat some take the bible too seriously or literally, or that some preach too hard or too culturally.

The real issue is simple hypocrisy. The things we rail against have become associated with hypocrisy, and that is why we want to throw these things out.

But we need to be careful, because eventually we will just end up throwing out everything we say we believe. As James demonstrates, let's not curse religion, but remember what true religion is. Even the "Jesus Only" crowd can't survive bein g branded as hypocrites.

As for me, I don't think de-branding will ultimately work. It won't work because we can't simply tell people what we are no t like or what we don't believe, or who we don't associate with. Without something to replace these deletions, people wo n't have any new perception to replace the old. We can tell people we don't follow religion, or the bible, or man's teachin gs...but as soon as we tell them we follow Jesus, they'll know we do indeed adhere to religion, the bible, and even some man's teaching. We aren't going to fool very many people saying that all of our beliefs have come directly and exclusivel y to us from heaven...so why do we try to de-brand?

We try because were embarrassed at all of the things being done by people claiming to be Christians in public. But Christians didn't have very good reputations in the first century either. In some historical documents, the earliest Christians we re accused of things like drinking blood, and even sexually perverse activities.

Yet, even with all of this baggage, I don't bother to tell people I'm not a fundamentalist, or that I follow Jesus rather then t he bible...why don't I bother?

Because I know that I believe in something that is so outrageously far out of line with modern scientific materialism, the governing mythos of our culture...that there is no de-branding strategy in the world that is going to make me culturally rel evant. I can say I'm not a fundamentalist, but once I say I believe not just some spiritual ideas, but in a historical event... that the Son of God became a historical man, actually historically died for my sins, and historically rose from the dead...

...well the gig is up. I'm a card carrying fundie. Hey I didn't say I like it, but that's the deal. If you are a bible believing Chri stian in our age of evidentualism, people are simply going to think you are irrational and uneducated. They may even think you are insincere.

MC

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/24 18:23

Woohoo! I got Dogma'd!

Be blessed:)

Re: - posted by jimp, on: 2012/1/24 18:24

dear krispy, my nickname in the quarter was wages... as in the wages of sin is death. i used the roman road and still do.. during the day i would go in the office lounge and witness to the judges and attorneys etc. at the bar. lloyd was the mgr and bar tender and broke legs for the mafia pt time... lloyd asked me one day to meet him at tonys for coffee the next m orning... i did not sleep well that nite... when we met , paid his tithe to me and repented ..he went on to auit his job and m ove back to miss. this is just one of many... i have watched the young guy on t v use that plan and never saw him get an ywhere with it . hell and fear have torment, knowlege of sin has distaste...Jesus and love has power.jimp

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/24 18:46

Why do so many young men spend time blogging about theological nuances while the world dies without the Gospel? W hy? - Paul Washer

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/24 23:32

jimp, when we sow the seed of the gospel you will not always get immediate conversions. Christ and the Apostles and di sciples saw both harvesting and rejection. If you are getting no rejection from the message you are preaching to the lost, it's not the biblical gospel. Many get easy "decisions" and false conversions. Not saying the testimony you shared is that.

Having worked with Ray Comfort at Living Waters for about 6 years, I can tell you of countless testimonies of people that have been awakened and soundly saved through "The Way of the Master" evangelism. You can see some of those testimonies on some of the videos.

But we are not ashamed of all the times that people reject the message we preach, because we know from Scripture it's the same message that Jesus preached and got Him crucified.

Jesus told His unsaved brothers, "The world cannot hate you, but it hates Me because I testify of it that its works are evil ."(John 7:7)

I would really encourage you to check out this latest video from Living Waters: www.180movie.com Blessings,
Oracio

Re: - posted by Lysa (), on: 2012/1/24 23:35

You are wrong.

Andddddd he's back.
_OLOL I couldn't resist brother!
God bless,
Lisa
Re: - posted by Lysa (), on: 2012/1/24 23:38

Quote: -----primadogma:

-----by krispykrittr:

Quote:

If you are a pathologically angry person, you may now vent your anger for the glory of God. Jesus said, "Love your enemies." He did not say you have to love Christians who disagree with your doctrine, which leaves you free to be as spiteful, prideful and abusive as you want to be, as long as the targe t of your abuse is a religious person.

