http://www.sermonindex.net/

# Articles and Sermons :: Children and the Rod of Correction

# Children and the Rod of Correction - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/29 22:53

by Dave Miller, Ph.D.

sermon index

American civilization has undergone tremendous social shifting in the last fifty years in virtually every facet of its culture. This transformation is evident, for example, in the area of the family and parental discipline. From the beginning of this nation, most Americans have believed in the value of corporal punishment. This discipline has included spanking the child using a variety of instruments, including a "switch," paddle, razor strap, yardstick, belt, or hand. The last generation to have experienced this approach to parenting on a wide scale was the World War II generation. Due to the adverse influence of social liberals and alleged "specialists" in human behavior and child psychology, the thinking of many Americans has now been transformed to the extent that corporal punishment has come to be viewed as "child abuse"—even by the judiciary.

Make no mistake: genuine child abuse is taking place every day in America. Some parents are burning, torturing, and even killing their children. However, the abuse of a good thing is no argument against its legitimate and judicious use. Extreme behavior often elicits an extreme reaction. We must not "throw out the baby with the bathwater." Regardless of the superficial appeal of the arguments that are marshaled against spanking, those who recognize that the Bible is the inspired Word of God are more concerned with biblical insight regarding the matter. Does the Bible advocate or sanction the spanking of children?

# THE BIBLEÂ'S VIEWPOINT

Several verses refer explicitly to the use of corporal punishment in the rearing of children. The longstanding quip, "Spare the rod and spoil the child," is undoubtedly a paraphrase of SolomonÂ's words: "He who spares his rod hates his son, but he who loves him disciplines him promptly" (Proverbs 13:24). This motif is repeated throughout Proverbs. For example, Solomon asserted "foolishness is bound up in the heart of a child, but the rod of correction will drive it far from him" (22:15). This one statement is packed with meaning that merits deep and prolonged meditation and analysis. Most modern adolescent psychologists have not even begun to plumb its depths, let alone agree with it.

Lest someone get the idea that Solomon used the term "rod" figuratively, without intending to leave the impression that parents should actually strike their children with a rod, he clarified the target: "Do not withhold correction from a child, for if you beat him with a rod, he will not die. You shall beat him with a rod, and deliver his soul from hell" (23:13-14). A proper balance is obviously needed between verbal reproof/encouragement on the one hand, and the application of corporal punishment on the other, as seen in the following words: "The rod and reproof give wisdom, but a child left to himself brings shame to his mother. Correct your son, and he will give you rest; yes, he will give delight to your soul" (29:15,17, emp. added). The immense importance of the interplay between positive instruction, encouragement, and nurturing, in conjunction with appropriate physical punishment, cannot be overestimated nor successfully discounted.

# MEANING OF "ROD"

But what did Solomon mean by "rod"? The Old Testament uses primarily three Hebrew words to refer to a wooden stick:

Maqqel refers to a tree branch that has been transformed into a riding crop (Numbers 22:27), a shepherdÂ's staff (1 Samuel 17:40—which Goliath called a "stave" or "stick"—vs. 43), or a weapon of war (Ezekiel 39:9—"javelin" in the NKJV). It is also used as a symbol of dominion (e.g., Jeremiah 48:17—where it occurs in synonymous parallelism with matteh), and in its natural state as a branch of a poplar, chestnut, or almond tree (Genesis 30:37; Jeremiah 1:11).

Matteh occurs 252 times and is used to refer to a branch, stick, stem, rod, shaft, staff, and most often a tribe (some 180 t imes). It can refer to a stick used to beat out cumin/grain (Isaiah 28:27), a soldierÂ's spear (1 Samuel 14:27), as well as t he shaft of an arrow (Habakkuk 3:9,14).

Shevet, the word used in Proverbs, refers to a staff, stick, rod, scepter, and tribe. Gesenius defined it as "a staff, stick, r od" and then showed how it is translated differently in accordance with the use to which it was put, whether for beating, striking, chastening (Isaiah 10:5,15), a shepherdÂ's crook (Leviticus 27:32; Psalm 34:4), a kingÂ's scepter (Genesis 49: 10; Amos 1:5,8), a tribe (Judges 20:2), a measuring rod, or a spear (2 Samuel 18:14).

Matteh and shevet are used together in Ezekiel 19:10-14 to refer to fresh tree branches. They are used in synonymous parallelism in Isaiah 28:27 as a stick used to beat out cumin/grain: "But the black cumin is beaten out with a stick (matt eh), and the cumin with a rod (shevet)." They are unquestionably synonyms. If any distinction can be made between th em, it is that matteh is not used to refer to a scepter (see Harris, et al., 2:897; although Gesenius, pp. 466-467). Howeve r, both are used to refer to a stick or rod. In fact, shevet is specifically referred to as a rod used for beating a human bein g: "And if a man beats his servant or his maidservant with a rod…" (Exodus 21:20). As Isaacs noted: "The Heb sh ebhet is the ordinary word for rod or club" (1959, 4:2702; cf. McClintock and Strong, 1880, 9:57-58,401).

In addition to the verses in Proverbs that refer specifically to spanking a child, several additional verses verify that literal striking of the body with a wooden stick is envisioned. For example, Å"Wisdom is found on the lips of him who has under standing, but a rod is for the back of him who is devoid of understandingÅ" (Proverbs 10:13). Å"A whip for the horse, a b ridle for the donkey, and a rod for the foolÅ's backÅ" (Proverbs 26:3). Obviously, the Å"rodÅ" is as literal as the Å"whipÅ" and Å"bridle.Å" The Psalmist declared: Å"Then I will visit their transgression with the rod, and their iniquity with stripesÅ" (Psalm 89:32). Though speaking figuratively, the Psalmist aligned Å"rodÅ" with Å"stripes.Å" In passages where the term Å"rodÅ" is used figuratively, the figurative use presupposes the literal meaning (e.g., Job 9:34; 21:9; Isaiah 10:24; 11:4; 14:29; 30:31; Lamentations 3:1; Micah 5:1).

# CONCLUSIONS

In light of the linguistic data, the following conclusions are warranted:

First, the three terms are essentially synonyms with no real distinction to be discerned between them. They are as gener ic, ambiguous, and flexible as their English counterparts. As Orr stated: "Little distinction can be drawn between the He b words used for 'rodÂ' and 'staff Â' " (1959, 4:2596; also Funderburk, 1976, 5:132). The commonality that exists be tween them is the fact that they all refer to a stick/limb, i.e., a branch from a tree. In antiquity, scepters, spears, arrows, r ods, staffs/staves were all made out of wood, i.e., tree branches (cf. Ezekiel 19:11). Hence, the distinction between them was one of purpose/function—not source. It follows that size, i.e., thickness and length, would likewise have varied. Th e Hebrew words themselves possess no inherent indication regarding size.

Second, the principle of spanking is clearly taught in Proverbs. This is beyond dispute. Since God would not approve of child abuse (cf. Colossians 3:21), it follows that whatever instrument is used for spanking, whether switch, yardstick, pad dle, belt, razor strap, etc., should get the job done without inflicting inappropriate or unnecessary damage to the childÂ's body. The Â"switchÂ" has much to commend it, and certainly coincides with the biblical texts on the subject. But good se nse and personal judgment must be exercised in determining its size.

In his comments on the Hebrew word for "rod," Hebrew scholar and Professor of Old Testament at Regents College, Bruce Waltke noted: "The rod was also used as an instrument for either remedial or penal punishment. Â…In Prov it is the symbol of discipline, and failure to use the preventive discipline of verbal rebuke and the corrective discipline of phys ical punishment will end in the childÂ's death" (Harris, et al., 1980, 2:897, emp. added). The author of the apocryphal b ook, Ecclesiasticus, observed: "He who loves his son will whip him often, in order that he may rejoice at the way he tur ns out" (May and Metzger, 1965, p. 166).

Writing over one hundred years ago, professor W.F. Adeney offered a surprisingly current observation that has much to commend it:

The primitive rigour of the Book of Proverbs is repudiated by modern manners. Not only in domestic training, but even in criminal law, people reject the old harsh methods, and endeavor to substitute milder means of correction. No doubt there was much that was more than rough, even brutal, in the discipline of our forefathers. The relation between father and chi ld was too often lacking in sympathy through the undue exercise of parental authority, and society generally was harden ed rather than purged by pitiless forms of punishment. But now the question is whether we are not erring towards the op posite extreme in showing more tenderness to the criminal than to his victim, and failing to let our children feel the need of some painful discipline. We idolize comfort, and we are in danger of thinking pain to be worse than sin. It may be well,

therefore, to consider some of the disadvantages of neglecting the old-fashioned methods of chastisement (1950, 9:258-259).

http://www.apologeticspress.org/apcontent.aspx?category=7&article=1255

#### Re: Children and the Rod of Correction, on: 2013/5/30 2:47

#### Quote:

------The relation between father and child was too often lacking in sympathy through the undue exercise of parental authority, and socie ty generally was hardened rather than purged by pitiless forms of punishment. But now the question is whether we are not erring towards the opposite extreme in showing more tenderness to the criminal than to his victim, and failing to let our children feel the need of some painful discipline. We idolize comfort, and we are in danger of thinking pain to be worse than sin. It may be well, therefore, to consider some of the disadvantages of neglecting the old-fashioned methods of chastisement

This chap would have been better putting his much education to something useful. Its frankly incredible that he begins w ith an issue regarding the discipline of children and end with the words "criminals". In my view that just about sums it up. Shame on such men as these!

#### Re: amrkelly - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/30 7:37

RE:// This chap would have been better putting his much education to something useful. Its frankly incredible that he be gins with an issue regarding the discipline of children and end with the words "criminals". In my view that just about sum s it up. Shame on such men as these! //

I think the point of Dave Miller, Ph.D.'s inclusion of W.F. Adeney's quote could be summed up by: "We idolize comfort, and we are in danger of thinking pain to be worse than sin. It may be well, therefore, to consider some of the disadvanta ges of neglecting the old-fashioned methods of chastisement"

Is Society shifting in its outlook of proper training methods when it comes to children ??

Are Christians shifting along with society when it comes to what they feel is the correct ways of training children ?

#### Re: Children and the Rod of Correction - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/30 12:09

THANK YOU, Proudpapa for posting this extensive Bible study on this very important subject!

We have sown to the winds of permissiveness in parenting, and we are now reaping the whirlwinds of lawlessness and c rime multiplied exponentially. The foolishness that is bound up in the heart of a child will eventually turn into criminal acti vity if not "nipped in the bud" with the wonderful little method that God instructed involving the rod.

Those who oppose this clearly outlined Biblical instruction of corporal (painful) punishment are clearly opposing our Crea tor whose ways and thoughts are much higher than ours.

I thank God that my parents obeyed the Bible and inflicted painful consequences for my disobedience as a child!

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/30 12:32

#### Quote:

This is a pretty ghastly view of children I have to say. I take it you are not in child care then! Have you studied social hist ory at all? There was more murder, violence, rape, lawlessness of the gross kind 300 years ago than there is now. This i s true for England, France and several other European nations. Did or do you know what this was predicated on? Injusti ce with a large ROD. We tend to have a somewhat sanitised view of European social history. However, it was grim beyo nd your imagination. Wesley knew it, as did others at that time and their response was not the rod, it was the gospel of g race. Children are not instinctive criminals. Society makes criminals for the most part. Sinners are born. I wouldn't confus e being a sinner with being a criminal. By the way thats speaking as one who was a criminal and not some Lilly Livered t off whose sinful inclinations hid behind unjust and cruel laws. I was just a straight forward thief. Neither am I a socialist o r for that matter have any political views at all. I just hate injustice and its effects. Men make criminal. Sinners are born. Not the same thing at all.

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/30 12:56

amrkelly writes:

Quote:

------ Men make criminals. Sinners are born. Not the same thing at all.

Very interesting perspective, amr.

I am curious, what man made you a criminal? Who made you a thief if it wasn't your own heart?

Is society now to blame for the criminals that populate the prisons?

If I am mistaken in thinking that you are playing the "blame shifter", please correct me.

One other question: Is God unjust when He applies the "rod of correction" to His children? His chastisement can be quite painful, but He is known to do that sort of thing to those He LOVES.

All the best, Pilgrim

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/30 13:28

Quote:

-----Very interesting perspective, amr.

I am curious, what man made you a criminal? Who made you a thief if it wasn't your own heart?

Is society now to blame for the criminals that populate the prisons?

If I am mistaken in thinking that you are playing the "blame shifter", please correct me.

One other question: Is God unjust when He applies the "rod of correction" to His children? His chastisement can be quite painful, but He is known to d o that sort of thing to those He LOVES pilgrim777

-----

Criminality is more than simply being a thief. If men were not sinners in the first instance they would not steal anything. However, men are sinner and sinners experience injustice. Every one knows that men are sinners. England and France especially knew that men are sinners and precisely to remedy that condition instituted some of the greatest injustices im aginable. And no I do not attribute my own thefts to others. I comprehend them firmly as my own. As far as I know the on Iy remedy for a thief is to learn to give. To give you have to have something to give. And no its not an interesting perspec tive its just a societal reality. Societies have always sought to restrain the sinner with the rod. This discussion is about ch ildren. And the issue with children is a simple one. They are not born criminals. They are born sinners and become crimi nals if they steal and are prosecuted for the same.

Injustice is the greatest stumbling block to most men. It is the same for children who comprehending there parents hypo crisies have to then endure their parents anger as well. Lastly I don't play games so I wouldn't pursue that argument too far. Only God Himself comprehends how to discipline men justly. Men are always to a degree unjust. However, some m en are more unjust than others. To be perfectly straight with you I am going to resist any further input into this post. I cou Id turn your hair grey with accounts of the things I have witnessed and some of the same things I experienced as a child myself. Its no mere academic theological issue for me it is personal and real. It is God who forgives and then by that me ans we sin no more in a particular manner. Then we are prospered by faith and obedience then we learn to give. I rather doubt that anyone is saying that the thief or the murderer is able to justify his thief's and murders. All of our sins are in th e first place against God Himself. He forgives according to His rich mercy. Men forgive with difficulty unless they are Chri st like or else by some other means are compassionate and understanding. Nor am I saying that the thief or the murderer r should go unpunished. I am saying that it isn't necessary to physically beat a child with a rod. Despite the efforts of ma ny brethren to prove that very point.

#### Re: - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/30 13:54

Quote:

There was more murder, violence, rape, lawlessness of the gross kind 300 years ago than there is now. This is true for England, France and several o ther European nations. Did or do you know what this was predicated on? Injustice with a large ROD. We tend to have a somewhat sanitised view of Eu ropean social history. However, it was grim beyond your imagination. Wesley knew it, as did others at that time and their response was not the rod, it was the gospel of grace.

-----

Isn't it interesting that while society was applying the rod, Wesley was seeing some of the greatest revivals to ever hit th e planet? Can you possibly see the connection? When man is made aware of what pain can be like, and then made aw are of the place of eternal pain, and then the remedy offered at the cross, he can properly respond with true repentance!

Quote:

----- Children are not instinctive criminals.

Maybe they are not in Europe, but here in the USA, we have a horrible epidemic of children criminals. Recently a child murdered his parents in our town.

We got rid of the rod many decades ago, and our children are becoming criminals here.

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/30 14:01

#### Quote:

------Criminality is more than simply being a thief. If men were not sinners in the first instance they would not steal anything.

Agreed. And, theft is covetousness, being manifested from a heart of rebellion that has no respect for others.

#### Quote:

------------However, men are sinner and sinners experience injustice. Every one knows that men are sinners. England and France especially k new that men are sinners and precisely to remedy that condition instituted some of the greatest injustices imaginable.

But, what does that have to do with Christians having the mind of Christ and being led by the Spirit (who knows how to d iscipline), to discipline their children according to the Spirit?

Quote:

-----And no I do not attribute my own thefts to others. I comprehend them firmly as my own. As far as I know the only remedy for a thief i s to learn to give.

Well, to give, yes, but to give only of that which the thief has earned. Robin Hood gave from what he stole from others. N othing noble or good about that.

Quote:

-----To give you have to have something to give.

And it should be something to give which you have earned or which belongs to you in the first place.

Quote:

------And no its not an interesting perspective its just a societal reality. Societies have always sought to restrain the sinner with the rod.

Civil governments are raised up and allowed by God and doesn't God even chastise His children? He does not spare th e "rod".

Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. (Romans 13:1)

Quote:

------This discussion is about children. And the issue with children is a simple one. They are not born criminals. They are born sinners an d become criminals if they steal and are prosecuted for the same.

I thought the discussion was also about Godly correction and chastisement. Aren't children criminals if they steal even if they do not get caught? You are saying they are only criminals if they get caught?

Quote:

------Injustice is the greatest stumbling block to most men. It is the same for children who comprehending there parents hypocrisies have

to then endure their parents anger as well.

God does not instruct a parent to chastise out of anger. God does not bear the rod against His children out of anger but out of love and we are to do the same for our children. If we love them, we will correct them the way the Holy Spirit leads us to.

#### Quote:

------Lastly I don't play games so I wouldn't pursue that argument too far. Only God Himself comprehends how to discipline men justly.

But we are talking about children, as you said. And don't you think that God can give His children, who have the Holy Spi rit (and thus, the mind of Christ), wisdom in chastising their children?

Quote:

------Men are always to a degree unjust. However, some men are more unjust than others.

#### Are you talking about men that are not walking in the Spirit?

Quote:

------I am saying that it isn't necessary to physically beat a child with a rod. Despite the efforts of many brethren to prove that very point.

Well, it is a Biblical instruction, isn't it? How do you deal with that? And that, "rebellion is bound up in the heart of a child and the rod of discipline will remove it far from him"?

