

d Doctrine :: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old when

Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old when Seth was born? - posted by

With no TV or radio, no Xboxes and NFL, what can we rightly suppose Adam was doing all those years. I mean, who was Abel raising sheep for and Cain crops?

Re: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old wh - posted by TMK (), on: 20

quote: "I mean, who was Abel raising sheep for and Cain crops?"

Apparently the same people that Cain was afraid of when he was driven out.

Obviously the Bible does not tell us all there is to know.

The Bible at least suggests that Cain was the firstborn, but many many many other births must not be noted.

Then again, the Bible could record the birth of Cain and Abel (even if there were other prior births) because of the story that ensued. The prior births and lives, if there were any, were apparently uneventful.

Re: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old wh - posted by rainydaygirl, on

by Croref on 2012/11/9 7:03:26

With no TV or radio, no Xboxes and NFL, what can we rightly suppose Adam was doing all those years. I mean, who was Abel raising sheep for and Cain crops?

SO after reading this I wondered what does it matter? how would Adam having more children before Cain change anything or cause us to grow in Jesus?

not sure I understand what know or not knowing would do to further the Kingdom of Christ.

rdg

Re: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old wh - posted by Trekker, on: 20

Well he could still be the firstborn SON. Maybe he had 15 older sisters. lol. It was thru the sons that the genealogy is counted.

Besides that, 130 in those days (given their very long lifespan) is about the equivalent of age 30 today. Abraham and Sarah didn't have their first child (not counting Hagar's son) til Abraham was 90?100? (my memory is foggy at moment on whether it was Abe or Sarah who was 90).

Re: - posted by Goldminer (), on: 2012/11/9 10:48

have to agree with rainydaygirl, it would be much more advantageous to wrap your heart around knowing Jesus better, and leave all the figuring, and questioning of things that have no revealed answers to the time when we see Him face to face. These kind of questionings do not cause us to grow in the Lord, they only stumble us. They are time suckers. We become like what we look at, look at Jesus the author and finisher of our faith, and oh my will you be lovely.

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/9 10:58

Re: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old wh
by TMK on 2012/11/9 7:14:57

quote: "I mean, who was Abel raising sheep for and Cain crops?"

Apparently the same people that Cain was afraid of when he was driven out.

Obviously the Bible does not tell us all there is to know.

Quote:

-----The Bible at least suggests that Cain was the firstborn, but many many other births must not be noted.

Lets examine that passage TMK? Where is it?

Then again, the Bible could record the birth of cain and abel (even if there were other prior births) because of the story t
hat ensued. The prior births and lives, if there were any, were apparently uneventful.

Like girls ___a whole bunch of them??

Re: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old wh, on: 2012/11/9 10:58

We can assume that aging started when they left the garden, for Adam he was 1 year old.

Genesis 3:24 So he drove out the man; and he placed at the east of the garden of Eden Cherubims, and a flaming swor
d which turned every way, to keep the way of the tree of life.

Genesis 4:1 And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the L
ORD.

In between Cain and Abel, there is no doubt in my mind that Adam and Eve were having daughters left right and centre.
Cain took a wife later on, that woman had to come from somewhere and I cannot lower myself to believe she was some
descendant of a Screaming Banshee or some other man/ape like thing. Though sometimes a man does wonder, there a
re times that you can't shut her up.

It's strange to me why there is no mention of Abel being born, I think that Cain and Abel were twins. Cain being the first
one that came out, like Esau and Jacob. It's always the older brother or brothers that hate the younger one, that thread s
eems to weave itself all through the bible.

I consider these subjects to be like Tiddy Winks, amusing distractions. Some meat might come out of it, I hope it does.

Good luck

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/9 11:08

by rainydaygirl on 2012/11/9 7:18:42

by Croref on 2012/11/9 7:03:26

With no TV or radio, no Xboxes and NFL, what can we rightly suppose Adam was doing all those years. I mean, who
was Abel raising sheep for and Cain crops?

SO after reading this i wondered what does it matter? how would Adam having more children before Cain change

anything or cause us to grow in Jesus?

not sure i understand what know or not knowing would do to further the Kingdom of Christ.rdg

This isn't about Jesus. It is about being fruitful and multiplying and re-replenishing the earth when we have been given to believe Adam only had three sons in 130 years and the third one became a husband to a woman we don't know how she arrived on the scene..

Where did Cain get a wife but a sister who became his wife? Maybe 5 or 6, who knows. It had to be something as that.

So when Cain was born we read Eve saying, "God has given me a man child". Do you think she may have been wondering about the issue of procreation, maybe? I mean she could have been dropping one a year and probably did ___all girls.

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/9 11:14

by Approved on 2012/11/9 7:58:39

We can assume that aging started when they left the garden, for Adam he was 1 year old.
In between Cain and Abel, there is no doubt in my mind that Adam and Eve were having daughters left right and centre.