Stomping my feet and clapping my hands and hollering, AMEN!!!

God bless, Lisa

Re:, on: 2012/1/24 23:45

Quote:

------Starbucks, in order to expand their market to coffee buyers who don't like big successful brand corporations, has been recently experimenting with "de-branding" some of their coffee shops. The idea is to make the coffee shops look like independent small businesses that are not part of the second largest fast food franchise in the world. The ploy almost works until people find out...and then it just looks insincere.

Recently, a controversial video in which a young man raps as to "Why he hates religion, but loves Jesus," has gained popularity on the internet. As is t he case with Starbucks, his attempt at de-branding has provoked mixed reactions.

I think the problem isn't the problem we think it is! ;)It isn't religion, or the church, or fundamentalism, or doctrine. It isn't that some take the bible too ser iously or literally, or that some preach too hard or too culturally.

The real issue is simple hypocrisy. The things we rail against have become associated with hypocrisy, and that is why we want to throw these things o ut.

But we need to be careful, because eventually we will just end up throwing out everything we say we believe. As James demonstrates, let's not curse r eligion, but remember what true religion is. Even the "Jesus Only" crowd can't survive being branded as hypocrites.

As for me, I don't think de-branding will ultimately work. It won't work because we can't simply tell people what we are not like or what we don't believe, or who we don't associate with. Without something to replace these deletions, people won't have any new perception to replace the old. We can tell pe ople we don't follow religion, or the bible, or man's teachings...but as soon as we tell them we follow Jesus, they'll know we do indeed adhere to religio n, the bible, and even some man's teaching. We aren't going to fool very many people saying that all of our beliefs have come directly and exclusively to us from heaven...so why do we try to de-brand?

We try because were embarrassed at all of the things being done by people claiming to be Christians in public. But Christians didn't have very good re putations in the first century either. In some historical documents, the earliest Christians were accused of things like drinking blood, and even sexually perverse activities.

Yet, even with all of this baggage, I don't bother to tell people I'm not a fundamentalist, or that I follow Jesus rather then the bible...why don't I bother?

Because I know that I believe in something that is so outrageously far out of line with modern scientific materialism, the governing mythos of our cultur e...that there is no de-branding strategy in the world that is going to make me culturally relevant. I can say I"m not a fundamentalist, but once I say I bel ieve not just some spiritual ideas, but in a historical event...that the Son of God became a historical man, actually historically died for my sins, and hist orically rose from the dead...

...well the gig is up. I'm a card carrying fundie. Hey I didn't say I like it, but that's the deal. If you are a bible believing Christian in our age of evidentuali sm, people are simply going to think you are irrational and uneducated. They may even think you are insincere.

MC)				

Good stuff!!

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/24 23:49

"The Gospel is ours to proclaim, not ours to edit." ~ James White

Re: - posted by jimp, on: 2012/1/24 23:57

dear oracio, i have nothing to do with who gets saved or if any get saved and neither does ray. it is the moving of the spi rit that saves for you must be born from above... i just tell the good news of Jesus and His redeeming love to sinners han ging over an eternity in hell.

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/25 0:26

jimp, I only said all that because you seemed to imply that Ray never sees any positive results in any of the witnessing i nterviews. And you seemed to imply that the message he preaches is not as good as yours because he preaches on sin , judgement and hell while you preach only on love.

When it comes to the message, bottom line shouldn't be about focusing on "results", but on whether or not we are preaching the same message we see in the Bible. Stick to that and you won't go wrong:)

Re: - posted by brothagary, on: 2012/1/25 5:31

http://www.sermonaudio.com/sermoninfo.asp?SID=6863

i would love to hear some coments on this sermon

sermon index has many of paisly sermons but i could not find this one

he sounds like a believer to me

im just curious what does sermon index and the moderators think about rc sproul ,,,would i be out of line if i posted a lin k of one of his sermons ,,for the sake of bringing a closer unity bewteen us brothers ,,regarding the sovernty of god and free will

i could not find any of his sermons on sermon index

i felt burdend to post a link a couple of weeks ago

i have a fleeting burden to help unite arminans and calvinists in a closer bond for the sake of christs blood he shed for us, all equaly,

i think rc sproul also preaches at a fundmentle church ,,called free presbyterians ,,,,

i herd a great sermon from him called the presious blood of christ

Re:, on: 2012/1/25 6:19

Gary, I love RC Sproul. There was a time when I did not, but I have grown to love his preaching and writing. Probably the main reason his sermons are not here is because they help to support Ligonier Ministries financially. Some here I am sure will yell foul on RC for that, but frankly that is a decision that is between RC, the ministry, and God... and not really a nyone else's business. Ligonier does a LOT to put good quality teaching out there.