My father was a hypocrite in terms of being a Christian, but at the same time he was completely just in applying the rod t o me. I did not get those two things mixed up. Everytime he applied the rod to me, I knew I deserved it and furthermore, the rod helped me to come clean and repent. It was actually a mechanism that freed me from guilt and wrongdoing.

When I look back, I don't remember pain from his chastisement, I only remember the good results that came from it.

And isn't that the purpose of chastisement. That it would yield the peaceable fruit of righteousness? (Heb 12)

It sounds like you were beaten unjustly and cruelly and I have talked with people that have had that experience and wha t they do is superimpose their negative experience on all parents and conclude that all parents chastise unjustly. Do you think that all parents bear the rod out of anger?

Additionally, these brothers that I have spoken with who were beaten unjustly never are able to see it from any other per spective than their own experience and I don't think you will be any different. I respect where you are coming from and w ith your explanation, I think I understand why. Maybe you can do the same for those you don't agree with.

Pilgrim

#### Re: Children and the Rod of Correction - posted by dohzman (), on: 2013/5/30 14:27

proudpapa, very good post, thank you.

# Re: LordoitagainÂ...Â...., on: 2013/5/30 14:57

I disagree that there is any connection between knowing physical pain and comprehending the consequences of eternal destruction. The one is easy to comprehend and I cannot imagine many children who do not comprehend the reality of p hysical pain by any number of means. If the inference is that pain inflicted by one in authority forms a better basis for pro ducing a natural psycho-physiological response to that which is itself fearful in remembrance of the pain, then a child ma y become self governed. But no child comprehends God or eternity. One might say that it is God Himself who recognise s this effect in the first instance and hence why we have scriptures which describe this effect. However, this does not me an that this is in any way pleasing to God. It is a direct consequence and response to the fallen nature of the physical bo dy, and of the heart with the growing inclination do that which is contrary to God.

This is the basis for all governments and why we are commanded to submit to them, including our parents. This also do es not mean that governments are either just or righteous or that our parents are just or righteous. The basis for submiss ion to authorities is a reality borne out of sin. In the new heavens and the new earth we will not have to experience this s truggle. Hence why the remembrance of these things is taken away. Who can imagine that anyone in the new heavens and the new earth will be rebellious? The thousand year kingdom though begins and ends with a show of rebellion. The i ntervening period is Christ reigning with a rod of iron. All this said does not make for a justification to teach that children need to be beaten with rods in order to learn discipline or righteousness. I didn't beat my own children but they were still able to comprehend authority and the consequences of disobedience. This was largely predicated on my own admission to them that I was myself subject to the judge and the policeman. This was a literal explanation and not a fairy tale. So m y own actions and willingness to apologise when I got things wrong, and my insistence that if I broke the law the policem an would hand me over to the judge, the judge to the jailor and I would have to pay for my sins, was there guarantee of my being a just parent. This included a very explanation to them that I had no authority to beat them with instruments. I a lso gave them the child protection telephone number from the moment they could comprehend it. In short I proved to the m what the meaning of justice and righteousness means and not simple claimed its benefit and then caused them to stu mble by hypocrisy.

The fact that parents have the authority to physically chastise their children is not the same as saying that a parent can a ctually physically beat their children with a wooden rod. However, if they do it is a matter of necessity that the child is still subject to their parents, even though they may stumble at such treatment. Let those who countenance this methodology remember this, they will have to give an account to God. It will be measured to them as they have measured to their child dren and others. This goes for all in authority and not just parents. This is not a recipe for lawlessness, neither does the I ack of the rod on children's bodies in the USA or anywhere else produce the ill effect we have today in many places. The reality is far more complex than that and has as much to do with the failure of the churches to walk righteously and a willi ngness to support unrighteousness in the past. The idea that simply beating your children with wooden rods will prove th em to be good and faithful citizens is a false one. History does not support such a view at all.

Please remember for many who believe in the rod, also believe that the best time to apply it is when their children are bu t little children. We are not talking about what is appropriate with a teenager who is led astray or worse. Nothing this side of heaven will convince me that it is necessary to beat little children with wooden rods. However I do recognise that it is a reality in many homes and I also recognise that when it is done in a none perverse manner and is become truly neces sary then physical chastisement is essential, even with little children. Not wooden rods however, or belts or any instrume nt other than the open hand and even then not in anger. If that seems too perfect a view it is in my understanding no les s purist than the insistence that little children can and should of necessity be beaten with wooden rods.

#### Re: Children and the Rod of Correction - posted by Elibeth, on: 2013/5/30 16:25

Thank you Proud papa,

For standing up, and bringing forth such a much needed and timely artical,

According to the Word..

It is almost a fearful thing to bring forth he Lord' counsel against the laws and the worldy wisdom and their counsel now, and seeing the results in the children.

Please,..this is my thought s on this mater:

One must needs talk to a child VERY early,...teaching them the Lord' ways,..what is exceptable and what IS NOT with a very gentle

correction,...between birth and (5) yrs old. I find, if he/she has not been disaplined rightfully by then, he/she is on their wa

y to believing that they are the one in control,....they are the boss,.....and the bigger they get, the more out of control the y become, until they are bent to do as they please, and are in for trouble, and we are too...by then, too it is hard to break th e rebellious

spirit by then,, which MUST be broke.

It only takes a very small switch in the early stages,...( because the bigger they get, the biger switch it takes. ) ...it will not hurt them deep, but WILL GET THEIR ATTENTION...but before I would do this,

I would look my child in the eye,and ask very gently,.." Do you know why you are going to get this switching ?" And then explain why ,...and then on with the switching.

But first of all,...Our relationship with The Lord, is what will help,...guide us for if our relationship with The Lord is right,...then the fruits of the Spirit will help in our wisdom and temperance. Patience ,.love ,...gentleness,.....kindness,....etc,etc,...in all that is needed.

And we know, to disapline and rightful correction IS because we love them.

Caring, Elizabeth

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/30 18:40

Quote:

------It is almost a fearful thing to bring forth he Lord' counsel against the laws and the worldy wisdom and their counsel now, and seeing t he results in the children. Elibeth

May I ask you sister as you put "switching" in such nice terms whether you realise that Corporal punishment is lawful in t he home in all states. State laws confirm the right of parents to inflict physical punishment on their children and legal pro visions against violence and abuse are not interpreted as prohibiting all corporal punishment in childrearing. In Minnesot a, examination of several laws led some legal experts to conclude that corporal punishment is not permitted in that state, but according to the legislation a parent, legal guardian or caretaker may use reasonable force to restrain or correct a ch ild (Sec. 609.379.) and the Minnesota Court of Appeal has overturned convictions for physical abuse involving corporal punishment.

In short no parent in the USA is prohibited by Law from exercising corporal punishment with regards to their own childre n. This includes children subject to loco in parentis as well as caretakers of children in many states as well.

Given that this is in fact the Law and very nearly 100 percent of US citizens, as recently as 2004 agreed with this positio n in the home, how can we say that a failure to discipline children by physical means is the explanation for all of USA so cietal ills?

#### John Wesley - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/30 20:17

"Let me reason this case a little farther with you parents that fear God. If you do fear God, how dare you suffer a child ab ove a year old to say, "I will do" what you forbid, or, "I won't do" what you bid, and to go unpunished? Why do not you st op him at once, that he may never dare to say so again? Have you no bowels, no compassion for your child? No regard for his salvation or destruction? Would you suffer him to curse or swear in your presence, and take no notice of it? Why, disobedience is as certain a way to damnation as cursing and swearing. Stop him, stop him at first, in the name of God. Do not "spare the rod, and spoil the child." If you have not the heart of a tiger, do not give up your child to his own will, th at is, to the devil. Though it be pain to yourself, yet pluck your offspring out of the lion's teeth. Make them submit, that th ey may not perish. Break their will, that you may save their soul." - John Wesley

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/30 20:41

Quote:

------Thank you Proud papa, For standing up,and bringing forth such a much needed and timely artical, According to the Word.. It is almost a fearful thing to bring forth he Lord' counsel against the laws and the worldy wisdom and their counsel now,and seeing the results in the ch ildren.

#### Please,..this is my thought s on this mater:

One must needs talk to a child VERY early,...teaching them the Lord' ways,...what is exceptable and what IS NOT with a very gentle correction,...between birth and (5) yrs old. I find, if he/she has not been disaplined rightfully by then,he/she is on their way to believing that they are the one in control,....they are the boss,....and the bigger they get, the more out of control they become, until they are bent to do as they please, and are in f or trouble, and we are too...by then,too it is hard to break the rebellious spirit by then, which MUST be broke.

It only takes a very small switch in the early stages,...(because the bigger they get,the biger switch it takes.) ...it will not hurt them deep,but WILL GET THEIR ATTENTION...but before I would do this, I would look my child in the eye,and ask very gently,.." Do you know why you are going to get this switching ?" And then explain why ,...and then on with the switching.

But first of all,...Our relationship with The Lord, is what will help,...guide us for if our relationship with The Lord is right,...then the fruits of the Spirit will help in our wisdom and temperance. Patience ,.love ,...gentleness,.....kindness,...etc,etc,...in all that is needed.

And we know,to discipline and rightful correction IS because we love them.

Caring, Elizabeth

Excellent post, Elibeth. And thank you to proudpapa for starting this thread.

There are many testimonies out there from people that were not disciplined and wish they were.

But Elibeth, you are so right that you must begin early with children. Now we know how smart dogs are and we begin trai ning a dog as a newborn puppy. Well, humans are are much more intelligent and will take their cues from their parents (r ight or wrong) and if we are not training them early on, they are training us. Do you know what I mean?

Young children cannot be reasoned with for they do not understand your language and you should not yell at them. A littl e switch placed in the right place is all they need. A little pain they understand and it will save their soul. This is not theor y to me. I have children (adults and teenagers).

All the best, Pilgrim

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/30 21:22

Its not too difficult to comprehend why there are so many flatterers in this post. Perhaps what is more difficult to attend to , is why there is such a determination to insist that children are evil and need to be beaten in order to comprehend right f rom wrong. It is also easy to see the contextual political advantage of quoting Wesley in the manner here done. I was wa iting for it. I thought for a while that a certain lady by the name of Mrs Wesley would be quoted. It would appear her son has a sufficiency of his own. Regardless of that, if Wesley intended his words to mean what some here are implying then his preaching was in vain and none of the rebellious sons and daughters who believed in Christ through his preaching w ere saved. They must have been lost in their infancy. It is a mistaken conception if it is claimed that Wesley is saying be at a one year old child with a rod otherwise they are going to go to eternal destruction because they will be wilful. Religio us bigotry will always find its way to the lowest point of reason because it is of itself without reason. "spare not the rod" d oes not mean beat one year old children with wooden sticks until you have broken them. If it does then be prepared for i mprisonment, because that will be the end of the matter. Then our children will be themselves cast away to other mens houses.

Has anyone heard the saying "a rod for his own back?"

# Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/30 23:12

Amr,

You have not addressed my question on why God will severely chastise His own children. For what purpose does God c hastise? Do you think it is unjust for the Lord to chastise His own children?

Jer\_31:28 And it shall come to pass, that like as I have watched over them, to pluck up, and to break down, and to thro w down, and to destroy, and to afflict; so will I watch over them, to build, and to plant, saith the LORD.

Was the Lord being too severe in Jer 44?

Jer 44:26 Therefore hear ye the word of the LORD, all Judah that dwell in the land of Egypt; Behold, I have sworn by m y great name, saith the LORD, that my name shall no more be named in the mouth of any man of Judah in all the land of Egypt, saying, The Lord GOD liveth.

Jer 44:27 Behold, I will watch over them for evil, and not for good: and all the men of Judah that are in the land of Egypt shall be consumed by the sword and by the famine, until there be an end of them.

Jer 44:28 Yet a small number that escape the sword shall return out of the land of Egypt into the land of Judah, and all t he remnant of Judah, that are gone into the land of Egypt to sojourn there, shall know whose words shall stand, mine, or theirs.

Jer 44:29 And this shall be a sign unto you, saith the LORD, that I will punish you in this place, that ye may know that m y words shall surely stand against you for evil:

The Lord allowed Himself to be provoked to anger and He took out His anger on His people. Would the world today, pas s judgment on God and prosecute Him and put Him in jail?

Looks like He is more severe than a parent who is switching their child. At least the parent does not forsake her child. What do you think of God getting angry and forsaking His inheritance (the children of Israel)?

2Ki 21:14 And I will forsake the remnant of mine inheritance, and deliver them into the hand of their enemies; and they s hall become a prey and a spoil to all their enemies;

2Ki 21:15 Because they have done that which was evil in my sight, and have provoked me to anger, since the day their fathers came forth out of Egypt, even unto this day.

God scourges those He loves. What do you think of that? Don't we learn to be fathers by how the Lord "fathers" us?

Heb 12:6 For whom the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and scourgeth every son whom he receiveth.

Heb 12:7 If ye endure chastening, God dealeth with you as with sons; for what son is he whom the father chasteneth no t?

Heb 12:8 But if ye be without chastisement, whereof all are partakers, then are ye bastards, and not sons.

Heb 12:9 Furthermore we have had fathers of our flesh which corrected us, and we gave them reverence: shall we not

much rather be in subjection unto the Father of spirits, and live?

I think your comment about flattery is extreme as I would also thank you if you posted something that blesses me. Be su re that you are not vilifying individuals rather than staying on topic.

#### Re: Instuments of love - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/31 0:07

The hand is not the rod, Gods word is specific, He never tell parents to hit their children with their hands, He always spe aks of the rod (a switch)

Michael Pearl "train up a child" p.50 says:

"Instuments of love

Make it a point never to use your hand for spanking. Exceptions should be highly justified. It is usually the impatient, per sonally offended parent whose hand continually darts out like a snake. The parent, too busy to take the time needed for training, blurts out, "Just get off my back, leave me alone, stop bothering me. "(The hand-swatting is a release of the par ents own frustration)

Furthermore, where the child is concerned, hands are for loving, not martial arts. A hand on a diapered bottom is usele ss as a spanking, but it is effective in causing permanent damage to the spine. There is no surface pain to the child thus whipped. Any pain would be deep inside, similar to a fall or a car wreck. Any spanking, to effectively reinforce instruction , must cause pain. It is most effective to strike a light rod against bare skin, where nerves are located at the surface. A s urface sting will cause sufficient pain with no injury or bruising..."

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/31 1:09

That's a great book. I'm glad I found it as a young father.

"Parenting is not for the faint of heart". Michael Pearl

"Determine what kind of person you want your child to be when they grow up and you become that person, first". Michae I Pearl.

"Parenting is 5% training the child and 95% training the parent". Michael Pearl

He is right about that. Many of us did not have godly examples of parenting and a child is like a blank program. Training them should be somewhat easy, but you must train yourself first to be consistent and diligent, firm and loving. Training u p your children will go much better if you are consistent and not wishy-washy and if you stay vitally connected to Jesus.

#### Armkelly - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 1:29

Quote:

The fact that parents have the authority to physically chastise their children is not the same as saying that a parent can actually physically beat their children with a wooden rod. However, if they do it is a matter of necessity that the child is still subject to their parents, even though they may stumble at s uch treatment. Let those who countenance this methodology remember this, they will have to give an account to God. It will be measured to them as they have measured to their children and others.

Nothing this side of heaven will convince me that it is necessary to beat little children with wooden rods. However I do recognise that it is a reality in m any homes and I also recognise that when it is done in a none perverse manner and is become truly necessary then physical chastisement is essential , even with little children. Not wooden rods however, or belts or any instrument other than the open hand and even then not in anger. If that seems too perfect a view it is in my understanding no less purist than the insistence that little children can and should of necessity be beaten with wooden rods.

Mr. Arm, when I read statements of yours like this, it makes it obvious to me that you do not believe these verses from G od's Holy word: Pro 23:13 Withhold not correction from the child: for if thou beatest him with the rod, he shall not die. Pro 23:14 Thou shalt beat him with the rod, and shalt deliver his soul from hell.

It is as plain as can be written in the English language and very easy to understand that you are ranting and raving again st God's Holy word.

If that is not the case, can you please tell us how we are to obey these verses and not beat a child with a rod??? Are yo u trying to redefine beat, or redefine rod, or are you just advocating that we DISOBEY what God has here clearly unmist akeably commanded?

#### Re: Armkelly - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 1:32

I'd also like for you to read what the above quoted John Wesley said about this verse:

Pro 22:15 Foolishness is bound in the heart of a child; but the rod of correction shall drive it far from him.

Pro 22:15 Bound - Is fixed and settled there, as being born with him, and rooted in his very nature.

(from John Wesley's Explanatory Notes on the Whole Bible)

#### Re: - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 1:49

Here is another quote from dear brother John Wesley. Notice that he says that it is CRUELTY if you do NOT whip your child (1 year old) and instill the fear of the rod in him!

"This, therefore, I cannot but earnestly repeat, — break their wills betimes; begin this great work before they can run alone, before they can speak plain, or perhaps speak at all. Whatever pains it cost, conquer their stubbornness: break th e will, if you would not damn the child. I conjure you not to neglect, not to delay this! Therefore, (1.) Let a child, from a ye ar old, be taught to fear the rod and to cry softly. In order to this, (2.) Let him have nothing he cries for; absolutely nothin g, great or small; else you undo your own work. (3.) At all events, from that age, make him do as he is bid, if you whip hi m ten times running to effect it. Let none persuade you it is cruelty to do this; it is cruelty not to do it. Break his will now, and his soul will live, and he will probably bless you to all eternity." - John Wesley

John Wesley ... entire message on child rearing - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 1:57

I copied this from: http://www.ccel.org/ccel/wesley/sermons.vi.xliii.html

I hope that some would be able to benefit from it.

Thanks, proudpapa for starting this thread. It is a much needed clarification in our day in time.