Cain took a wife later on, that woman had to come from somewhere and I cannot lower myself to believe she was some descendant of a Screaming Banshee or some other man/ape like thing. Though sometimes a man does wonder, there are times that you can't shut her up.

It's strange to me why there is no mention of Abel being born, I think that Cain and Abel were twins. Cain being the first one that came out, like Esau and Jacob. It's always the older brother or brothers that hate the younger one, that thread seems to weave itself all through the bible.

I consider these subjects to be like Tiddly Winks, amusing distractions. Some meat might come out of it, I hope it does.

Amen, Brother! But lets not forget that soon we come to the sons of God taking stock of and then up with the daughters of men. Who were these daughters that they should be so called and not the daughters of God? What was going on in that?

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2012/11/9 12:54

Goldminer wrote:

"have to agree with rainydaygirl, it would be much more advantageous to wrap your heart around knowing Jesus better, and leave all the figuring, and questioning of things that have no revealed answers to the time when we see Him face to face. These kind of questionings do not cause us to grow in the Lord, they only stumble us. They are time suckers. We become like what we look at, look at Jesus the author and finisher of our faith, and oh my will you be lovely."

Sorry goldminer; some of us find the Bible interesting, and find questions like these interesting. It has nothing to do, necessarily, with growing in Christ. Some people talk sports, i like to talk Bible. And questions like this are fun to discuss.

Lighten up just a tad.

Re: - posted by rainydaygirl, on: 2012/11/9 13:05

by Croref on 2012/11/9 8:08:55

by rainydaygirl on 2012/11/9 7:18:42

by Croref on 2012/11/9 7:03:26

With no TV or radio, no Xboxes and NFL, what can we rightly suppose Adam was doing all those years. I mean, who was Abel raising sheep for and Cain crops?

SO after reading this i wondered what does it matter? how would Adam having more children before Cain change anything or cause us to grow in Jesus?

not sure i understand what know or not knowing would do to further the Kingdom of Christ.rdg

This isn't about Jesus. It is about being fruitful and multiplying and re-replenishing the earth when we have been given to believe Adam only had three sons in 130 years and the third one became a husband to a woman we don't know how she arrived on the scene..

Where did Cain get a wife but a sister who became his wife? Maybe 5 or 6, who knows. It had to be something as that.

So when Cain was born we read Eve saying, "God has given me a man child". Do you think she may have been wondering about the issue of procreation, maybe? I mean she could have been dropping one a year and probably did __all girls.

ok enjoy your discussion

rdg

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/9 13:25

by rainydaygirl on 2012/11/9 10:05:58

It's probably finished . .))

So what's your question about growing in Christ?? Nobody thinks that is necessary, ya know? I mean you get it all when you get saved__anything more is of a false doctrine. Am I not right?

Re: , on: 2012/11/9 18:02

Knowledge alone about any of these subjects is fruitless, we all will agree with that because it says, "knowledge puffeth up, but charity edifieth". So I take that to mean that information about what happened in the beginning is a complete waste of time, however, if we can discover Jesus Christ in what we are discussing THEN we can have a good bible study, otherwise, it's just knowledge speculation and sometimes strife if we allow that door to swing open.

So how will charity edifieth us through this knowledge?

Just to let some of you know, when your posting a quote, use this, than the quote and then

there is no spaces between the . It should be parenthesisquoteparenthesis than place posted quote and then finally parenthesis/quoteparenthesis.

Re: - posted by rainydaygirl, on: 2012/11/9 18:36

by Croref on 2012/11/9 10:25:17

by rainydaygirl on 2012/11/9 10:05:58

It's probably finished . . .))

So what's your question about growing in Christ?? Nobody thinks that is necessary, ya know? I mean you get it all when you get saved__anything more is of a false doctrine. Am I not right?

i did not have a question about growing in Christ, i said i didn't understand how this topic would help any of us to grow in Christ. you replied saying- this wasn't about Jesus it was about being fruitful and re-replenishing the earth.

As for the rest of your comment i am not sure if you were being sarcastic with me or serious in what you wrote???

rdg

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/10 6:14

Knowledge indeed "puffs up" however, knowledge is also the "water of the Word" the Holy Spirit draws from when He drops down His bucket into our well desiring to pull "water" up for some thirsty soul. Got any "water" He can pull up that might give a ready answer for those who seek one from a sincere heart. Will the Lord find us "shallow", lacking insight? Will that soul simply get from us warmed up credal doctrine; understanding of redemption but with no life in it?

Still friends??

Re: - posted by murrcolr (), on: 2012/11/10 8:06

Quote: I mean you get it all when you get saved.

Are you sure?