Krispy

Re: - posted by brothagary, on: 2012/1/25 6:35

yea is so verry refreshing to listen to and watch krispy ,and his preaching has efected many people like me you and pe ople like paul washer ,,

iv noticed tho he still puts out many free sermons

and others for a donation of any amount

iv never paid for any teachings my self

he surly posseses the gift of the word of wisdom

Re:, on: 2012/1/25 8:48

If you ever get a chance to read his "pulpit series" books, which are expositories of different NT books (I am half way thr u John and have Romans coming in the mail) I highly recommend you do so. They are FANTASTIC.

Another preacher I love who I discovered a little over a year ago is R.W. "Bob" Glenn. He just turned 40 and this guy real ly is a genius, I think. I've never seen someone have so much information crammed into their head before, and he truly h as the gift of teaching. Fantastic guy, and he preaches with much passion and love.

I dont have the link in front of me, but if you google him and go to his website I believe his sermons are free too. He did t wo series with Todd Friel called "Drive By Church History" and "Drive By Theology" that are incredible. Each series is cu t up into 15 min segments you can listen to on the way to work or where ever... and each series are about 11 or 12 CD's. It's Todd and Bob in the studio discussing church history and theology... and they cut up a bit too. You can tell they are g ood friends and that both have a good sense of humor.

Krispy

Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2012/1/25 13:26

God wants us to grow in grace and in the knowledge of the Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ.

2Pe 3:18 But grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. To him be glory both now and f or ever. Amen.

The Bible says "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge".

It is not the knowledge of the Bible, Theology, Epistemology or Soteriology that the Holy Spirit is speaking of. It is the "k nowledge of the Holy", of God that we are to attain. And this is only attained through relationship with Him through His S on, and by the Holy Spirit.

All throughout the Scriptures we are encouraged to grow in the knowledge of Him.

Eph 1:17 That the God of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of glory, may give unto you the spirit of wisdom and revelati on in the knowledge of him:

So, when the Scriptures say "For I bear them record that they have a zeal of God, but not according to knowledge." Rom 10:2

It is the knowledge of God and His ways (higher than our ways) that is being spoken of. It is not the knowledge of the Sc riptures. The Pharisees knew the Scriptures "cold", but they did not KNOW God.

So, it is not really a correct statement to say, "if you are just preaching the Word, you can't go wrong". Because if you are not led by the Spirit in what you are doing then yes, you could be in error. If you are not walking in relationship with the living knowledge of Him but just your knowledge of the Bible, Church History, Theology, etc, etc, then how do you know if you are pleasing the Lord?

All throughout the Scriptures we are admonished to know Him.

Jer 9:24 But let him that glorieth glory in this, that he understandeth and knoweth me, that I am the LORD which exercis e lovingkindness, judgment, and righteousness, in the earth: for in these things I delight, saith the LORD.

Life eternal is knowing Him.

Joh 17:3 And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent.

1Jn 5:20 And we know that the Son of God is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we may know him that is true, and we are in him that is true, even in his Son Jesus Christ. This is the true God, and eternal life.

This is what the man in Matt 7:21 was missing. The knowledge and understanding of Jesus Christ wrought in relationship. Only then can you know and do His will for you personally.

To know Him is to know His will for you. You cannot say "to know the Bible is to know His will for you." You must enter in to relationship with Him to know His will for you otherwise you will go off on your own and doing according to your own "y our own understanding of the Bible".

Mat 7:21 Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven.

Mat 7:22 Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast o ut devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works?

Mat 7:23 And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.

Pilgrim

Hos 6:3 Then shall we know, if we follow on to know the LORD: his going forth is prepared as the morning; and he shall come unto us as the rain, as the latter and former rain unto the earth.