"Sermon 96 98 On Obedience to Parents

Â"Children, obey your parents in all things.Â" Col. 3:20

1. It has been a subject of controversy for many years, whether there are any innate principles in the mind of man. But it

is allowed on all hands, if there be any practical principles naturally Unplanted in the soul, that "we ought to honour our parents," will claim this character almost before any other. It is enumerated among those universal principles by the most ancient authors and is undoubtedly found even among savages in the most barbarous nations. We may trace it through all the extent of Europe and Asia, through the wilds of Africa, and the forests of America. And it is not less, but more observable in the most civilized nations. So it was first in the eastern parts of the world, which were for so many ages the seat of empire, of learning and politeness, as well as of religion. So it was afterwards in all the Grecian states, and throughout the whole Roman Empire. In this respect, it is plain, they that "have not the" written "law, are a law unto themselves," showing "the work," the substance, "of the law" to be "written in their hearts."

2. And wherever God has revealed his will to man, this law has been a part of that revelation. It has been herein opened afresh, considerably enlarged, and enforced in the strongest manner. In the Jewish revelation, the notorious breakers thereof were punishable with death. And this was one of the laws which our blessed Lord did not come to destroy, but to fulfil. Accordingly he severely reproved the Scribes and Pharisees for making it void through their traditions; clearly showing that the obligation thereof extended to all ages. It is the substance of this which St. Paul delivers to the Ephesians: (Eph. 6:1:) Â"Children, obey your parents in the Lord;Â" and again in those words to the Colossians, Â"Children, obey your parents in all things.Â"

3. It is observable, that the Apostle enforces this duty by a threefold encouragement: First. To the Ephesians he adds, " For this is right:" It is an instance of justice as well as mercy. It is no more than their due: it is what we owe to them for t he very being which we have received from them. Secondly. "This is acceptable to the Lord;" it is peculiarly pleasing t o the great Father of men and angels that we should pay honour and obedience to the fathers of our flesh. Thirdly. It is Â "the first commandment with promise;" the first to the performance whereof a peculiar promise is annexed: "that it ma y be well with thee, and that thy days may be long in the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee." This promise has be en generally understood to include health and temporal blessings, as well as long life. And we have seen innumerable pr oofs, that it belongs to the Christian as well as the Jewish dispensation: Many remarkable instances of its accomplishme nt occur even at this day.

But what is the meaning of these words, Â"Children, obey your parents in all things?Â" I will endeavour, by the assistanc e of God, First, to explain, and, Then to apply them.

I. 1. First. I will endeavour to explain these words; and the rather, because so few people seem to understand them. Loo k round into the world, not the heathen but the Christian world, nay, the Reformed part of it; look among those that have the Scriptures in their own tongue; and who is there that appears even to have heard of this? Here and there a child obe ys the parent out of fear, or perhaps out of natural affection. But how many children can you find that obey their fathers a nd mothers out of a sense of duty to God? And how many parents can you find that duly inculcate this duty upon their ch ildren? I doubt, a vast majority both of parents and children are totally ignorant of the whole affair. For the sake of these I will make it as plain as I can: But still I am thoroughly sensible, those that are not willing to be convinced will no more un derstand what I say than if I was talking Greek or Hebrew.

2. You will easily observe, that by parents the Apostle means both fathers and mothers, as he refers us to the Fifth Com mandment, which names both the one and the other. And, however human laws may vary herein, the law of God makes no difference; but lays us under the same obligation of obeying both the one and the other.

3. But before we consider how we are to obey our parents, it may be inquired, how long we are to obey them. Are childr en to obey only till they run alone, till they go to school, till they can read and write, or till they are as tall as their parents, or, attain to years of discretion? Nay, if they obey only because they fear to be beaten, or because otherwise they cann ot procure food and raiment, what avails such obedience? Those only who obey their parents when they can live without them, and when they neither hope nor fear anything from them, shall have praise from God.

4. "But is a man that is at age, or a woman that is married, under any farther obligation to obey their parents?" With re gard to marriage, although it is true that a man is to leave father and mother, and cleave unto his wife; and, by parity of r eason, she is to leave father and mother, and cleave unto her husband; (in consequence of which there may be some p articular cases wherein conjugal duty must take place" of filial;) yet I cannot learn, either from Scripture or reason, that marriage either cancels or lessens the general obligation of filial duty. Much less does it appear that it is either cancelled or lessened by our having lived one-and-twenty years. I never understood it so in my own case. When I had lived upwar ds of thirty years, I looked upon myself to stand just in the same relation to my father as I did when I was ten years old. And when I was between forty and fifty, I judged myself full as much obliged to obey my mother in everything lawful, as I did when I was in my leading-strings .

5. But what is implied in, "Children, obey your parents in all things?" Certainly the First point of obedience is to do not hing which your father or mother forbids, whether it be great or small. Nothing is more plain than that the prohibition of a parent binds every conscientious child; that is, except the thing prohibited is clearly enjoined of God. Nor indeed is this al I; the matter may be carried a little farther still: A tender parent may totally disapprove what he does not care flatly to forb id. What is the duty of a child in this case? How far is that disapprobation to be regarded? Whether it be equivalent to a prohibition or not, a person who would have a conscience void of offence should undoubtedly keep on the safe side, and avoid what may perhaps be evil. It is surely the more excellent way, to do nothing which you know your parents disapprove. To act otherwise seems to imply a degree of disobedience, which one of a tender conscience would wish to avoid.

6. The Second thing implied in this direction is, Do every thing which your father or mother bids, be it great or small, prov ided it be not contrary to any command of God. Herein God has given a power to parents, which even sovereign princes have not. The King of England, for instance, is a sovereign prince; yet he has not power to bid me do the least thing, unl ess the law of the land requires me so to do; for he has no power but to execute the law. The will of the king is no law to the subject. But the will of the parent is a law to the child, who is bound in conscience to submit thereto unless it be contr ary to the law of God.

7. It is with admirable wisdom that the Father of spirits has given this direction, that as the strength of the parents supplie s the want of strength, and the understanding of the parents the want of understanding, in their children, till they have str ength and understanding of their own; so the will of the parents may guide that of their children till they have wisdom an d experience to guide themselves. This, therefore, is the very first thing which children have to learn, — that they are to obey their parents, to submit to their will, in all things. And this they may be inured to, long before they understand the re ason of it; and, indeed, long before they are capable of understanding any of the principles of religion. Accordingly, St. P aul directs all parents to bring up their children "in the discipline and doctrine of the Lord." For their will may be broken by proper discipline, even in their early infancy; whereas it must be a considerable time after, before they are capable of instruction. This, therefore, is the first point of all: Bow down their wills from the very first dawn of reason; and, by habitu ating them to submit to your will, prepare them for submitting to the will of their Father which is in heaven.

8. But how few children do we find, even of six or eight years old, that understand anything of this! Indeed, how should t hey understand it, seeing they have none to teach them? Are not their parents, father as well as mother, full as ignorant of the matter as themselves? Whom do you find, even among religious people, that have the least conception of it? Hav e not you seen the proof of it with your own eyes? Have not you been present when a father or mother has said, "My c hild, do so or so?" The child, without any ceremony, answered peremptorily, "I wonÂ't." And the parent quietly passe s it by, without any further notice. And does he or she not see, that, by this cruel indulgence, they are training up their chi ld, by flat rebellion against their parents, to rebellion against God? Consequently they are training him up for the everlast ing fire prepared for the devil and his angels! Did they duly consider this they would neither eat, nor drink, nor sleep, till t hey had taught him a better lesson, and made him thoroughly afraid of ever giving that diabolical answer again.

9. Let me reason this case a little farther with you parents that fear God. If you do fear God, how dare you suffer a child above a year old to say, "I will do" what you forbid, or, "I wonÂ't do" what you bid, and to go unpunished? Why do not you stop him at once, that he may never dare to say so again? Have you no bowels, no compassion for your child? No regard for his salvation or destruction? Would you suffer him to curse or swear in your presence, and take no notice of it? Why, disobedience is as certain a way to damnation as cursing and swearing. Stop him, stop him at first, in the na me of God. Do not "spare the rod, and spoil the child." If you have not the heart of a tiger, do not give up your child to his own will, that is, to the devil. Though it be pain to yourself, yet pluck your offspring out of the lionÂ's teeth. Make the m submit, that they may not perish. Break their will, that you may save their soul.

10. I cannot tell how to enforce this point sufficiently. To fix it upon your minds more strongly, permit me to add part of a l etter on the subject, printed some years ago:  $\hat{A}$ —

"In order to form the minds of children, the first thing to be done is to conquer their will. To inform their understanding is a work of time, and must proceed by slow degrees; but the subjecting the will is a thing which must be done at once; and the sooner the better. For by our neglecting timely correction they contract a stubbornness which is hardly ever to be co nquered, and never without using that severity which would be as painful to us as to the children. Therefore, I call those cruel parents who pass for kind and indulgent; who permit their children to contract habits which they know must be after wards broken.

Â"I insist upon conquering the wills of children betimes; because this is the only foundation for a religious education. Wh

en this is thoroughly done, then a child is capable of being governed by the reason of its parent, till its own understandin g comes to maturity.

Â"I cannot yet dismiss this subject. As self-will is the root of all sin and misery, so whatever cherishes this in children, en sures their after-wretchedness and irreligion; and whatever checks and mortifies it, promotes their future happiness and piety. This is still more evident if we consider that religion is nothing else but the doing the will of God, and not our own; and that self-will being the grand impediment to our temporal and eternal happiness, no indulgence of it can be trivial; no denial of it unprofitable. Heaven or hell depends on this alone. So that the parent who studies to subdue it in his children , works together with God in the saving of a soul. The parent who indulges it does the devilÂ's work, makes religion impr acticable, salvation unattainable; and does all that in him lies to damn his child, soul and body, for ever!

"This, therefore, I cannot but earnestly repeat, — break their wills betimes; begin this great work before they can run alone, before they can speak plain, or perhaps speak at all. Whatever pains it cost, conquer their stubbornness: break th e will, if you would not damn the child. I conjure you not to neglect, not to delay this! Therefore, (1.) Let a child, from a ye ar old, be taught to fear the rod and to cry softly. In order to this, (2.) Let him have nothing he cries for; absolutely nothin g, great or small; else you undo your own work. (3.) At all events, from that age, make him do as he is bid, if you whip hi m ten times running to effect it. Let none persuade you it is cruelty to do this; it is cruelty not to do it. Break his will now, and his soul will live, and he will probably bless you to all eternity.

11. On the contrary, how dreadful are the consequences of that accursed kindness which gives children their own wills, and does not bow down their necks from their infancy! It is chiefly owing to this, that so many religious parents bring up c hildren that have no religion at all; children that, when they are grown up, have no regard for them, perhaps set them at nought, and are ready to pick out their eyes! Why is this, but because their wills were not broken at first?  $\hat{A}$ — because th ey were not inured from their early infancy to obey their parents in all things, and to submit to their wills as to the will of God?  $\hat{A}$ — because they were not taught from the very first dawn of reason, that the will of their parents was, to them, th e will of God; that to resist it was rebellion against God, and an inlet to all ungodliness?

II. 1. This may suffice for the explication of the text: I proceed to the application of it. And permit me, First, to apply to yo u that are parents, and, as such concerned to teach your children. Do you know these things yourselves? Are you thoro ughly convinced of these important truths? Have you laid them to heart? and have you put them in practice, with regard t o your own children? Have you inured them to discipline, before they were capable of instruction? Have you broken their wills from their earliest infancy; and do you still continue so to do, in opposition both to nature and custom? Did you expl ain to them, as soon as their understanding began to open, the reasons of your proceeding thus? Did you point out to th em the will of God as the sole law of every intelligent creature; and show them it is the will of God that they should obey you in all things? Do you inculcate this over and over again till they perfectly comprehend it? O never be weary of this la bour of love! and your labour will not always be in vain.

2. At least, do not teach them to disobey, by rewarding them for disobedience. Remember! you do this every time you gi ve them anything because they cry for it. And herein they are apt scholars: If you reward them for crying, they will certain ly cry again. So that there is no end, unless you make it a sacred rule, to give them nothing which they cry for. And the s hortest way to do this is, never suffer them to cry aloud. Train them up to obedience in this one instance, and you will ea sily bring them to obey in others. Why should you not begin to-day? Surely you see what is the most excellent way; best for your child, and best for your own soul. Why then do you disobey? Because you are a coward; because you want res olution. And doubtless it requires no small patience, more than nature ever gave. But the grace of God is sufficient for y ou; you can do all things through Christ that strengtheneth you. This grace is sufficient to give you diligence, as well as r esolution; otherwise laziness will be as great a hindrance as cowardice. For without much pains you cannot conquer: No thing can be done with a slack hand; labour on; never tire, lay line upon line, till patience has its perfect work.

3. But there is another hindrance that is full as hard to be conquered as either laziness or cowardice. It is called fondnes s, and is usually mistaken for love: But, O, how widely different from it! It is real hate; and hate of the most mischievous k ind, tending to destroy both body and soul in hell! O give not way to it any longer, no, not for a moment. Fight against it with your might! for the love of God; for the love of your children; for the love of your own soul!

4. I have one word more to say to parents; to mothers in particular. If, in spite of all the Apostle can say, you encourage your children by your example to "adorn" themselves "with gold, or pearls, or costly apparel," you and they must dr op into the pit together. But if they do it, though you set them a better example, still it is yours, as well as their fault; for if you did not put any ornament on your little child that you would not wear yourself, (which would be utter distraction, and f ar more inexcusable than putting it on your own arms or head), yet you did not inure them to obey you from their infancy,

and teach them the duty of it, from at least two years old. Otherwise, they would not have dared to do anything, great or small, contrary to your will. Whenever, therefore, I see the fine-dressed daughter of a plain-dressed mother, I see at onc e the mother is defective either in knowledge or religion. Either she is ignorant of her own or her childÂ's duty, or she ha s not practised what she knows.

5. I cannot dismiss this subject yet. I am pained continually at seeing religious parents suffer their children to run into the same folly of dress, as if they had no religion at all. In GodÂ's name, why do you suffer them to vary a hairÂ's breadth fr om your example? Â"Why, they will do it?Â" They will! Whose fault is that? Why did not you break their will from their inf ancy? At least do it now; better late than never. It should have been done before they were two years old: It may be don e at eight or ten, though with far more difficulty. However, do it now; and accept that difficulty as the just reward for your past neglect. Now, at least carry your point, whatever it costs. Be not mealy-mouthed; say not, like foolish Eli, Â"Nay, my children, it is no good report which I hear of you,Â" instead of restraining them with a strong hand; but speak (though as calmly as possible, yet) firmly and peremptorily, Â"I will have it so;Â" and do as you say. Instil diligently into them the lov e of plain dress, and hatred of finery. Show them the reason of your own plainness of dress, and show it is equally reaso nable for them. Bid defiance to indolence, to cowardice, to foolish fondness, and at all events carry your point; if you love their souls, make and keep them just as plain as yourselves. And I charge you, grandmothers before God, do not hinder your daughters herein. Do not dare to give the child anything which the mother denies. Never take the part of the childre n against their parent; never blame her before them. If you do not strengthen her authority, as you ought to do, at least d o not weaken it; but if you have either sense or piety left, help her on in the work of real kindness

6. Permit me now to apply myself to you, children; particularly you that are the children of religious parents. Indeed if you have no fear of God before your eyes,Â"I have no concern with you at present; but if you have, if you really fear God, an d have a desire to please him, you desire to understand all his commandments, the fifth in particular. Did you ever under stand it yet? Do you now understand what is your duty to your father and mother? Do you know, at least do you consider , that by the divine appointment their will is law to you? Have you ever considered the extent of that obedience to your p arents which God requires? Â"Children, obey your parents in all things.Â" No exception, but of things unlawful. Have you practised your duty in this extent? Did you ever so much as intend it?

7. Deal faithfully with your own souls. Is your conscience now clear in this matter? Do you do nothing which you know to be contrary to the will either of your father or mother? Do you never do anything (though ever so much inclined to it) whi ch he or she forbids? Do you abstain from everything which they dislike, as far as you can in conscience? On the other h and, are you careful to do whatever a parent bids? Do you study and contrive how to please them, to make their lives as easy and pleasant as you can? Whoever you are that add this to your general care to please God in all things, blessed a rt thou of the Lord! Â"Thy days shall be long in the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee.Â"

8. But as for you who are little concerned about this matter, who do not make it a point of conscience to obey your paren ts in all things, but sometimes obey them, as it happens, and sometimes not; who frequently do what they forbid or disap prove, and neglect what they bid you do; suppose you awake out of sleep, that you begin to feel yourself a sinner, and b egin to cry to God for mercy, is it any wonder that you find no answer, while you are under the guilt of unrepented sin? H ow can you expect mercy from God till you obey your parents? But suppose you have, by an uncommon miracle of merc y, tasted of the pardoning love of God, can it be expected, although you hunger and thirst after righteousness, after the p erfect love of God, that you should ever attain it, ever be satisfied therewith, while you live in outward sin, in the wilful tra nsgression of a known law of God, in disobedience to your parents? Is it not rather a wonder, that he has not withdrawn his Holy Spirit from you? that he still continues to strive with you, though you continually grieve his Spirit? O grieve him n o more! By the grace of God, obey them in all things from this moment! As soon as you come home, as soon as you set foot within the door, begin an entirely new course! Look upon your father and mother with new eyes; see them as repres enting your Father which is in heaven: Endeavour, study, rejoice to please, to help, to obey them in all things: Behave no t barely as their child, but as their servant for ChristÂ's sake. O how will you then love one another! In a manner unknow n before. God will bless you to them, and them to you: All around will feel that God is with you of a truth. Many shall see i t and praise God; and the fruit of it will remain when both you and they are lodged in AbrahamÂ's bosom. "

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/31 2:59

I have no reason or intention to read anything which is pressed onto me by way of advocating the abuse of children. I wa nt to say something plainly here and then I am done. I have given you all a chance in this post to make a simple distincti on. The rod of correction or the hand. The one is metaphorical and biblical and it has to do with authority and physical di scipline. It is metaphorically the "back" and the "rod" it is biblically to do with God's own authority in the first instance and parents secondly by reason of His will. The second is literal it is the hand and it is attached to your own body. It is an inst rument of discipline both for yourself and those who you have authority over. especially your children.