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/10 8:30

by murrcolr on 2012/11/10 5:06:36

Quote: I mean you get it all when you get saved.

Are you sure?

Yes. I am sure most belief that of the reform crowd.

BTW, That was my inference..

Re: - posted by MaryJane, on: 2012/11/10 9:11

by Croref on 2012/11/10 2:30:31

by murrcolr on 2012/11/10 5:06:36

Quote: I mean you get it all when you get saved.

Are you sure?

Yes. I am sure most belief that of the reform crowd.

BTW, That was my inference..

Greetings Croref

I just want to be clear that I understand what you are saying...Do you believe that once a person is saved, repents of their sins and is born again submitting their life unto CHRIST as LORD that there is no need for further growth in their daily walk with CHRIST?

God bless

mj

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/10 9:49

by MaryJane on 2012/11/10 6:11:58

Yes. I am sure most belief that of the reform crowd.

BTW, That was my inference..

Greetings Croref

I just want to be clear that I understand what you are saying...Do you believe that once a person is saved, repents of their sins and is born again submitting their life unto CHRIST as LORD that there is no need for further growth in their daily walk with CHRIST?

God bless

mj

If I clearly said I was not of the reform crowd instead of just "inferring it", would you receive that as a no? Words mean something. I do try to be clear. I apologize if I wasn't.

Re: - posted by MaryJane, on: 2012/11/10 10:04

y Croref on 2012/11/10 3:49:58

by MaryJane on 2012/11/10 6:11:58

Yes. I am sure most belief that of the reform crowd.

BTW, That was my inference..

Greetings Croref

I just want to be clear that I understand what you are saying...Do you believe that once a person is saved, repents of their sins and is born again submitting their life unto CHRIST as LORD that there is no need for further growth in their daily walk with CHRIST?

God bless
mj

If I clearly said I was not of the reform crowd instead of just "inferring it", would you receive that as a no? Words mean something. I do try to be clear. I apologize if I wasn't.

Words do indeed mean something, you are correct in saying so. Perhaps that is why we are instructed to let out yes be yes...

I still do not feel like I understand your answer. Sorry if I am being a bit thick this morning. I am not really interested if you are of the "reformed crowd" just trying to understand your thoughts and beliefs on the question I asked. Seems simple enough, "Do you believe that once a person is saved, repents of their sins and is born again submitting their life unto CHRIST as LORD that there is no need for further growth in their daily walk with CHRIST?"

Yes/No is really all I was looking for :)

God bless
mj

Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2012/11/10 13:49

I am late jumping into this discussion...just want to share my two cents...

Genesis 5:4 NASB tell us "Then the days of Adam....and he had other sons and daughters."

I assumed that since Adam and Eve were the only people created by God all the others were offspring from this couple which would mean brothers married sisters.

Now for a little fun...have you ever wondered how many children Adam and Eve had? If Adam lived to be 930 years - and all of them adult years - he could have fathered hundreds of children...Oh! dear - pity Eve. SIGH Maybe she could birth babies like a cat can kittens?

Another.

Did you ever wonder how many children Solomon had? Ever noticed the scriptures do not tell us? It does for most of the other kings...why not Solomon? Scriptures do mention specific children of Solomon's but the total figure, no.

I have pondered why the scripture is silent on these questions and have come to the conclusion if it were mentioned this would provide more fodder to Bible critics who work to discredit the WORD because the number would be too high for o

ur comprehension. AND...this info is not essential for our salvation.

Just my imagination...

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/11 8:54

Yes/No is really all I was looking for :)

God bless
mj

No, no and no. Now where do you wish to go with this?

Re: - posted by MaryJane, on: 2012/11/11 9:02

by Croref on 2012/11/11 2:54:49

No, no and no. Now where do you wish to go with this?

:) I wish to go no where with this. I was just looking to understand your heart and what your understanding was concerni
ng growing as a CHRISTIAN daily in CHRIST JESUS.

have a lovely Sunday and
God bless
mj

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/11 9:09

by MaryJane on 2012/11/11 6:02:22

by Croref on 2012/11/11 2:54:49

No, no and no. Now where do you wish to go with this?

:) I wish to go no where with this. I was just looking to understand your heart and what your understanding was
concerning growing as a CHRISTIAN daily in CHRIST JESUS.

have a lovely Sunday and
God bless
mj

Obviously, I must not be very clear when I write and you are the only one with courage to tell me. Thank you.

Re: - posted by MaryJane, on: 2012/11/11 9:17

by Croref on 2012/11/11 3:09:08

Obviously, I must not be very clear when I write and you are the only one with courage to tell me. Thank you.

:) It could be that I was not reading carefully enough through the entire thread also. Having posted here a few times I kn
ow how easy it is to be misunderstood by others or to misunderstand what another is attempting to convey that is why I
asked for clarification. I did not wish to misunderstand your heart on the matter. Thank you for being patient and being wi
th me.