Heb 8:11 And they shall not teach every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord: for all s hall know me, from the least to the greatest.

Re: - posted by roadsign (), on: 2012/1/25 13:48

Recently I visited a home of a Mormon family, and happened to browse through their denominational magazine. One article was about the rightness of Mormonism. As I read, I got thinking: This is preaching to the choir. It will work marvelously to reinforce MormonsÂ' self-beliefs. But it does not convince me! It merely reinforces my belief that they are deluded.

ItÂ's no different with any label we assume and then attempt to defend. Our defense serves well as a self-reinforcing echo-chamber for the like-minded. For outsiders, it likely reinforces their suspicions that we are deluded. They will always have Â'evidenceÂ' up their sleeve. After all, there are always either bad examples or bad images of any label — even the word Â'ChristianÂ'.

Trying to defend the virtue of any label is a bit like trying to hit a moving target. ItÂ's always changing. Here on this site I would claim a fundamentalist (or evangelical) position, but I wouldnÂ't use such words with many of my associates. It is counterproductive to suggest an unwelcome shade of meaning that may be lurking in their minds.

Quote:	"The Gospel is ours to proclaim, not ours to edit." ~ James White
This may be so	o, but does everyone not proclaim their edition - that is, their interpretation and understanding of truth?
Quote:	"Is Firmly Believing and Boldly Declaring Essential Truths from God's Word Really All That Bad?"

No and Yes. People have been burned at the stake by those who boldly declare essential truths \hat{A} – as they saw it. The re is a thread here, \hat{A} "The Cult of Biblicism \hat{A} " which explains how people argue over their interpretation of truth, while they assume they are in fact, defending truth.

ItÂ's never wrong to claim that scripture is the pure Truth, but it is better not to equate ourselves with scripture as if our i nterpretation is necessarily synonymous with it - lest we prove it true about our self-deception.

Diane

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/25 14:13

Quote:				
	-This may be so, but letÂ's admit,	everyone proclaims their editi	ion - that is, their interpretation	on and understanding of truth.
	_			

So then by this understanding, there is no truth unless it is what you believe. That is very convenient, yet, unbiblical way of holding to a doctrine. If we hold to this type of thinking, whole areas of unorderly teachings come about, just because you cannot "prove" that the Bible is correct.

No Roadsign. We need men of the faith to stand up and proclaim the truth of the Gospel, in which the Lord gave to us in the scriptures and through the revelation of the Holy Spirit.

"Do not look for apostates to appear bad on the outside or speak dramatic words of heresy at the outset of their teaching . Rather than denying truth outright, apostates will twist it to fit their own agenda". - A.W. Tozer

"We have the truth and we need not be afraid to say so."

- J C. Ryle

"The Bible is either absolute, or it's obsolete." - Leonard Ravenhill

Re:, on: 2012/1/25 14:27

Why is it that every single timeÂ... and I mean EVERY single timeÂ... that I mention studying GodÂ's Word, hiding His Word in our hearts, studying theology etc people feel the need to line up and admonish me and others that knowledge is of no use unless we "know the Lord" or "enter into a relationship with the Lord".

I get that. There is a balance to all of this. It takes BOTH. I think everyone here understands that. What it looks like to me is justification by some (certainly not all) to being lazy, and an excuse not to study GodÂ's Word.

Yes, we need to be in relationship with God, but by the same token you can not know the God of the Bible unless you kn ow the Bible of the God. There are exceptions to that, of course. In China God can and does reveal Himself to those peo ple in ways we canÂ't comprehend here in the west. However, here in America, God reveals Himself thru His WordÂ... o ne of the 8 Bibles you have in your house.

We are admonished to study to show ourselves approved. I rarely ever see anyone quote that verse on SIÂ... except m e.

Yesterday someone said on here that they donÂ't care a wit about theology. First off, thatÂ's impossible if you understand the definition of Â"theologyÂ", and secondly to not care about theology is to set yourself up to be deceived.

So I will say it again: Study GodÂ's Word and study church history and study theology proper. AND, at the same time, n ourish your relationship with God.

Heart and head must work together. You need love and you need knowledge. Love without knowledge is foolish, and kn owledge without love is worthless.