It has nothing to do with pieces of wood on the backs or buttocks or bodies of children. Not one of you have been able to say plainly what you mean other than to use a cowardly term, seemingly literally in the context of a quotation of a verse f rom scripture. When the time comes for these things to be dealt with I will be there. I will make the arrest. I will read the charge and I will bear witness.

The abuse of children by believers is a well documented and full account. Believers always claim that the "rod" means di scipline and yet many actually do use physical rods. It is illegal and it is an imprisonable offence. Those of you who do th is will go to prison in the end and I will be a witness against you. Just as this lost opportunity will be a witness against yo u. It is shameful beyond words that I could have pressed the specific point so clearly regarding the use of physical rods t o beat little children and not one of you have had the common sense or the decency to actually say "I don't actually do th at". Why is this. It is because some of you do in fact do it, have done it or else advocate it. Shame on you.

So here is much needed clarification in our time also. It is a criminal offence to beat little children with pieces of wood. La stly I want you to reflect on this. When you hear the feet of those who come to arrest you it will be too late. The evidence will be gathered in already.

#### Re: - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 7:41

Mr. Arm, if you think that your fear tactics are going to stop sincere Christians from obeying God's Word, you are deeply mistaken. Jesus has already warned us about people like you:

Mat 10:28 And fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to kill the soul: but rather fear him which is able to dest roy both soul and body in hell.

Mat 10:17 But beware of men: for they will deliver you up to the councils, and they will scourge you in their synagogues;

Mat 10:18 And ye shall be brought before governors and kings for my sake, for a testimony against them and the Gentil es.

Mat 10:19 But when they deliver you up, take no thought how or what ye shall speak: for it shall be given you in that sa me hour what ye shall speak.

Mat 10:20 For it is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your Father which speaketh in you.

Mat 10:21 And the brother shall deliver up the brother to death, and the father the child: and the children shall rise up ag ainst their parents, and cause them to be put to death.

Mat 10:22 And ye shall be hated of all men for my name's sake: but he that endureth to the end shall be saved.

Since you refuse to see any other opinion but your own twisted view which totally ignores God's plain instruction, please remember that you will be one day standing before the Author of the Book who will judge you in front of all people for yo ur ranting and raving against His instructions. You are joining the multitudes of earth who call His instructions child abus e.

Unless you have a drastic change of heart some day and truly repent for your attack on His Word, get ready to hear thes e words from THE Judge of the universe:

"I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity."

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/31 8:10

#### Quote:

------I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity

I have no doubt about the fullness of what these things mean. Fortunately my salvation rests in comprehending Christ a nd not beating children with pieces of wood. There is no fear tactic by me either. The arrests are already happening. I th ought you read well enough. Seemingly not. Who is able to say it plainly. Do you mean that men should beat their babie s from the age of one year with pieces of wood. Yes or no? And just in case you didn't understand me I actually truly me ant what I have said. In that day I will bear witness against you. The fact that you have no idea what I am talking about is simply because of your ignorance. Religious bigotry equals a man without reason or understanding. Throw the stones br other. I am a jew of the flesh as well. In that no doubt you can draw the arm back just a little further.

#### Re: - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/31 8:34

RE: ///Those of you who do this will go to prison in the end and I will be a witness against you. Just as this lost opportuni ty will be a witness against you. It is shameful beyond words that I could have pressed the specific point so clearly regar ding the use of physical rods to beat little children and not one of you have had the common sense or the decency to act ually say "I don't actually do that". Why is this. It is because some of you do in fact do it, have done it or else advocate it. Shame on you.///

No one here is advocating abuse as you have misinterpreted and reworded.

The understanding of the passages that include the usage of the rod in this discussion has historically been understood as referring to the switch.

In this perverse age that we live many Godly Children such as Roy Daniels, Daniel Kenaston and a multitude of others h ave been raised with the usage of the loving rod.

RE:///Those of you who do this will go to prison in the end and I will be a witness against you. Just as this lost opportunit y will be a witness against you.///

This could be the most hate filled statement that I have ever read on SI.

Edit: persecution is trully coming.

#### The rod helps grasping the principles of the cross. - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/31 9:25

#### to train up a child p 44-46

"Guilt only occurs when one honestly judges himself to be worthy of blame. One may inappropriately be convinced of bla me, but guilt is nonetheless self-incrimination for percieved wrongdoing. Bad behavior causes guilt. So does rejection a nd ridicule, if the child becomes convinced that he is the one in the wrong.

Emotionally unstable parents sometimes use guilt to manipulate their children. Parents who try to shame or humiliate the ir children into right behavior may see the child temporarily acquiesce. But, obedience performed out of desperation of g uilt only deepens guilt, putting the child further out of touch with repentance and healing.

Guilt is never in itself restorative. That is, it does not tend toward less blameworthy actions, for , though it directs the soul away from the activity causing the guilt, there is no strength or moral courage in guilt itself. On the contrary, the guilt-ridd en soul is a slave to every temptation. Compounded guilt abolishes motivation to do right. The anguish of failure and the dread anticipation of it lowers one's expectations of self. Unresolved guilt lowers self-esteem to the point where one doe s not expect to do other than fail.

This reality has caused modern psychologists to view guilt itself as the culprit. To address guilt as if it were the disease i

s like dealing with the pain of a toothache but not the tooth.

Guilt is an essential part of our natural, moral self. Without it we would be like a smoke detector with no alarm. But guilt is only a means to an end, a temporary condition. It's the souls pain, as when we touch something hot, designed to give us warning, and a strong signal to change our actions. It is a great blessing to feel genuine guilt, both as a sign of life and a s a healthy response for the sin-sick soul.

Guilty souls who are resigned to their condition are often seen inflicting pain and suffering upon themselves. It is estimat ed that up to 15% of adolescents have delibertly inflicted pain or wounds upon themselves. Some children are covered with scars;others have broken their bones. In some way, they are satisfying a need tosuffer for their sins. This self-abus e is an unconscious attempt tp "pay the fiddler" The conscience is indelibly imprinted with a conviction that sin deserves punishment. We intuitively know that wroungdoing not only deserves punishment, but will one day face punishment. Fro me the earliest awakenings of conscience, a child is in the grip of this reality. It remains a basic presupposition of life. Guilt is the law's cheif witness against the sinner. If guilt is not resolved, it will shakle the damned in the eternal misery of their sins. Like a zealous and fanatical prosecuting attorney, the conscience will not drop its case untill it is sure that justi ce has been done. A guilty soul is a soul that feels it deserves punishment equal to the offense. This is a psychological r eality. The guilt-burdened soul cries out for the lashes and nails of justice. That is why the soul of man never rest untill t he conscience has been purged by a believing look at the bleeding Lamb of God.

Christians find release from their guilt through the Savior who suffered the curse of their sins, but their children cannot y et understand that the Creator has been lashed and nailed in their place. Yet, parents need not wait untill their children a re old enough to understand the vicarious death of Christ to purge their children of guilt. God has provided parents with a tool to cleanse their children of guilt-the rod of correction.

I observed a small child who,upon being caught in a misdeed, turned her backside to her parents, pulled her diaper dow n, and gave herself three slaps on the bare bottom. The offering, though cute, was not accepted. The lawgiver must adm inister this kind of chastisement in order to effectively remove guilt. A child knows but one lawgiver-his parents.

Do not follow the modern philosophy of trying to eliminate guilt by fudging on the standards or by pumping the guilty chil d up with false self-worth. Keep the standards high-as high as the person of Christ. Let guilt come, and while the child is yet too young to understand, purge his guilt by means of the rod. When understanding finally comes, he will readily gras p the principles of the cross.

Parents hold in their hands (in the form of a little switch) the power to absolve the child of guilt, cleanse his soul, instruct his spirit, strengthen his resolve, and give him a fresh start through a confidence that all indebtedness is paid in full. "The blueness of a wound cleanseth away evil: so do stripes the inward parts of the belly" (Prov.20:30). "inward parts of the be lly" is a description of the physical sensations associated with guilt.

#### - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/31 9:25

Edit: double post

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/31 9:42

Quote:

------RE:///Those of you who do this will go to prison in the end and I will be a witness against you. Just as this lost opportunity will be a witness against you.///

This could be the most hate filled statement that I have ever read on SI. proudpapa

Despite how it may appear it is said with more insight than may be possible to understand easily. I hate no man, not eve n cruel men who beat their children with pieces of wood.

In all of this there has to be a separation. Who is equally taking notice of what we say to one another? If I were an unbeli ever and reading the enthusiasm for the term "rod" and had any comprehension of the implications of the use of a dog's intelligence being used to justify the benefit of physically beating a baby who though more intelligent than a dog, could n ot, like the dog, understand my languageÂ....and therefore physical pain is the only possible remedy to break that babie s willÂ...Â...I would be completely appalled and would when the trap falls consider the job well done. I am able to say th ese things precisely because I do in fact understand the intimacy of the reality of what men speak of when they speak of the consequences of a wilful child and the potential outcome.

However the gospel is not thus. The gospel is other than this and the world is already near to its fullness of rebellion. Chi

Idren born today are not restrained by all outward means of the body, they are by a long measure freed from the restrain ts of their bodies and are pressed into a mind of rebellion without comprehending it when they are yet little children. The willingness of many children to be childish is simply robbed from them before they have even learned to obey by reason able means. If we think that the answer to this is by physical pain we would have failed utterly to comprehend the times we live in. When we say as believers that the best remedy for our children is to break their will, by beating their bodies w e have done nothing more than all cultures and societies have done from the beginning. There is nothing mysterious or spiritual in understand what a new mother without Christ understands the first time her little child expresses a determinat ion to disobey her.

This issue with God is not one of breaking children it is in training their minds to comprehend obedience. Making statem ents about breaking the will of children and likening this process to a necessity of being unable to understand language simply re-enforces the utter repugnance of what it actually being said. Equally there is nothing remotely spiritual in quoti ng scriptures and failing to see the wisdom being spoken of in them as reflecting all men at all times, yet written in a day now beyond our comprehension. The day we live in is the day of grace unto all men. Show me in the new testament wh ere either Christ Himself or any of the apostles taught that believing parents had a duty to break their children with rods. It simply does not exist. It is a fiction of men who have themselves given away their reasonable minds.

Some of us actually know what parental violence really means. Not the simplicity of having our bottoms smacked but ha ving boiling liquids poured over our bodies, having our feet beaten with wooden rods, having our limbs twisted in rage an d in finality having to endure all of this only to be compelled to agree with that which was false in the enquiry of violence. Nothing about this kind of abuse is comprehended by ordinary means. Moreover the people who perpetuate it are not by any means people lacking in an understanding and fear of God. There is so much myth attached to parental fear. It is oft en mistaken for spiritual knowledge. Fear itself is driven by a lack of understanding and faith. If a child is willing in all of t his physical violence, it is precisely because a dog is similarly willing in the same circumstance. How would drawing that prove the mercy and goodness of God? It does not and it cannot. It is a false teaching simply because it isn't necessary t o beat children at all beyond the occasional smacked bottom when they are perhaps two years old.

So if what I have had to say here is a provocation then I already knew it before I wrote the words. It is wilful and deliberat e so as to make men think about what they are saying. Hence the reference to evidence. I am not your enemy you are y our own enemy. Hence the term "a rod for his own back".

The prison I have made reference to is not primarily one made with mens hands, it is in the kingdom to come. If you don' t believe me then read the scriptures more closely. We will not escape the consequences of our actions no matter what we imagine we are doing. It would be better to comprehend that right now and learn a more profitable way in keeping wit h God's mercy. This is a day of grace and newness of life. It is not the day of the Law of God by a rod. It is the day of the Risen Christ. It is not guilt which we need to teach our children but Christ crucified for sin which in the end brings convicti on of sin. If any one had been inclined to fear God by reason of physical discipline then I ought to have been that child. I never even comprehended as a child that what was my portion was even out of the way. I saw it as a normal experience of life. Having been made to swear on the bible that I was telling the truth in a matter, I was beaten into withdrawing the oath and confessed the crime. Yet I went on to openly give my life to Satan wilfully. If you think that what I have shared h ere is unusual then you are very wrong. It is common place and those who do these things include believers claiming th ey are serving God's purposes.

In the end we need wisdom in how we express what every parent already understands. Who made you so wise that you can instruct a mother concerning that which she already understands well enough? It is not instruction men need it is Ch rist.

#### Re: Amrkelly - sorry, I've been mispelling it. - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 10:23

In the new testament, we find this:

Heb 12:8 Now if you are exempt from correction and left without discipline in which all share, then you are illegitimate o ffspring and not true sons .(2)

Heb 12:9 Moreover, we have had earthly fathers who disciplined us and we yielded and respected . Shall we not much more cheerfully submit to the Father of spirits and so live?

Heb 12:10 For disciplined us for only a short period of time and chastised us as seemed proper and good to them; but He disciplines us for our certain good, that we may become sharers in His own holiness.

Heb 12:11 For the time being no discipline brings joy, but seems grievous and painful; but afterwards it yields a peacea

ble fruit of righteousness to those who have been trained by it .

#### 

Since his audience was Hebrew, it is obvious that he is referring to the same kind of chastisement that Hebrews were ac customed to (found in the book of Proverbs).

Quote:

Some of us actually know what parental violence really means. Not the simplicity of having our bottoms smacked but having boiling liquids poured over our bodies, having our feet beaten with wooden rods, having our limbs twisted in rage and in finality having to endure all of this only to be compelled to agree with that which was false in the enquiry of violence.

\_\_\_\_\_

It is sad to me that you were obviously abused as a child, but is is more sad to me that because of your abuse, you are r ejecting God's plan for loving discipline which includes some physical pain. Those of us who were beaten with switches and belts by loving parents who did it for our good are deeply appreciative and eternally grateful for the pain that was inflicted on our physical bodies under the plan of lovingly training us and using the pain inflicted in that manner.

Your reasoning is based on your personal experiences, but any such reasoning which goes against God's Word is like al I such chaff which will be blown away ... but God's Word endures forever.

When one of the founders of your branch of theology takes a such a strong stand in favor of the discipline and chastise ment found in the book of Proverbs (the use of the rod), it should make you stop and realize that your view which has be en tainted by bad experiences could be horribly mistaken.

Many young ladies who grow up getting raped by men in their families lose all confidence in God's plan (of one man with one woman until death) and feel perfectly justified becoming lesbians, but it still doesn't change God's perfect plan regar dless of their bad experiences.

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/31 10:41

In the new testament, we find thisÂ...Â....

The words here are written to fully grown men and women and it speaks about the discipline and the love of God regardi ng legitimacy. Next time an angel of God descends into your church with a wooden rod and beats you into submission, j ust let me know right!

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/31 11:10

Amr,

It is clear that you are responding in the most extreme sense in order to win your point.

You castigate fellow believers as religious bigots and other names in order to paint an extreme picture of them.

You have been abused and are now seem to be abusive yourself, but rather with your mouth and pen.

Emotional abuse upon children is much worse than a switch.

As I said before, you are superimposing your childhood experience upon the people of God today. You have not known a father's love in terms of godly correction, therefore your view is skewed.

And you are ready and willing to use the world as your "bully" against your brethren. Is it possible that you have not bee n healed of abuse and have found your own sophisticated ways to abuse others? Please be truthful. Is it a practice of yo urs to pound people into submission with your denigrating words?

#### Re: - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/5/31 11:32

Quote:

In the new testament, we find thisÂ...Â.....

The words here are written to fully grown men and women and it speaks about the discipline and the love of God regarding legitimacy. Next time an an gel of God descends into your church with a wooden rod and beats you into submission, just let me know right!

-----

When bitter experiences in a person's life close his mind to reality, no amount of proof can suffice to open such a mind t o accept the truth.

Thousands perhaps millions of people could testify of loving parents who used rods, belts, switches etc. to discipline and train them in a good and positive manner, but it still would not change the mind of a person who bitterly equates all pain i nflicted on children with his own childhood horrors.

Such a mind is not capable of unbiasedly reasoning nor learning on the subject ... unless a miracle happens to heal that heart that is still hurting.

#### Re: , on: 2013/5/31 12:01

Quote:

------And you are ready and willing to use the world as your "bully" against your brethren. Is it possible that you have not been healed of abuse and have found your own sophisticated ways to abuse others? Please be truthful. Is it a practice of yours to pound people into submission with your denigrating words? pilgrim777

Thats a few questions and the answer to all of them is no! I am not willing to use the world as my instrument. The world i s God's instrument. I though you would have understood that. On the other hand I make use of what was given me in Ch rist. I thought you would have understood that as well. Its called a rod.

Just to make this intelligible I am not superimposing anything on any one. I have had contact with child protection officer s for more than 28 years, not to mention the preceding contact I had as a child with the same. I have read Sociology, Ps ychology and Criminology at degree level. I know social workers, police officers, judges and court officials and my claims are not spuriously made they are grounded in certain knowledge. And all of this I count as but rubbish to the certainty of Christ crucified for sin. Nor do I see their existence as anything more that a rod of God against unrighteousness. I have no illusions about child abuse, apart from my own personal experiences, which I equally count as nothing, I have by the grace of God a truly sound and unshakable faith in Christ Jesus based on the revelation of the Father and Christ His So n. Nothing will persuade me that allowing a child to simply follow their natural and inclinations will prove to be a good ou tcome, and similarly nothing will persuade me that beating babies, howsoever lightly, and well intended will either result i n a good benefit to the baby, or escape prosecution in the years to come. The legislature is in the making and it will com e. We need wisdom in how we express ourselves.