God bless
mj

Re: , on: 2012/11/11 9:23

Perhaps it would be better if you got your own website and be your own boss and dictate how everyone should believe like you.

You say your looking for peoples opinion and you want to learn etc..etc.. but when someone does give their opinion you pounce on them declaring how wrong they are and how right you are.

It's like you have an agenda or something, that you NEED to express your "truth" that everyone on this website does not have.

I would like to communicate with you Croref but it's next to impossible.

I hope you find what your looking for on SI.

God Bless Brother

:o(

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/11 9:47

by Approved on 2012/11/11 6:23:07

Perhaps it would be better if you got your own website and be your own boss and dictate how everyone should believe like you.

You say your looking for peoples opinion and you want to learn etc..etc.. but when someone does give their opinion you pounce on them declaring how wrong they are and how right you are.

It's like you have an agenda or something, that you NEED to express your "truth" that everyone on this website does not have.

I would like to communicate with you Croref but it's next to impossible.

I hope you find what your looking for on SI.

God Bless Brother

Likewise, Approved.

My own mistakes in judgement notwithstanding, I believe I have learned from you a new perspective re the "mote in my brothers eye." Thank you.

Re: - posted by Croref, on: 2012/11/11 9:52

by MaryJane on 2012/11/11 6:17:53

:) It could be that I was not reading carefully enough through the entire thread also. Having posted here a few times I know how easy it is to be misunderstood by others or to misunderstand what another is attempting to convey that is why I asked for clarification. I did not wish to misunderstand your heart on the matter. Thank you for being patient and being with me.

God bless
mj

No worries Dear Sister. I get a little gun shy sometimes over replies that I believe are unnecessary,___ considering my use of perfect grammar in expressing myself. I need you in mind life so don't cast me off, OK?

Re: Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old when Seth was born? - posted by ArtB (), on: 20

"Why should we assume Cain was the first born of Adam when Adam was 130 yrs old when Seth was born?"

Because this is what is recorded in Genesis, Chapter 5.

5 This is the book of the generations of Adam. In the day when God created man, He made him in the likeness of God. 2 He created them male and female, and He blessed them and named them Man in the day when they were created.

3 When Adam had lived one hundred and thirty years, he became the father of a son in his own likeness, according to his image, and named him Seth. 4 Then the days of Adam after he became the father of Seth were eight hundred years, and he had other sons and daughters. 5 So all the days that Adam lived were nine hundred and thirty years, and he died.

6 Seth lived one hundred and five years, and became the father of Enosh. 7 Then Seth lived eight hundred and seven years after he became the father of Enosh, and he had other sons and daughters. 8 So all the days of Seth were nine hundred and twelve years, and he died.

Ancient Jewish records indicate that Adam and Eve had 56 children, 33 males and 23 females.

Yes, these 56 offspring of Adam and Eve, brothers and sisters, bore children in what we would call incest today. Abraham married his half sister, and she gave birth to their son Isaac.

Why did Adam have his first child at age 130 years? The answer is that childhood lasted far longer than it does today. Even as late as 100 years ago, pre puberty for females was 14 years of age and 16 years for males. Today, females and males reach puberty reach to 2 to 3 years earlier due our changes in our diet, including eating meats from animals being fed growth hormones.

Studies in neanderthals (bones) reveal that they reached puberty in their 30's. Scientists derived from examining their bones, only certain bones grow during childhood, and other bones grow in adult hood, and that alone make them look different to Modern homosapiens.

Incest was not banned by God until the Mosaic Laws was established on Mount Sinai about 450 BC (located in Arabia near Midian).

Why did God ban incest in 450 BC?

My answer, IMO, is that when God created Adam and Eve, their DNA was perfect, and so the DNA of their immediate childrens DNA was perfect and very near perfect in generations to come. However, God also applied the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics to our universe, by which all physical things deteriorate over long periods of time, including human DNA via random mutations to the DNA.

Incest causes the inbreeding of deteriorating mutations to our DNA, and thereby fixing Diseases in our genome due to these DNA copy errors in the inbreeding populations - including such diseases as Hemophilia, Tay-Sachs, Cystic Fibrosis, Sickle Cell Disease, and many more.

For this reason I believe God banned incest in the Mosaic Laws, to avoid these diseases due to harmful copy errors becoming fixed in their DNA of family lines.

According to Physics, our whole universe is dying in accordance with the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics. They call it a heat death when work no longer can be done.

Re: , on: 2012/11/11 17:39

Quote:

-----Incest was not banned by God until the Mosaic Laws was established on Mount Sinai about 450 BC (located in Arabia near Midian)

.

Why did God ban incest in 450 BC?

Did you mean 1450 BC? :P