Krispy

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/25 14:49

'Right, Jesus did not say go and preach "AT" them, He said go and preach "TO" them in Mark 16:15. All throughout the New Testament as well as the Old we see a clear call for the servants of God to "PREACH" His Word to those that are in rebellion against Him.'

Yes, you are right.

I was referring to what are typically seen as fundamentalist tactics in preaching the gospel. I simply related what my first thoughts are regarding fundamentalism.

I stated later thought that we have to be careful what we label each other. Those of us who truly love the Lord and are c alled and are going may be doing it differently than the next. We need to get past labels and try to understand what they are really trying to accomplish.

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/25 14:55

"Well, actually He did (thru Paul). You are wrong."

No I'm not. It's funny because I'm studying Romans right now. Big difference in preach AT (as some have wanted to point out) and preaching TO. Preaching TO someone implies that you have their attention and are trying to help. Preaching AT implies that you are unburdening yourself with the responsibility of discipleship. "I told them so let God sort them out!"

Again, I was only stating my impressions of fundamentalism. Could be that the way I phrased my comment made it app ear that I was against fundamentalism. That would depend on how one would define it. I also said we have to be carefu I with labels. There are a lot of us doing the same thing in far different ways.

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/25 15:04

Dear Miccah, yes let's stay on target. That's an unfair thing to say to someone whom you do not know.

But you are right, Jesus did preach at the Pharisee's. He preached at the religious. He preached to the lost.

I said we needed to be careful labeling, none of these negative responders seems to have read that part. Even with wh at you quoted from me i said, "That, I think, is the biggest problem people have with so-called fundamentalists. That para graph defines what I think when I think of a fundamental." I was only trying to play the devil's advocate. I thought I mad e it clear what I was doing. Forgive me if I did not.

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/25 15:23

Quote: "Preaching TO someone implies that you have their attention and are trying to help. Preaching AT implies that you are unburdening yourself with the responsibility of discipleship. "I told them so let God sort them out!"

In Ezek.3:4-11 it doesn't seem like Ezekiel was to expect to have the listening ear of all those he preached to and warne d of impending judgment.

- "4. Then He said to me: Â"Son of man, go to the house of Israel and speak with My words to them. 5. For you are not se nt to a people of unfamiliar speech and of hard language, but to the house of Israel, 6. not to many people of unfamiliar speech and of hard language, whose words you cannot understand. Surely, had I sent you to them, they would have liste ned to you. 7. But the house of Israel will not listen to you, because they will not listen to Me; for all the house of Israel are impudent and hard-hearted. 8. Behold, I have made your face strong against their faces, and your forehead strong against their foreheads. 9. Like adamant stone, harder than flint, I have made your forehead; do not be afraid of them, nor be dismayed at their looks, though they are a rebellious house.Â"
- 10. Moreover He said to me: Â"Son of man, receive into your heart all My words that I speak to you, and hear with your ears. 11. And go, get to the captives, to the children of your people, and speak to them and tell them, Â'Thus says the L ord GOD,Â' whether they hear, or whether they refuse.Â"

Re: - posted by Oracio (), on: 2012/1/25 15:36

Quote: "So, it is not really a correct statement to say, "if you are just preaching the Word, you can't go wrong". Because if you are not led by the Spirit in what you are doing then yes, you could be in error. If you are not walking in relationship with the living knowledge of Him but just your knowledge of the Bible, Church History, Theology, etc, etc, then how do you know if you are pleasing the Lord?"

Quote: "No and Yes. People have been burned at the stake by those who boldly declare essential truths – as they saw it. There is a thread here, Â"The Cult of BiblicismÂ" which explains how people argue over their interpretation of truth, w hile they assume they are in fact, defending truth."

Those are a few good examples of how things can get easily misinterpreted on these forums, thus the need for grace.

Regarding the first quote, I had in mind preaching the Word in the context of truly knowing the Lord.

Regarding the second quote, I had in mind "Essential" truths which all true Christians believe. Truths such as the divinity and deity of Christ, forgiveness of sins found only through Him and His vicarious death, His resurrection from the dead, His virgin birth, The Bible's authority as God's Word, man's fallenness in sin and rebellion against God, the need for repentance and faith in Christ, the reality of heaven and hell, Judgment Day.