There is a reason for this post and all those posts which I make with such determination. It has to do with reality of the ti mes we live in. I have only ever smacked my own children just once each when they were between two and three years

old. It was sufficient for them to learn instantly what fear is and by that means they no doubt comprehended the benefit o f obedience. It was not done as a means of teaching them about guilt, sin, hell, heaven or anything else, as I have said b efore. It is a simple physical reality of experience that fear of physical pain produces an immediate outcome in little childr en. Its not some super spiritual insight as it is being claimed. Of course they are all adults now and they even have little babies of their own. When this somewhat vulgar knowledge which even the heathen comprehend is made into a doctrin e it produces another outcome altogether. And we ought not to confuse that with what our own parents did because we can now forgive them knowing a more perfect truth.

I don't have a skewed view of a father's love or for that matter a mothers love, I have no view to it at all. My father was a bsent and my mother was mentally ill. Yet by grace I do have a sound comprehension of the Father in heaven and a sec urity in Him which has proved sufficient for me through all the ups and downs of life. In short brother my life is a complet e contradiction of reason. That's the gospel brother it called the grace and love of God. As for everything else they are ju st rods in His hands. As the Lord has said, stumbling must come, but woe to those by whom it comes. Its all about the ti me we live in brother it has nothing to do with doctrine or reason. It has to do with reality of the times.

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/31 12:59

God used the Children of Israel as a "rod" against His enemies and He uses the Church as a rod to discipline members of the Body as in 1 Corinthians 5. Although, church discipline is somewhat non-existent anymore.

But your entitled to your opinion. I just disagree with your opinion as not being in harmony with my understanding of God 's word. I also disagree with your characterization of what abuse is.

Interesting how one man's concept of love is another man's concept of abuse. Unbelievers think God is abusive not und erstanding the principles behind His ways.

#### Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2013/5/31 15:12

I wonder if there are any adults who received "lovingly administered corporal punishment" when they were young and di d not turn out so hot? And I wonder if there are any adults who never got a paddling in their life and turned out wonderfu lly (like my mother and my wife)?

I guess my point is that corporal punishment (or lack thereof) is no guarantee of anything, although scripture certainly se ems to condone it.

Pilgrim wrote:

"Unbelievers think God is abusive not understanding the principles behind His ways."

I think it is safe to say that even believers (like me) have difficulty understanding the principles behind some of His ways (e.g. Num 31:17-18)

#### Re: - posted by pilgrim777, on: 2013/5/31 16:07

tuc

Quote:

------I think it is safe to say that even believers (like me) have difficulty understanding the principles behind some of His ways (e.g. Num 31:17-18)

Granted. Though we do not understand, we do not consider His ways foolish. Because we know Him, we know His ways are according to His character which is always motivated by love.

# Re: - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/5/31 21:29

witnesses for biblical chastisment

#### 1. The Scripture!

in all of this discussion, not one Scripture has been provided that would convince any Unbiased Observer that the Script ure is opposed to a loving use of a switch by an emotionaly stable parent for child training.

however many scriptures have been given that support the usage of the rod in child training!

The meaning of rod has been challenged but not because Scripture indicates nor the Spirit indicates that the traditional understanding of the Rod is incorrect, But instead because some have personal emotional biasness on the subject.

#### 2. Inward Certainty!

All men hold the truth, and I do not believe that this subject is any different. If we are honest we know the truth on this subject!

The clear reality is that this is evident even in those whom are deeply opposed on this subject, in that they almost alway s delibertly and dishonestly go to great lengths to misconstrue, exagerate and wrongfully sterotype others inorder to mak e their opinion sound more convincing, and often stoop to the lowest of the low with threats similar to the attacks that ha ve been presented in this disscusion.

#### 3. Those more Godly than I !

I am aware of several of The Godly preachers on SI who publicaly defend the use of a spanking when it comes to the G odly upbringing of children, I personally am not aware of even one that has openly opposed the traditional and biblical p erspective.

#### 4 Worldly Perspective !

Secular society is becoming more and more hostile to traditional biblical chastisment, almost to the point of forcing Chri stians to stop raising their children in away that was considered a social norm less than a half a century ago. The enlight ened Christian is well aware of the days that we are living in and the reason that the (little g) god of this world is so oppo sed to biblical chastisment.

Edit: another witness :

#### 5. success !

The success of men whom strongly advocate and even teach the biblical loving useage of the rod. success like what the Pearl's, Kenaston's and Daniel's have had in child rearing, causes me to listen and learn when they speak on the subject of child traning, (I still have much to learn from these wise bible believing preachers on the topic of child training)

#### Re: , on: 2013/6/1 1:13

#### Quote:

-----In all of this discussion, not one Scripture has been provided that would convince any Unbiased Observer that the Scripture is oppo sed to a loving use of a switch by an emotionaly stable parent for child training.

however many scriptures have been given that support the usage of the rod in child training!

The meaning of rod has been challenged but not because Scripture indicates nor the Spirit indicates that the traditional understanding of the Rod is inc orrect, But instead because some have personal emotional biasness on the subject.

-----

In all of this discussion brother there are not a number of people who have opposed the centrality of the term rod and wh at that means. It has been myself alone. This doesn't surprise me. Nor does the fact that no one has been able to openly acknowledge in plain English that "switch" does not mean something vague and fuzzy, it means a piece of wood. In shor t it means a rod of wood. If we are going to insist that the biblical word rod as used in Proverbs is not interpreted this wa y then by all means speak on. And regardless of the fact that some brethren have imaginatively drawn the physical instrument to mean other things, such as spoons, belts, whips, and even plumbing pipes, the meaning of the scripture is clear r enough. No one has said that it is not clear. What has been said is that it is become a necessity in this day to exercise

a greater wisdom because of the day in which we live. Thus I speak of the hand and you speak of a switch. The hand is attached to ones own body so that if it offends you and you obey Christ you can cut it off. The switch can be thrown awa y and then repented of and taken up once more.

Exodus 21:20 is the first biblical use of the term rod as an instrument of punishment and it reads thus "if a man strikes his male or female slave with the rod and he dies he shall be punished".

There are nine old testament references to the use of the rod in clear context of an individual being the object of disciplin e and they are all in Proverbs they are 10:13, 13:24, 14:3, 22:8, 22:15, 23:13, 23:14, 26:3 & 29:15.

Of these four verses speak of children and one verse speaks of "a son". The other four speak of adults and have to d o with either wisdom or else foolishness. In one instance the reference has specifically to do with anger leading to loss (Proverbs 22:8). Every other reference to the rod, rods etc in the Old Testament is self explanatory but has nothing whats oever to do with children being beaten.

One verse which in the above list speaks volumes of itself. "Do not hold back discipline from the child, Although you st rike him with the rod, he will not die (Proverbs 23:13). Contrast this verse with Exodus 21:20 above and then pause for a moment to reflect what might be the reality in all of this.

In the New Testament there is no reference to beating children whatsoever. The term rod is used ten times in total and when it is used in connection with regard to some one physically it is used just once in respect of Paul and Silas being b eaten by order of the Magistrates at Philippi (Acts 16:22).

The one central characteristic of the term rod throughout the scriptures is that of GodÂ's own dealings directly with His p eople, and the physical context is always in the physical consequences and judgements of God arising from wilful sin, w hether famine, drought, sickness, disease, war and so on. In Revelation the term Â"rod of ironÂ" is included in its meani ng and speaks of Christ ruling over the nations during the millennial kingdom. It speaks of the Lord permitting no descen t from His righteous reign over the nations. It is also worth remembering that during this time Satan is bound. In contrast when he is again released after the millennial kingdom he very quickly manages to find sufficient men to rebel with him o nce again.

In all of this we could say that wisdom asserts that disciplining your own children even to the extent that you beat them w ith a rod, reflects the wisdom of God given to Solomon.

Now some brethren having no wisdom of their own and go looking for a way to discipline their own children. They have n o conviction of their own and so they take wisdom from other men, who say they have taken their wisdom from the script ures.

Yet in the New Testament we read that in the past men disciplined their children "as seemed proper and good to them " (Hebrews 12:8-11). And as it was pointed out before hand by Lordoitagain this is written to the Jews, being they that were given the Scriptures in which are found the very Proverbs of Solomon. So ask yourselves a question if Proverbs is a prescription which is formed by a physical necessity to beat little children from the age of one year old, or even 6 mont hs as some would have it, how then do men discipline their children as "seemed proper and good to them?" Does it a mount to a licence to do as one pleases without consequence before men and God, or does it speak of reasonable unde rstanding and parental concern?

Clearly in this there must lie something greater than a prescription of necessity. I would like to say that the real issue in a II of this is parental authority and then wisdom, which produces discomfort and pain for a season.....Â"All discipline for th e moment seems not to be joyful, but sorrowful; yet to those who have been trained by it, afterwards it yields the peacef ul fruit of righteousnessÂ"......but does not equate to the discipline of God and His perfect benefit towards us who are tr ue sons......Â"but He disciplines us for our good, so that we may share His holinessÂ".....nor does the actions of fath erÂ's in doing that which Â" proper and good to themÂ" necessarily mean that there actions are good. It is not so simple as you imagine.

Â"If you being evil know how to give your children good thingsÂ".....

Brethren if the unbeliever who is yet in the power of Satan understands that all we know is the prescription of wisdom an d do not yet comprehend that we also remember that in us....Â"that is, in the flesh, there is no good thingÂ"......we will in the end find that they are become a rod for our backs. No one is forced to beat their children.....it is a matter of wisdom a nd this wisdom attends to the same evil flesh which we as their fatherÂ's gave them as an inheritance. Prescriptions do not lend themselves to being led of the Holy Spirit. They are given by another and are a requirement. God does not require us to beat our children with rods. He permits it of necessity, comprehending that for some children it is indeed neces sary because they have already gone astray. It is correction and reproof which is the true rod, and it is in the first instanc e of the mouth and not a piece of wood.

Finally although it would be possible to write a hundred pages on this subject, one thing lies central to the issue which ca nnot be ignored. This is the attitude which some men have, in the way they look at and understand their children, and by becoming teachers, other mens children also. This is the belief that correction is not simply a matter of addressing the di sobedience of our children, but it is a training their very flesh to shrink back from all forms of disobedience by means of t he rod before the child has even comprehended a word. If this was limited to being disciplined in the matter of natural de mands, being a reasonable denial of the weakness of the flesh to demand continuous unreasoning attention, then this w ould be just fine when that is itself reasonable, but to assert that the flesh itself, soul and body, must be broken, is nothin g less than wickedness regardless of the fact that God Himself will permit it. In this lies accountability whether we compr ehend it not. Press on as you will, but don't be surprised if in the end you are held accountable by the nations.

# Re: If thy brother trespass against thee, rebuke him; and if he repent, forgive him. - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 Hi amrkelly,

Your first post to this thread was rude and insulting amrkelly you wrote and I quote :

///This chap would have been better putting his much education to something useful. Its frankly incredible that he begins with an issue regarding the discipline of children and end with the words "criminals". In my view that just about sums it u p. Shame on such men as these!///

You did not offer a sound and biblical alternative to the the prespective offered in the artical but instead you where rude and disrespectful!

You took the hyperbole use of the word "criminals" that was contained in an included quote within the artical and ran with that as your base of attack toward the artical

How would it make you feel if someone responded to a thread of yours in such a manner ?? It did not bother me much b ut it was rude and you know that it was!

and you say "shame on such men as these!" many Godly men hold to simmiler perspectives as the artical advocates

I have had difficulty following you on this thread, and you know that! It is not only I, but everyone who posted on this thread had difficulty following you on this thread!

Relieze that very few of us inturpet the Scripture from your dispensational perspective, I do not even know of very many preachers presented on SI that inturpet the Scriptures from your dispensation perspective.

So with this clear relization that you are not ignorant of this reality, causes statements such as you made to lorddoitagai n to be without warrent.

amrkelly you wrote and I quote /// The arrests are already happening. I thought you read well enough. Seemingly not. Who is able to say it plainly.///

Is that a nice thing to say ///I thought you read well enough. Seemingly not./// ??

Is that how you like others to speak to you, amrkelly, when you have difficulty following them ??

and than you amrkelly said and I quote

RE: /// And just in case you didn't understand me I actually truly meant what I have said. In that day I will bear witness a gainst you. The fact that you have no idea what I am talking about is simply because of your ignorance.///

Is that how you like to be spoken to ?? called ignorant because you misunderstood someones post ??

Told that you will be witnessed against by them because you hold a difference of opinion.

I do not beat nor abuse my children even according to my States Laws and I retain a strong community of support that c an and would attest on my behalf if such false allagations ever arises but I must say that You amrkelly wrote some very hurtfull stuff here if at all you are implying the such, to us believers in these post, I do not care rather you are implying to the here and now or some Millenium to come.

amrkelly you wrote and I quote : ////The abuse of children by believers is a well documented and full account. Believers always claim that the "rod" means discipline and yet many actually do use physical rods. It is illegal and it is an imprison able offence. Those of you who do this will go to prison in the end and I will be a witness against you. Just as this lost op portunity will be a witness against you. It is shameful beyond words that I could have pressed the specific point so clearl y regarding the use of physical rods to beat little children and not one of you have had the common sense or the decenc y to actually say "I don't actually do that". Why is this. It is because some of you do in fact do it, have done it or else adv ocate it. Shame on you.

So here is much needed clarification in our time also. It is a criminal offence to beat little children with pieces of wood. La stly I want you to reflect on this. When you hear the feet of those who come to arrest you it will be too late. The evidence will be gathered in already ////

We are all against abuse no one here is advocating abuse, but you misconstrue everyones perspective whom disagrees with you on this subject.

I ask you to examine these statements of yours and reflect how you would feel if another poster attacked you in such a manner.

# Re: Children and the Rod of Correction - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2013/6/1 6:18

Very good article.

Switching is effective in moderating a child's behavior. We used it (had a child then when roaming our place if she found a nice stick would bring it to me to use), our children use it on their children. While grandma thinks the grandchildren are like little angels it is because the parents do not allow them to act like little devils.

Modern child psychologists advocate the use of reasoning with a child to get him to obey as the first, second, third line of parental aggression in this regard. The reality is the child does not have a good sense of reasoning because in his view I ife revolves around me and me alone. He must learn to submit to authority whether it seems reasonable or not. Underst anding will come with maturity and a child is not mature - they are selfishness personified.

When our children were little, Dr. Benjamin Spock wrote a lot about child training. His philosophy drastically changed the way Americans look at parenting. Unfortunately, I bought into some of it at the time. All I will say about it is that it creates more frustration for the parent because the child's heart is not set to obey but in learning how to manipulate mom better.

Good article. Thanks for posting.

#### Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2013/6/1 6:33

After posting I did a tad bit of research into Dr. Spock and found references to a Christian leader writing about child traini ng. Mr.John B.Watson, who wrote in the 1920's, was in error as well as he advocated harsh treatment - do not allow a c hild to sit on your lap, e.g.

As I consider child training and the use of the rod, it seems to me writers, experts are falling off into the ditch on one side or another. Since this is the case I suspect one would do well to ignore the 'experts' and do what your Biblical inspired in stinct tells you to do. Even Dr.Dobson would agree with this! And....I think Dr. Spock eventually came around to saying t he same.

I think the "experts" muddle more issues then what they work to solve!!

# Re: - posted by MaryJane, on: 2013/6/1 8:19

# Greetings

When I was growing up I did not receive much in the way of spankings or discipline with the rod. Both my parents were v ery easy going and for the most part did not subscribe to that way of dealing with their children. My mom was into readin g all the latest child psychology books when I was a little one. I remember on many occasions when she would sit me d own and "talk" to me about how what I did made me feel. There was never any consequence or punishment, never a ny correction just long discussions about my feelings. She would tell me how what I did made her feel and lay huge guilt trips on me. There would be times when she would pull up past wrongs and let out long heavy sighs then rattle on about how sad or difficult my actions had made things for her. Instead of just dealing with what I had done it became a tool, a way to guilt me into doing what she wanted. This did not teach me that what I had done was sinful or wrong, but it did te ach me how to manipulate others. I soon learned to copy her technique and began using guilt and "feelings" to get m y way.

My dad was not around much when I was small, he was a musician and had his own band so for months at a time he wo uld be on the road playing music and that left a pretty heavy burden on my mom. My dad did not like confrontation so ev en when he was home he did little to involve himself when it came to discipline. As I grew older I thought my parents wer e cool, they were hippies that did not believe in old fashioned ideas about disciplining their kids. My friends parents set o ut rules that had to be followed, and there were punishments when those rules were broken, my parents were more like really cool friends who said and did nothing when I did something wrong. I had freedom to do what I want, when I wante d and sadly I did. I tried my first cigarette when I was eleven years old. (Did not like it though) I tried my first beer that su mmer too. I was hanging out to all hours of the night with my sisters and their older friends and lying the whole time to my mom about it. When my mother found out what was happening she was devastated, she said my dad would be hom e in two weeks and then he would deal with me and my sisters and put an end to this behavior. I remember waiting for m y dad to come back home. I remembered thinking he was finally really going to deal with us and it was going to be bad. I imagined we would get spankings, and be grounded. He would tell my sisters they would no longer be allowed to be frie nds with any of those that they had been running wild with. I was sure that what had happened was enough to finally get him to act...I was wrong. He came home and said nothing, and a week later he was gone again. There never was any p unishment, no groundings, no guidance, and no rules put into place. My sisters continued to hang out with their friends a nd I came to the very sad but true realization that my dad didn't really care enough to do anything when it came to his ch ildren. He said he loved us but could never really give of himself in any way to show or demonstrate that love. I learned after that summer that my dad wasn't a Â"cool friendÂ", he was just to busy and involved in his own life doing his own thi ng to take the time to be what I needed most, a father. As crazy as it sounds to some who speak so strongly against spa nkings I would have been thrilled to know my dad cared enough to actually set up rules and punish me when I disobeye d. I would have been happy to know my mother loved me enough to want to teach me right from wrong and not just talk endlessly about my feelings. Talking about how Â"IÂ" feel all the time did little to point me to JESUS all it really did was t each me to focus on self...

God bless mj

# Re: Denny Kenaston : The Rod of Discipline - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 10:55

The 3rd of 11 messages on The Godly Home

video sermon on same subject: https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/myvideo/photo.php?lid=2905

LetÂ's all kneel together for prayer. "Our Father we come to you in the name of Jesus tonight. We thank you Lord that youÂ've put within us those kind of desires. Lord, weÂ're the people of God. WeÂ're your people. WeÂ're different. Peop le donÂ't understand us. But oh, our Father, weÂ've committed our lives into your hands, and weÂ've committed our hea rts to you God. We shall follow you. We shall walk with you. We shall obey your commandments. Though many will not understand us God, it doesnÂ't matter to us. We come to you tonight in JesusÂ' name, and Lord I pray that you will meet with us tonight. I pray, oh Father, for the sweet presence of your Spirit to be with us tonight. I pray Lord, that you will illu minate the eyes of our understanding. God I pray that you will make your Word alive to each one of our hearts tonight. G od I pray that youÂ'll change us, and I pray Lord, that youÂ'll change our homes by the message this evening. Lord, may we not be the same after we leave this place tonight. Lord, we ask it in the name of thy son, the Lord Jesus with thanksg iving, Amen.

We greet each one in the name of the Lord Jesus. WeÂ're going to change the direction this evening, the direction of the messages; and weÂ're going to speak about disciplining children tonight. My goal for this eveningÂ's message is to conv ince you that using the rod is one of the most loving things that you can do for your children. I found one of these downst airs Â- thereÂ's a couple of them down there, and I found one; that IÂ'd like to just use it every now and then through th e message. But I would like to convince you this evening, that using this rod, this paddle, whatever you want to call it, is one of the most loving things that you can do for your children. IÂ'd also like to convince you that Â's itÂ's a sin if you donÂ't. God doesnÂ't leave it as just an option for some to choose and some not to choose; but IÂ'd like to convince you that itÂ's a sin if you donÂ't.

My mind goes back to a time when I had a couple in my home who did not believe in spanking their children. It was very interesting to sit and visit with them and watch their children. Their children were just like everybody elseÅ's children  $\hat{A}$ - and they were unruly and they were moving this way  $\hat{A}$ - and running over here, and going this direction $\hat{A}$ ... I kept watchi ng the parents and they kept gently pleading with the children to be good and sit still and the children would get up and move again. Then theyÅ'd go over and say, Å''Please Johnny, would you please calm down $\hat{A}$ ... $\hat{A}$ '' This happened all eve ning long as I sat there and visited with them, and of course they already told me that,  $\hat{A}$ ''They donÅ't believe in spanking their children. They believe there are other ways they can motivate their children to be good. $\hat{A}$ '' And this was a little bit th e discussion that we had had earlier, and I was watching all of this. ItÂ's very interesting to me, that about, I think about t hree years after that little meeting in our home, somebody else gave them a set of the Home Tapes and they listened to them, and when they came to this tape, they listened to it with an open heart, took an evaluation of their children, and re alized theyÂ'd made a great big mistake by not chastening their children. And the father picked up a rod, and he said, Â'' His home will never be the same.Â''

Well thatÂ's what lÂ'd like to do this evening. I realize that when I hold this up here that a lot of you have different feeling s about it, and maybe your father and your mother used it in a wrong way on you, and because of that, you donÂ't have very good ideas about it. Well I hope this evening by GodÂ's grace, to change your mind, so that you donÂ't view this as some Â"meanÂ" thing that I have in my hand tonight, but rather you see it as one of the loving ways that God has ordain ed that you train your children up to be godly, and a godly testimony upon this earth.

I want to say this also: We use this at our house. We use it often at our house. It gets used more on the younger ones th an the older ones. But we use it often at our house, and my children love me. They love me very much. They honor me. In fact, they honor me and love me more than I deserve. I find myself many times sitting down in my house, and just wee ping over the honor and the love that my children give to me.

I believe that the using of this rod is one of the reasons why my children love me and honor me so much. Because I use this rod. And I want to convince you this evening, of the benefit of using it to train and guide your children.

This may surprise you, but many times after we use the rod in our house, the children will come to us and say, Â"Papa, t hank you. Thank you for spanking me. I needed that.Â" That may surprise you. But little Samuel, he gives this testimony,

and he says it all the time around the house, Â"I donÂ't enjoy the spankings, but I sure do like the feeling that I get in my heart after one.Â"

WeÂ're going to learn why this evening, why, the children can come and say thank you after theyÂ've received a spanki ng. And if I could just say a few words to the children in this room this evening: I prayed for you today. All you children th at are here, I prayed for you today – that you wonÂ't despise me this evening. And thatÂ's a prayer that I pray almost e very time that I spank my own children. That my children would not despise me, but rather, that they would love me. I cla im the promise in the Word of God, that the children would not despise me, but love me after I spank them. And I prayed for all you children; that you would not despise me. IÂ'm not your enemy tonight, IÂ'm your friend! Even though IÂ'm goi ng to do everything that I know by GodÂ's grace to convince your parents to use something like this on your bottom. IÂ' m your friend, and this is your friend. You may not understand that now, but you will understand it someday. YouÂ'll kno w what a blessed paddle this is up here. This oneÂ's broken, by the way. Someone glued it, but itÂ's broken already. IÂ' m not concerned about that, especially this one, itÂ's only made out of pine. But itÂ's not so bad if you have a paddle lik e this and break it. Now if you have an oak one, IÂ'd be concerned about that. This is just a thin, Pine paddle. I donÂ't thi nk you ought to be so worried if you break a thin, Pine paddle on the behind of your children.

The devil has lied to us. He has lied to us. We have swallowed the philosophies of the world that tell us that this is a neg ative form of discipline. ItÂ's not a negative form of discipline; itÂ's one of the most positive forms of discipline you can u se. Now there are others, but this is a positive form of discipline. And if in your mind, even now, while you sit here, youÂ' re thinking those kind of thoughts, you know, Â"Oh – thatÂ's terribleÂ...Â" and Â"How can he stand up there and hold t hat thing so boldly like that?Â" If IÂ'm making you feel uncomfortable, IÂ'd say you swallowed some of the philosophies of the world, and you ought to acknowledge it already in your heart and say, Â"God, maybe I havenÂ't looked at this righ t. Would you just teach me tonight?Â" Open up your heart! This is not some Â"meanÂ" thing. This is a loving stick that I have in my hand up here. The devil has lied to us.

This rod will bring order, where thereÂ's chaos. ItÂ'll bring peace, where thereÂ's turmoil. ItÂ'll bring freedom, where ther eÂ's in your childrenÂ's lives. ItÂ'll bring zeal, where thereÂ's apathy in their lives. Many people, many people react to th e spanking of the children. In fact, itÂ's getting more and more that way in America, that it seems if things keep going in t he direction that theyÂ're going, weÂ'll see the day when it will be against the law to pick up a stick like this and spank y our child. There are countries in the world where it is already against the law. And there are countries in the world where Christians will not spank their children, because theyÂ'll be thrown in jail if anybody finds out about it, and theyÂ'll lose th eir children if anybody finds out about it.

A lot of people react to the spanking of the children. The world says, "ItÂ's cruelty. ItÂ's suppression. The child will hate you. It will cause rebellion in their hearts." But nothing could be further from the truth. Those who withhold the rod are t he ones whose children put them in the rest homes. Did you ever think about that? The rest homes are just full and runni ng over today. Who puts their father and mother in the rest homes? But the children who never had the rod used on the m. ThatÂ's an interesting observation.

The using of the rod goes against your natural love. If youÂ're here this evening, and your poor heart says, "Oh, I could never spank my children." You are a victim of natural love. And natural love is okay. I think we need to love our childre n. I think we need to be filled with love when we see them running to us. But if that natural love stops us from disciplining them with a rod, then that natural love needs to be crucified and replaced with spiritual love, which is agape love, which i s God-like love, which is love that loveÂ's by principle, and not by feeling. ThatÂ's what weÂ're speaking about this even ing - disciplining the children, a love that loves by principle and not be feeling.

Now the Holy Spirit inspired some very powerful words on the use of the rod. The Bible says that the rod will give wisdo m unto your children. The Bible says that the rod will cleanse away evil from your children. The Bible says that the rod is an expression of love. The Bible says that the rod will clear the conscience of your child. The Bible says that the rod will keep your child from going to hell. ThatÂ's what the Bible says. The Bible says that it will give calm and order into your childÂ's life. So letÂ's look into some of these verses this evening.

WeÂ're going to be in the book of Proverbs, as we talk about the disciplining of children. And weÂ'll start in Proverbs cha pter 13, and just go down through the book of Proverbs. Proverbs 13:24. Now in my Bible, I have a few words circled, th en I have a little definition by the words that I have circled. I would encourage you to do that if you feel free in your heart. Reading Proverbs 13:24. Â"He that spareth his rod, hateth his son. But he that loveth him, chasteneth him betimes.Â" N ow in this verse we want to look at a few words. The first word we want to look at is Â"sparethÂ". That word spareth mea ns, Â"hold backÂ". He that Â"holds backÂ" the rod, hateth his son. That word Â"spareÂ" doesnÂ't mean that you never u

se the rod; it means you "hold back" the rod. You wonÂ't use it like you should. It doesnÂ't mean you never use the ro d. We know what the word spare means. "He that soweth sparingly reaps sparingly". It just simply means, "He who holds back the rod, and doesnÂ't use it like they should, hateth his son."

Now the next word we want to look at is the word "hateth". The Hebrew word picture for the word "hateth" is "one who is standing and facing the enemy". The Hebrew word picture for the word "hateth" there is like one who is stand ing and ready to fight against the enemy. If you can get that picture in your mind. So look at it again. "He that spareth t he rod, that holds back the use of the rod, is hating his child." "He that holds back the use of the rod, is giving forth a spirit of hatred to the child." ThatÂ's something IÂ'd like to convince you of this evening. "But he that loveth him…" Now that word "loveth", hereÂ's the picture for the word"loveth". Just like this: A couple minutes ago I walked out o f the prayer room downstairs, and little Hannah saw Papa, and she took off running across the room down there, and I g ot down on my knees so that I could hug her, and she ran right up to me and I put my arms out, and we just had a good old hug down there in the basement. ThatÂ's what the word "loveth" means. Arms out like this, reaching out to the chi ld, and loving them.

"He that spareth the rod, gives forth a spirit of hatred to the child. But he that chaseneth with the rod, gives forth a spirit of love to the child." ThatÂ's what this verse is saying! And then the last word that I want to look at is the word, "betim es". Are you ready for this children? "Betimes" means, over and over and over and over again. Some say it means o ver and over again. Some say it means early in life. IÂ'll take either one of those. "He who spareth the rod, gives forth a spirit of hatred to the child. But he that chaseneth the child, gives forth a spirit of love." And God says you need to do it over and over and over again. ThatÂ's what this verse is saying!

Now IÂ'd like you to think on this for a moment. Everyone of us know, maybe you know somebody like this that is marrie d, but yet theyÂ're divorced in heart for many years. Father lives in the home, mother lives in the home, and they know t hat they cannot separate, though they are at odds with each other and they live that way for many years. TheyÂ're marri ed, yet theyÂ're divorced in their heart. What a miserable way to be married, amen? To dwell together in the same hous e and yet be divorced in your heart. You are missing heaven on earth if you live that way. But this verse right here is spe aking about just as shocking a relationship between parent and children.

The Bible says, a child that is without chastisement is a ! Or itÂ's a child who does not have a father! And if your children are without the proper chastisement, they are missing some of the sweetest experiences of their life! And by the way, so are you! If your natural heart tonight says, Â"But I just couldnÂ't spank my children.Â" You are missing some of the sweetest experiences of your life. YouÂ're missing them. And a child that is not disciplined is missing the fullness of a love rel ationship between father, mother and child. Maybe youÂ've heard this said before: Â"I was never close to my father.Â" H ow many of you have ever heard that before? Â"I was never close to my father.Â" I wonder. IÂ'm sure there are many re asons for that, but I wonder if any of them would have to do with this right here. Â"I was never close to my father.Â" My c hildren are so close to me, when we have a spanking, I mean, it just takes that heart and my heart and just goes like this (hearts meshed together). ItÂ's one of the closest heart-knitting things you can do. Â"I was just never close to my father. Â" By the way, I was never close to my father. And my father never spanked me. Not one time. My mother did the spanking, and I was never close to my father.

Remember tonight, GodÂ's ways are not our ways. GodÂ's ways are very different than manÂ's ways. Here I am standi ng up here and telling you an awesome paradox, that doesnÂ't make any sense to the natural mind. Just like the Bible s ays, the way up is down, the Bible says, the way to a close relationship is to use a rod! How can that be? Well, GodÂ's ways are not like manÂ's ways. They are not. If you want a close relationship with your children, use the rod! By the way , I plan to qualify that through the evening, because I realize that some of you in this room, your parents did use this rod, but they didnÂ't use it right. Many of you were spanked in anger; many of you were just plain beaten. Many of you were driven and chased out of the house with a stick, and lÂ'm not talking about any of that. That is not Bible chastisement. B ut weÂ're going to take a look at Bible chastisement. And maybe tonight, maybe just tonight, your attitude towards the ro d is wrong because of your past experiences. Oh, open up your heart, I just plead with you to open your heart, let God s how you there is a better way.

Many times we over-react to our childhood experiences and our children suffer for it. LetÂ's go on then to Proverbs 19:1 8. Proverbs 19:18 reads like this: Â"Chasten thy son, while there is hope. And let not thy soul spare for his cryingÂ" Now in this verse weÂ'd like to look at two things. First of all, the little phrase that says, Â"While there is hopeÂ". That very ph rase signifies to us that there will be a time that there will be no hope anymore! Â"Chasten thy son while there is hopeÂ ...Â" Because there will come a day when it wonÂ't work anymore! There will come a day, when it wonÂ't have the same effect anymore! There will come a day when you will not be able to have an influence upon your child with the rod. So G

od is using this verse as a motivational verse to us. Spank your children while they are pliable! Spank them while theyÂ'r e bendable! Spank them while theyÂ're moldable! Because the day is going to come when you will not be able to mold t hem anymore! And itÂ's a motivational verse to us. There will come a day when you will not be able to mold your childre n with the rod anymore and youÂ'll have to then say, Â"God, IÂ've done all I can do, now you take over.Â" And then God can spank them. And God will do a real good job of it. But the admonition to us this evening is, Â"You do it while thereÂ' s hope, while thereÂ's opportunity, while theyÂ're pliable, you do it.Â"

And then the last part of the verse; and God put this in there I believe because God knows what weÂ're made of, everyo ne of us – flesh. WeÂ're made out of flesh and God knows that we have natural love for our children. And itÂ's not wro ng to have natural love! So God puts this in there. "Let not thy soul spare for his crying." We all know what that feeling is like, donÂ't we? If youÂ've ever spanked your child, you know what goes on inside. "I donÂ't want to keep going. I he ar my child crying, and I want to hold back." And I believe God breathed this little part of the verse out there for everyon e of us, to just kind of come along behind us, and shore us up, and say, "And by the way, donÂ't hold back because th e child starts crying." Children are pretty smart. They know if they let out a wail that sounds like theyÂ're about to die, t hat youÂ'll let up before youÂ're suppose to. How many of you children know that, let me see your hands. What? None o f you? Children know that. But parents – donÂ't do it. DonÂ't spare because the child cries. Just because the child start s to cry, does not mean the job is done. Sounds pretty mean, doesnÂ't it? But itÂ's not.

LetÂ's move on to Proverbs 20:30. Â"The blueness of a wound cleanseth away evil. So do stripes, the inward parts of th e belly.Â" GodÂ's method for clearing the conscience of your children, here it is. Here we see it right before us. IÂ'd like t o ask you this question. I think most of us that are in this room, know the sweet experience of responding at a revival me eting, and going to the altar and breaking our hearts before God and getting all the sin out and confessing it to God, and having our heart washed away by the blood of Jesus. And oh, the brokenness thatÂ's there. And oh, the sweetness that is upon our heart after weÂ've had a revival meeting like that. We all know the joy and the blessing the yieldednes, the o bedience that it wrought in our lives. Well brothers and sisters, this is GodÂ's method for producing the same thing in yo ur children. You see, our children donÂ't understand all the things that we know. They donÂ't understand about the bloo d yet. They donÂ't understand about yielding their will yet. They donÂ't understand everything about God. They canÂ't hi t the mournersÂ' bench and have an old-fashioned revival meeting in their life, they canÂ't do that! But this is GodÂ's method of producing the same kind of spirit in them, that we get when we hit the mourners bench at a revival meeting. This is GodÂ's method! Help them have a revival! Some of your children need a revival! This is how they get it! And youÂ're t he one that God wants to use to help them to have a revival!