If we don't think we can firmly believe and boldly declare those truths to this lost generation, what can I say?

Re: - posted by jimp, on: 2012/1/25 15:52

hi, i am going to give you a ravenhill quote that noone ever has writen here. " take what i say and go to the bible and ma ke sure it lines up for i am just as ignorant as the rest of the preachers out there."jimp

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/25 15:57

OK, how about Ezekiel 34:4, "Those who are sickly you have not strengthened, the diseased you have not healed, the b roken you have not bound up, the scattered you have not brought back, nor have you sought for the lost, but with force a nd with severity you have dominated them."

We can throw Scripture at each other all day.

Still, my main point isn't even considered. I don't even understand why anyone would be so attached to preaching at peo ple. In my first post I was referring to street preachers just shouting their message of hell and damnation. The verse you used was talking about the house of Israel which would be the Church. It's not talking about preaching at the lost.

I'm not saying there's no place for street preaching before anyone jumps on that. I've explained my point enough I guess

Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2012/1/25 16:02

Agreed Oracio, in the context you specified.

Pilgrim

I agree, we don't need to be splitting hairs.

Re:, on: 2012/1/25 16:11

Quote:

-----I don't even understand why anyone would be so attached to preaching at people. In my first post I was referring to street preachers just shouting their message of hell and damnation.

We all know there are some who do this... but I am just curious; how often have you actually seen this with your own eye s? Or have you just seen a couple clips on YouTube or on the news and have decided that this is what "street preaching

" is? Have you been fooled by the secular media?

And make no doubt about it, Ezekiel and Elija and John were all out there in the street (well, John was in the desert) in p ublic and were mocked and persecuted for it. There is no difference between how they were treated and how modern day street preachers are treated. The only difference is that the prophets of old were actually BOLDER and more ABRASI VE than anything you will see today.

Krispy

Re: - posted by Miccah (), on: 2012/1/25 16:32

Quote:	
That's an unfair thing to say to someone whom you do not kr	١٥w.

Hey hoohoou,

Slow down my friend... I was doing the same as you, hence the reason I pointed out that Jesus did preach AT people.

Forgive me if I came off abrasive.

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/25 16:49

Krispy and Miccah, you are both misunderstanding me. I was referring mainly to how fundamentalists are viewed. I was not stating facts.

Krispy, I said, "Street preachers who..." I don't know how else to explain it. I was clear I didn't mean all of them. I was al so clear that we all have our strengths. If you're just going to ignore my overall point I'll just let it go. But, yes, I've seen p eople actually do this. I've had them preach at me before, both while away from God and seeking him.

Micah, what was I doing? Playing the devil's advocate? If that's what you were doing please forgive me,I misunderstood . I still don't understand how you were doing what I was doing though.

Re: , on: 2012/1/25 17:01

Fair enough, I got that. I understand. I maintain that those type of people are few in numbers among believers... mainly b ecause most (vast overwhelming majority) believers do nothing. They dont share the gospel with anyone.

I've seen both types of street preachers. You want to know the truth of the matter tho? I believe once God sets His mind to save a man (which according to scripture was set before the beginning of time) we can not get in the way of it. Doesnt mean we should go out of our way to be obnoxious, but if God has determined to save someone... He will save them.

I've messed up a number of witnessing opportunities, but praise God it is not up to ME to save or even convince someon e to get saved. Salvation is completely a miraculous work of God. It's my responsibility to share the gospel (good news) with others. I spread the seed around, but the Holy Spirit brings forth the fruit as HE determines.

And once we grab ahold of that scriptural truth... it's a very freeing and encourages us to go ahead and be bold!

Krispy

Re: - posted by hoohoou (), on: 2012/1/25 17:29

Krispy, I'm really glad we ended on this note. You said that it doesn't mean we should go around being obnoxious. As I'm on the other end of the spectrum I'll say this. We shouldn't try to water it down just to make it palatable. There are so me hard truths. We must be balanced which is why God calls from all walks of life. He made us the way we are on purp ose. He designed us. He didn't just spin us into motion. And because of that the Body of Christ really can be all things to all people. We just have to keep looking to God and God alone.