Do you know something? I can spend about five minutes with most children and tell you within five minutes whether or n ot theyÂ're being spanked properly. I can do that. ItÂ's very simple. A child thatÂ's being spanked properly will have had itÂ's conscience cleared. And a child that has a clear conscience has a clear countenance. Many people will say, I heard it said many times of our own children. Â"Your childrenÂ's countenances are so bright!Â" And I thought, Â"Yes, they sur e areÂ" (holding the rod to explain why). I can tell whether a child is being disciplined properly! By their countenance. A c hild who is being disciplined properly has had their conscience clear. Their heart is broken. Their will is yielded. TheyÂ're obedient to their parents and their countenance is clear! ThereÂ's another way I can tell – a child that is being discipline d properly to other authorities. I can spot it just like that. ItÂ's very simple. And you say, Â"Now wait a minute. How can you be so sure of that?Â" Well, you ladies – you ever walk out into somebodyÂ's garden and spot, and know it just like that (snap of finger) what this person needs to do to take care of this plant or that plant? You ever d o that before? You can walk into somebodyÂ's garden on a Sunday afternoon and give them 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 things they could do in their garden if you see the thing out of order. How come? Because you know the principles of gardening!

You see, God wants us to learn the principles of training children! And once we learn them, if we become a student of tr aining our children, weÂ'll learn the principles, theyÂ'll be just as simple and just as easy as if you walked out in the gard en and saw, Â"Well those tomato plants donÂ't look very good. This is what they need! You do this and you do this and you do that and theyÂ'll be standing tall in a week.Â" We can do that in the garden and God wants us to learn the princip les of training our children so that we can also spot a need in their lives very quickly.

GODÂ'S PRINCIPLES WORK! They work very well! IÂ've often thought, as IÂ've seen parents struggling with their child ren, IÂ've often thought, Â"I wish I could just take that child home for about a week.Â" Because to me, itÂ's as simple as going out in that garden and finding the problems that are there. And I often think those thoughts, Â"Oh, I wish I could just take that child home for a week.Â"

Many years ago, I guess, twelve years ago now, when I was working on the bus route in Chicago, Illinois, I worked on th e bus route and picked up the little ghetto children. There was one lady on my bus route, she had four children. She was

not a good mother, she had no husband, she never did have a husband, but she had four children. She was a neglectful mother and her children were very wild. She had twin boys, four years old, and they were two little wild Indians. One Sat urday when I was up there visiting on the bus route the mother came to the door in total frustration and she said, Â"Get t hem out of my life. Take them. If you canÂ't do something with them, IÂ'm going to get rid of them. I canÂ't take them an ymore.Â" ThatÂ's what she said. It was during the holidays at Bible school, and I knew IÂ'd be home all week long so I t old her IÂ'd come back and pick them up when I get done visiting the rest of the children. And I pulled in there and I pick ed up those two little twin boys, four years old, and brought them home to my house. And for two days, I followed them e verywhere they went around the house. Giving them guidance, giving them an admonition, and when I saw a willful brea kout of disobedience, I just took them into the other room and I got out the rod and I used it on them. And I did it in a righ t way. And then we came back out into the living room and went about our day and I just kept following them around and after two days, those two little fellows had figured it all out in their mind. They knew where the lines were, they knew how they were supposed to behave, and they knew that I loved them. They knew, I was Mr. Denny to them. IA'd been picking them up on the Sunday school bus for months and months and months before this happened. I was the closest thing to daddy to those two little boys. But after two days, things started falling right into place. And by the end of the week, thos e two little fellows would sit up at the supper table and eat with a spoon instead of with their hands. They would say plea se and thank you when they wanted something. They ate their food all gone when we sat them down at the table. And th ey were good little boys. And after a week I brought them back and gave them to the mother and went on my way and vi sited the children. And then a whole other week went by and I came by and knocked at the door there, and mother came to the door there and said, Â"What did you do to my two boys? I canÂ't believe it! TheyÂ're so good! Their nice little boy s, what did you do to them? A" I mean, she A'd been beating them since they were four years old! Slapping them in the fa ce, chasing them out of the house, beating on them and yelling at them and all those things, but she didnÂ't get any of th at. But one week of loving discipline with the rod and those two little boys were good little boys. I could have adopted the m and made them my own, they would have been good boys. And others have given me testimonies just like that of simi lar experiences of problem children that they brought into their home and they just simply applied the good old garden ru les of raising children, and they got the good old garden results! It works!

Your children are not different then everybody elseÂ's children. You donÂ't have a special problem. No you donÂ't! Now I recognize there may be a very few that do have a special problem. In these years since IÂ've been preaching on the h ome, a lot of questions have come my way, and thereÂ've been a few of them that I just couldnÂ't answer. But for the m ost part, itÂ's just simply obeying the simple garden rules of raising children.

Now I want to explain to you this evening how we spank our children. It takes between 15-20 minutes to spank the childr en. And you may say on that one, "15 minutes! I donÂ't have time! Whose got 15 minutes?" Well, if you do it right wh en youÂ'll do it, you may not have to do it as much! I agree! If you have to do it 10 times a day, 15 minutes times 10 is a long time! But if youÂ'll do it right, when you do it, and do a thorough job and do it right, you wonÂ't have to do it so man y times. We never spank the children in anger. NEVER, NEVER NEVER! And if you have a problem with anger, and spa nking your children, I suggest you send them to a room and wait for thirty minutes until youÂ're completely calmed down . You will absolutely make the whole thing worse than what it was before if you walk into that room in a spirit of anger, re ady to reel out punishment on that child. If you do it in a spirit of anger, I believe that youÂ're missing the whole concept of chastisement. Chastisement is not punishment! ItÂ's correction for future conduct! ThereÂ's a big difference. If itÂ's p unishment, then here you go into the room; fire in your eyes, paddle in your hand and foam coming out your mouth, and "YouÂ're going to get it now young man!" If you do it that way, then you are missing the whole concept of chastisement is for future! ItÂ's for correcting future conduct! Not punishment for past deeds. ThereÂ's a big difference.

By the way, your children are sinners. And they are going to fail. Plan on it! HereÂ's how I look at it: Every time my childr en fail, transgress my law; I just look at it as another opportunity to correct their future conduct! I expect them to fail. The yÂ're going to fail! ThatÂ's how theyÂ're going to learn! By their failures, by their transgressions, by their disobediences t o our laws, are they going to learn godly character. Number one, you need to expect that theyÂ're going to fail. I think m any times we get angry at our children because they failed, and theyÂ're not supposed to fail! TheyÂ're my children! Tha tÂ's wrong! ThatÂ's motivated by pride in your own heart! ItÂ's pride! Â"My children arenÂ't supposed to do that! And if t hey did something wrong, then theyÂ're going to get it for itlÂ" ItÂ's all wrong! The motivation is wrong! The heart attitud e is wrong! Completely wrong. TheyÂ're going to itl fail Â- plan on it! Plan on it so well that you know what youÂ're going t o do, the next time they do! And look forward to it! In fact, IÂ've done this many times. IÂ've seen a child and known, Â"y ou know, this child is just off.Â" You know how it is. TheyÂ're off, they havenÂ't done anything yet that warrants a spanki ng, but you know theyÂ're off. You know that thereÂ's something not right in the heart and you know that itÂ's not long t hat theyÂ're going to do something wrong. Well, when I see a child like that, I just sit back and wait. Â"Okay. It wonÂ't be long and IÂ'm going to have an opportunity to take care of this child.Â" ThatÂ's not mean! ThatÂ's being loving! And let me say this, before I go through this. Spank your children, the way that God spanks you. If we could just grab a h old of that, and meditate upon it, you wouldnÂ't need anybody to teach you how to spank your children. Spank them the way that God spanks you! How does He spank you? Does he grab you by the scruff of the neck? And holler at you? And tell you how wrong you were? Is that how God spanks you? Then donÂ't spank your children that way! We know how G od spanks us. He very lovingly and calmly reveals to us a need in our life and picks us up in the comforting hands of His presence and spanks us. And when HeÂ's done, He very lovingly puts us back in His arms again and tells us how much He loves us, Â"You are my child. I want you to do right. I want you to go the right way. I have eternity in mind. I love you my son.Â" And He sends us on our way. If you could just get that picture in your mind, and then turn around, and then re produce the same thing when itÂ's time to spank your children, youÂ've got it. ThatÂ's it!

So, hereÂ's how we spank our children. When the child transgresses one of our laws, we very calmly inform them that th ey have transgressed our laws and theyÂ're going to get a spanking. We do not raise our voice. Raising your voice does nÂ't do a thing for you. In fact most parents raise their voice because theyÂ're not using the rod. And they think that they Â'll get authority out of it. You donÂ't get any authority by raising your voice. You know how it is Â- you give the correcti on. Then the second time you see it, you raise your voice a little bit more and you give the correction again, hoping that t hat will take care of it. Then the third time you see the same thing happening again, you raise your voice just a little bit hi gher, then finally by the fourth time, when you should have spanked them on the second time, you say, Â"THATÂ'S IT! Y OU GO TO YOUR ROOM!Â" And the whole spirit of that thing is frustration, because you neglected what you should have done, two corrections before!

Oh how well you can establish your authority if after you correct one time you back that thing up with a calm informing of the child, Â"You have done wrong. IÂ've corrected you once. Go to your room, youÂ're going to have a spanking.Â" That Â's what we do; send them to the room, they go there, sit down and wait. We go to the wall, and get the rod off of the wa II, and go and pick up the Bible on the way, and we go to the room. With these two. When you walk into the room, the ch ild is already crying, because they donÂ't like spankings. And I know you children donÂ't like spankings – they hurt! An d there is the child crying. And we just sit down together, and we just cry a little bit together. The child is crying, PapaÂ's crying, mamaÂ's crying. And what IÂ'II usually do is IÂ'II just kind of put my arms out like this, and the child will come an d sit on my lap, and I hold them. IÂ've heard people say before, Â"DonÂ't you give your children any comfort when their supposed to get punished.Â" ThatÂ's so wrong! ItÂ's so punish oriented. ItÂ's so judgment oriented. I think they probabl y see God that way too. HereÂ's God up in heaven waiting for you to do something wrong, and when you get up; HeÂ's going to let you have it. Well if you feel that way, thatÂ's probably how you deal with your children. But God is not up in h eaven with a stick waiting for you to do something wrong, and the net.

So I just put my arms out and of course that child you know, theyÂ're afraid theyÂ're going to get a spanking, they know itÂ's going to hurt, theyÂ're trembling already, and I just put my arms out and theyÂ'll very gladly jump up in your lap. An d I just hold them for a couple minutes and love them and tell them Â"I love them, and tell them IÂ'm sorry I have to give you a spanking but you know youÂ've got to have a spanking. Papa loves you and IÂ'm not madÂ", IÂ'll assure them Â"I Â'm not mad at you.Â" So weÂ'll do that for a couple minutes. Then itÂ's a time of instruction. A time of instruction for tw o things. Number 1: We take the opportunity to instruct the child very clearly so that the child knows exactly why theyÂ'r e going to get a spanking. And sometimes that takes longer than others. In fact, and this will tickle all of you, it takes a litt le longer when they get a little bit older, because they get a little bit wiser and they think that they can talk their way out o f it. So sometimes it takes a little bit longer to clearly show that child why theyÂ're in there, how they transgressed, why t heyÂ're going to get the spanking. But we clearly instruct their little heart, as to why theyÂ're in there. Then we also take the opportunity to just get the Bible in the hand and say, Â"Now you know what the Bible says weÂ're supposed to do? T he Bible. See – the two go together just like thisÂ… (The Bible, and the rod of correction). IÂ'm not some mean man si tting there in the room, ready to spank my child. IÂ'm a godly father who believes the Bible and I have all of heaven on my side when I go in there to spank my child.

So we use it as a time of instruction, for what theyÂ've done wrong, and also, the principle of spanking. ThatÂ's how you get your children to thank you afterwards, when you enlighten their heart and they understand the blessing of getting a s panking. Hannah is almost 3 and she doesnÂ't thank me yet for her spankings, sheÂ's not quite sure about that. She ca nÂ't quite figure that out when Samuel will come back and say, Â"thank you Papa, for giving me a spankingÂ". She hasn Â't come to that place yet.

Okay. After we have a time of instruction, then itÂ's time for the spanking. And weÂ'll have the child lay over the bed, or I

ay over the chair; depending on what room theyÂ're in. And the child must lay still and take the spanking. We donÂ't let t he children jump all over the room. If youÂ're chasing your children all over the room to give them a spanking, may the L ord deliver you from that this week. That is out of order. That child is not getting anything out of that spanking if theyÂ're running around the room and youÂ're chasing them. Have you ever had to do that? And youÂ're chasing them around a nd they get hit on the leg, and sometimes on the bottom and sometimes they get hit on their hands, and oh. all kinds of t errible things go wrong when you try to spank them that way. No! They must lay still and get their spanking. And they do! And if they donÂ't, they just get another one on top of the other one, and it doesnÂ't take very long for the children to all of a sudden develop a determination to hold still when they get their spanking. Because nobody wants another one! Nob ody! So we make them hold still. So weÂ've got them laying over the bed, and weÂ've reminded them that they must hol d still while they get their spanking, and then itÂ's time to give them a spanking and when itÂ's time to give them a spank ing, they get one. They get a thorough spanking. Someone said, Â"Do you tell your children how many swats their going to get?Â" You donÂ't spank them by swats, you spank them until you sense that their will has broken! When you sense t heir will has broken up. Do you know what happens if you say, "Okay, youÂ're going to get 10…" Then they say, " Okay, IÂ'm holding on.Â" You say, Â"IÂ'm going to spank you until I feel like youÂ've had enough, and your heart is brok en and yielded. ThatÂ's going to cause them to say, Â"Okay, IÂ'm going to yield my heart. IÂ'm going to give into this sp anking. IÂ'm going to let it happen. IÂ'm going to let it do itÂ's work in me, so that it will get done sooner.Â" See the differ ence? ItÂ's a different mentality there, isnÂ't there? So when we give them a spanking, we give them one, and it hurts. A nd some of you parents, I would just encourage you, maybe you spank through pampers and things like that, your childr en Â- That isnÂ't doing anything. ThatÂ's not doing anything. Now if youÂ've got a little baby, I can see that. But if youÂ' ve got a one year old, one and a half, two years old, thatÂ's just bouncing off the pamper, thatÂ's not doing them any go od at all. It A's supposed to hurt! It A's supposed to be a shock to their system! It A's supposed to drive the evil right out of their heart! ThatÂ's what it said back there in that verse we just read. ItÂ's supposed to hurt!

Then when we get done, I fall on my knees next to the child. And we cry together some more. And I pray and then the c hild prays. Then I pray, Â"Oh God, I pray for my son, I pray oh God, that youÂ'll help him to know I love him very much. Oh God, I pray that youÂ'll help him to know that IÂ'm very proud of him, that he makes me so happy, almost all of the ti me, but he just needed a spankingÂ...Â" And I claim the promise, right there while weÂ're on our knees together, Â"Lord you said to spank them, IÂ'm believing in you that they will not despise me, because IÂ've spanked them, in Jesus name . Amen.Â" We finish our prayer, then we get up and I usually hold them on my knee again. Give them a hug, tell them II ove them, get a Kleenex, help them to wipe their eyes, blow their nose, and then we have a little instruction again. And we just go over it again. Â"Now do you realize why we were in here? Do you realize what you did wrong? Do you realize why papa had to give you this spanking?Â" Then sometimes we have a little song. A lot of times we sing, Â"EverythingÂ' s alright, in my FatherÂ's house.Â" And theyÂ're just a crying away while we sing the song. Then a little later on, the chil d usually comes back and says, Â"Papa, thank you. Thank you for spanking me.Â" And weÂ're friends all the rest of the day. Good buddies, good friends. Close companions. IÂ've never seen a spanking done right, drive my child away. IÂ've heard parents say that, Â"when I finish spanking my child, they just go in the corner of the room and they look at me like this and they donÂ't want to be around me.Â" IÂ've never seen that one time. IÂ've always had my children like this (one in heart) after weÂ've done a spanking the right way. They want to be close to me. They love me! They know that I love t hem!

Just a couple weeks ago, Hannah had her lesson on hitting. We donÂ't hit in our house. I mean, itÂ's the law of the Med es and the Persians. We do not hit in our house. You know how all little children are? They all will do it. Walk up and (sla p). And maybe you snickered when they did it the first time, and snickered when they did it the second time, but after the y started doing it a little, it wasnÂ't very funny anymore. Well at our house, the first time we see it, we go after it. And Ha nnah, lifted up her hand about two weeks ago and hit Samuel. Because he was doing something she didnÂ't like. SheÂ' s a sinner! SheÂ's just lifted her hand up and hit him! And she was given a correction about it. And then two days later, s he did it again. That was it. ItÂ's time to have the lesson on hitting for Hannah. And we just took her into the study there, and we had a nice, we just went through all these things that I just talked about, had a nice little talk with her, we cried, I gave her a spanking; oh she begged me to stop spanking and I just kept right on spanking until I felt she was completely broken. And she is completely cured of hitting. I donÂ't think weÂ'll see it again. Honestly, I donÂ't think weÂ'll see it aga in. You know what she says about three or four times a day now? SheÂ'll come up to papa or momma and say, Â"Papa, Samuel did something that I didnÂ't like, and I didnÂ't hit him.Â" ThatÂ's what sheÂ'll say, three or four times a day! Â"I donÂ't hit Samuel. We donÂ't hit with our hands.Â" Big olÂ' wide eyes you knowÂ... That lesson is done! IsnÂ't that a w onderful lesson?

Turn to Proverbs 22 in verse 15. Â"Foolishness is bound in the heart of a child, but the rod of correction shall drive it far f rom him.Â" A couple words we want to look at here: The first one is Â"foolishnessÂ". How many of you have seen foolis hness in your children, let me see your hands. Oh, good, weÂ're well enlightened to that word right there. Â"Foolishness

is bound in the heart of a childÂ....Â" That word foolishness – hereÂ's the word picture of that word foolishness: ItÂ's a n uncontrolled spiral. The best way to describe it to me, the way that I found to describe it is an uncontrolled spirit. You k now these little springs that they haveÂ...you fold them up and put them in a box, and then you give it to somebody for a joke, and when they open up the box the spring goes out in every direction? ThatÂ's a good illustration of what foolishne ss is all about - just an uncontrolled spirit – doing this and doing that and going here and going there and doing this an d saying that. Just out of control. Well the Bible says that that uncontrolled spirit, is bound in the heart of a child.

And the next word we want to look at is the word, Â"boundÂ". And the best way to describe that is if we just get somebo dy and stand them up here tonight and get a rope and go around them, and around them and around them and around t hem, and then get two men to hold on to each side of that rope and tell him, Â"Go ahead and get awayÂ...Â" I say, Â"I c anÂ't get away!Â" Why not? Â"lÂ'm bound!Â" Bound, like Samson was bound, after he had his hair cut off. He couldnÂ't get away. They bound him, and they poked out his eyes, because he was bound. Well the Bible says that foolishness is bound in the heart of your children! The desire to do wrong is bound in the heart of your children. You can yell at it, and i t wonÂ't go away. You can stand it in the corner, and foolishness will not leave. You can talk nice to it, and foolishness w ill not leave. You can give foolishness reward! And it will not leave your child. You can slap foolishness on the hand, and it will not leave your child. You can take foolishness and shake him real good, and give him a good olÂ' talking to! And fo olishness will not leave. Foolishness is bound in your child! And the Bible gives us a clear way to get rid of it. And look at the words that God says! Â"Â...The rod of correction shall drive it FAR from him!Â" Way away from him! The rod of corre ction is GodÂ's ordained way to drive foolishness out of your children. And we all know the experience! We all know wha t itÅ's like. We all know that one time or that two times, or we know those times when we spank that child, and we spank them right, and they had a good spanking, and what were they like when you got done? Peaceful, content, they shared t heir toys, they were kind, they spoke nice to mommaÂ...they were just a good little boy or a good little girl the rest of the day! Why? Because you drove that foolishness far away from them.

I just plead with you tonight. Do your children a favor, and drive that foolishness out of their hearts. ItÂ's not right for you to leave it there. God never intended for your children to live with all those things on them! See this is what happens: A c hild does something wrong, that they know is wrong, and their conscience gets guilty. But nobody saw them do it. So the n, with that guilty conscience, they do something else wrong, and the conscience gets more guilty, and more guilty, and more guilty. ItÂ's not right to leave them like that. You know, to me, itÂ's a terrible injustice. ItÂ's a terrible unloving thing, to let your child go around for days needing a spanking. Their frustrated and theyÂ're discontent, and they canÂ't seem t o be happy with anything, and you know itâ...you know how it is. YouÂ've got children, you know how they are! ItÂ's not right to do that. ThatA's why I say, when I sense something is just not quite right with the child, IA'll just sit back and wait . It wonÂ't be long, and IÂ'll have a reason to take care of the need that is down inside the heart. And see again, IÂ'm no t just spanking for the thing they did wrong, I see the need down inside that heart! This child has got that foolishness bou nd in there again, and I need to drive it out, and IÂ'm going to watch for a good excuse to give them a good spanking. A nd it wonÂ't take long when theyÂ're that way. When that old conscience of theirs is all guilty and theyÂ're discontent an d theyÂ're frustrated and theyÂ're doing this and doing that. They seem to be out of order in everythingÂ...it doesnÂ'tÂ' t ake long. TheyÂ're going to do something thatÂ's worthy of a spanking, and then you can just take them into that room, and set them at rest! Let them have a good day! Let them have a happy day! God meant for the children to be happy! Cl ear, bright, cheerful, content. HereÂ's how you do it - (example of the rod).

Now, I want to readily agree, there are other forms of discipline besides this rod. And we use them. I mentioned some he re. Standing in the corner  $\hat{A}$ - we use that some at our house. Just as a gentle reproof and a warning,  $\hat{A}$ "Correct your wa ys here and you $\hat{A}$ 'll be alright. Don $\hat{A}$ 't  $\hat{A}$ - and you $\hat{A}$ 're going to find yourself with worse discipline. $\hat{A}$ " You can stand them in the corner. You can encourage them when they $\hat{A}$ 're doing right! You can encourage them to do right, when they $\hat{A}$ 're doing right. Sometimes we give rewards to the children if they $\hat{A}$ 've done a good task. Sometimes if it $\hat{A}$ 's a smaller child w e will spank the hand. With a real little child you can break their will by spanking their hand, just one time. One or two sw ats on the hand, good and smartly, and that child will just break and cry  $\hat{A}$ - everything $\hat{A}$ 's taken care of.

So there are other forms of discipline. But when you have a child, and you can see that that foolishness is bound up in th ere, and that old conscience needs to be clear, this is the only way that I know that you can do it. And itÂ's not right to p ut it off. ItÂ's not right. ItÂ's unloving. You think about it. If all through your life, while your children are growing up, you h old back the rod; all youÂ're saying silently is, Â"I donÂ't love you, I donÂ't love you

The old saying goes, Â"Spare the rod and spoil the childÂ". Do you know what that word Â"spoilÂ" means? It means to make them rotten. Make them, ineffective. Make them so theyÂ're no use. Make them so they are like garbage and have

no value. Spare the rod, go ahead; and spoil the child. ThatÂ's what it means!

All right, letÂ's move on to Proverbs 23, just over the page a little. Proverbs 23:13-14: Â"Withhold not correction from the child.Â" IsnÂ't that interesting? God just keeps saying it again and again and again. HeÂ's convincing us. HeÂ's reaffirmi ng His Word to us. Â"Withhold not correction from the child. For if thou beatest him with the rod, he shall not die.Â" Now thatÂ's an interesting verse! That God breathed out by His Spirit. Â"If thou beatest him with the rod, he wonÂ't dieÂ...Â" He may sound like heÂ's going to die, the way he hollers! But he will not die, if you spank them good and smart, they wo nÂ't die! But look at the next verse, Â"Thou shalt beat him with the rod, and shalt deliver his soul from hell.Â" Now that is a very sobering verse to me. What that tells me is this: You hold back too much on this rod; you spare this rod too much; you have your little emotional, natural love too much, and theyÂ'll go to hell over it. And thatÂ's no little thing. Â"Oh my p oor little child, I could just never spank him.Â" Yeah – well theyÂ'll probably go to hell too. It makes your natural, emotio nal love look pretty weak, doesnÂ't it, in light of that?

You say now, "How can that be? A child that is not spanked will go to hell?" Well, let me ask you this: What is manÂ's part in salvation? Is it not the yielding of his will to the God of Heaven? ThatÂ's manÂ's part in salvation! That is the core of salvation on the part of a man, of a human being – a man or a woman! No yielding your heart to God, No salvation! No continual yielding your heart to God, No continual walking in the grace of salvation! And the use of the rod breaks the will and brings it into subjection. And a child who has had their will broken, and broken and broken, and broken; someda y when God says, "My son, daughter, follow Me!" TheyÂ'll say, "Yes Lord, I will follow you!" But a child who has no t had their will broken, has a rebellious heart! And a rebellious will! And when God says, "My child follow me! Give me everything! Yield it all up to me!" TheyÂ'll say, "IÂ'm not going to do that. IÂ'm going to do what I want to do…" An d go to hell over it. And some of us in this room, we know, donÂ't we? I mean, I know! I didnÂ't get spanked very much when I was a child! Christianity goes hard for me sometimes because of it! I want to do what I want to do! Yet I have to d eal with those things. Do your child a favor. Spank them. Break their will.

Susanna Wesley said this: Susanna Wesley was the mother of John and Charles Wesley. John Wesley was the founder of the Methodist Church. Charles Wesley was a songwriter. We sing his songs all the time here. Susanna Wesley had I t hink 19 children. Thirteen or fourteen of them lived. She said this: "I set out at an early age to conquer the will of each c hild. Then I continue to bring it under subjection until it is totally yielded to Christ." What a beautiful picture! IsnÂ't that a beautiful picture? I set out from an early age to totally conquer the will of my child. Once I have it conquered, I keep it co nquered! And I keep it that way until I see that it has been totally yielded to Christ. Then you donÂ't have to worry about i t anymore!

I would just like to encourage you to set out to totally conquer the will of your child. When Samuel was growing up, we d onÂ't have to say it anymore, but when he was a small boy, about 4 -4 ½ years old, we often said, Â"Samuel, until Sa muelÂ's will becomes PapaÂ's will, you are going to be an unhappy boy.Â" Set out to conquer the will of your children. Y ouÂ're supposed to be the boss. YouÂ're supposed to be an authority. TheyÂ're supposed to be under authority. TheyÂ're the ones that are supposed to obey. TheyÂ're the ones that are supposed to sit up and listen. They are the ones!

It grieves my heart so many times when you go to a grocery store and see a 3-year-old child ruling a father or a mother. Have you ever seen that? That happens sometimes among GodÂ's people too. What a sad thing that a 3 year old would rule a father or a mother. IÂ've seen them pitch a fit, and the mother will go over and say, Â"WhatÂ's the matter Johnny? WhatÂ's the matter?Â" Â"I want a candy bar!Â" Â"Here Johnny, have your candy bar.Â" Ohhhh. I mean, I am exactly op posite of that. If a child fusses for a candy bar, theyÂ'll never get one. If I see the childÂ's will going after something, IÂ'll go right against their will. IÂ'll do it every time. Set out from an early age to conquer the will of the child.

By age one, we begin clear training of the will at our house. We have lessons on "coming" at our house. WeÂ'll teach the child to "come". As soon as they can understand the word "come", as soon as those little legs can go across th e floor, we start having lessons on "coming". The child is across the other side of the room – "Hannah, come to Pa pa!" SheÂ'll come a runninÂ', and then just give her a blessing, "Oh, what a good girl you are! Go on, go back to the o ther room!" And IÂ'll tell her, "WeÂ're having lessons on coming. Go in the other room" and then sheÂ'll go in the ot her room. And sheÂ'll wait in there, and IÂ'll call her again, "Hannah! Come to Papa!" And here she comes running in the other room. "Oh, good girl! Good girl!" Pick her up and give her a hug, and send her back in the other room 'til I clearly know that this girl knows what it means to "come" when Papa says, "come". Then I make it a little bit harder . And some people think IÂ'm mean for this, but it doesnÂ't matter to me. Then IÂ'll wait 'til sheÂ's busy playing with he r favorite toy, and IÂ'll see, "Ah, sheÂ's got her favorite toy. IÂ'll go in the other room on purpose." "Hannah! Come t o Papa!" WhereÂ's Hannah? Why sheÂ's playing with her favorite toy. She donÂ't want to come now, this toyÂ's more exciting than running to papa. And then I go and get her. And I donÂ't spank her right away. We make sure she knows e

xactly what is required of her. But IÂ'll bring her in the other room and say, Â"Hannah, Papa called you and you didnÂ't c ome! Why didnÂ't you come? Even if youÂ're playing with your toy, when Papa says Â"comeÂ" you come!Â" And I get th at clear in her mind, and we wait another time. And finally, it happens, it always happens, the toys are there, sheÂ's so i nvolved in them, sheÂ's enjoying them; IÂ'll go in the other room. And I mean, I know whatÂ's going to happen, and IÂ'v e already got the stick in my mind, and I already know what IÂ'm going to do when she fails! Â"Hannah! Come to Papa!Â "Â"IÂ'm not coming to Papa, IÂ'm playing with my toys!Â" Â"Okay Hannah, letÂ's go. You disobeyed Papa. I told you to come; you didnÂ't come. Go to your room.Â" By the way, we donÂ't use this on her. We have a good hard ruler. Just a r egular ruler that we use on Hannah.

But we start training sessions. ItÂ's almost like dog training isnÂ't it? I mean if youÂ're going to train a dog, you do it on p urpose, donÂ't you? You know you do! You go out there and you say, Â"WeÂ're going to have a half an hour of training f or this dog. Sit! Stand! LetÂ's Go! Sit down! Fetch! Good Boy!Â" All that! You do that for about a half an hour and put hi m back in the cage again. Well that may seem a little crude to some of us here this evening, but that is the mentality that we ought to have about our children. Not that you take them out of the cageÂ...but that you take special care and plan o ut how weÂ're going to train this child. Oh, I just get delighted! I look over at the child, and maybe they found a food that they donÂ't like and I just think, Â"Well, here we go! Now itÂ's time to learn our lesson that we all learn about eating our f ood all gone.Â" See, IÂ'm not mad at them. IÂ'm not mad at them! IÂ'm waiting for them so that I can take an opportunit y to train that will! So that someday God will get it! IÂ'm after their will! Not just spanking them for punishment, IÂ'm after their will! So they can give it to God someday! And I do it on purpose.

With a littler one, lessons on laying your head down, when itÂ's time to go to sleep at night. Lay them down in that crib, a nd work with them. Â"Lay your headdie down.Â" And push their head down. Â"Lay your headdie down.Â" Push their head down. So that they learn those words, what they mean, what is expected of them, and then finally, and itÂ's Esther no w, not Hannah. Hannah lays her headdie down. But Esther, EstherÂ's 8 months old. Â"Esther, lay your headdie down.Â" You know, and theyÂ'll look up at you like thatÂ...put their head down. And finally if they donÂ't lay their head down, you give them a good swat on the back of the leg, with two fingers, just like this (example shown). Â"You lay your headdie down will go the head. And they learn!

You see itÂ's easy, if you do it while theyÂ're 1,2,3,4 – itÂ's simple! TheyÂ're simple little lessons. Why wait until theyÂ' re ten years old and then the lessons are hard and you donÂ't know what to do, and it gets more difficult. ItÂ's so easy t o teach them great big giant lessons on obedience, while theyÂ're learning to eat their green beans. Or while theyÂ're learning to come to Papa. Or while theyÂ're learning to share their toys. Or while theyÂ're learning not to hit when somebo dy goes against their grain. ItÂ's so easy. And you donÂ't just teach them not to hit, you train their will and bring it under subjection!

Esther, she just started crawling about, oh just after I got back from Africa, maybe itÂ's two months now, or maybe not q uite two months, sheÂ's learned how to crawl, and at our house, we donÂ't put everything away when the child learns ho w to crawl. ThatÂ's when we start teaching them what "no-no" means. "No-no" (slaps of a hand a few times), "no-no". And I donÂ't start with a real hard hit on the hand. But just training that mind, "no-no" and pull her hand away. "No-no, and pull her hand away; no-no, and pull her hand away. I mean sheÂ's learning it! I see her doing it. SheÂ'll go over, she likes all the books on the shelf in the family room where we have devotion, and sheÂ'll go crawling over there you know, and sheÂ's got her eyes on those books and sheÂ'll reach up like this and go like this (looking behind her). S he knows! She knows sheÂ's not supposed to put her hand on that book! Once you get them to that place where they kn ow theyÂ're not supposed to put their hand on that book, then when they put their hand on the book (slaps of the hand a nd rebuked) "No-no, Esther, no-no!" And sheÂ'll cry, big old crocodile tears and sheÂ'll just shake and shudder and s heÂ'll learn a lesson! She wonÂ't forget it either!

Another lesson on obedience, that lÂ've done with the last two I think, maybe three. I canÂ't remember with Samuel, but with the last two, when lÂ'm working, I wear bib overalls. And bib overalls, they have a place right here where the pen fit s, of course, they have a pocket here and I put my checkbook in it. And the pen is okay, but the checkbook is a no-no. A nd honestly, itÂ's such a simple little thing, but it works! And I trained Hannah. She knows she can play with the pen, but she canÂ't play with the checkbook. And the way the whole thing started was, you know how the babies are, everything t hey get in their hands, what do they do with it? Well they have to see what itÂ's like, and they put it in their mouth! And I just decided, Â"SheÂ's not going to take my checkbook and stick it in her mouth, and lÂ'm not going to put my checkbook k in my back pocket; she will learn that this she can play with, and it goes right into the mouth, but this checkbook you ca nnot touch it.Â" And to this day, HannahÂ's almost three, if I sit her on my lap and lÂ'we got my bib overalls on, and the p en is here, and the checkbook is here, sheÂ'll say, Â"ThatÂ's a no-no Papa, IÂ'm not supposed to touch that.Â" She kno ws! And Esther is learning the same thing right now. IÂ'll sit her down, and sheÂ'll reach for both, or maybe sheÂ'll reach

for the checkbook. And IÂ'll push her hand away, Â"No-no, no-no!Â" And IÂ'll take the pen out and say, Â"Here you can play with this!Â" And she puts it right in her mouth like usual, and IÂ've got to wipe it off when she gets done. But, she is leaning! Â"This you can do, this you canÂ't do!Â" See how simple that is!

WeÂ're talking about bringing the will of the child into subjection. See? Oh, would to God, we would just get a vision of b ecoming students of training our children! ThatÂ's all! You know there are hundreds and hundreds of illustrations like thi s and you can think of your own! If we just become students of training our children! WeÂ'd do them such favors. WeÂ'd just give them a head start on life by ten years. If we start when theyÂ're young and we just start working through these kinds of things with them.

Turn to Proverbs 29, and weÂ're going to close here. I have some more, but IÂ'm going to save it for another night. We 'll look at these verses in closing. Motivational verses for us.

Proverbs 29:15, Å"The rod and reproof give wisdom.Å" Can we see that? Can we see after weÂ've looked at all these v erses and weÂ've looked at the illustration that the rod and reproof really do give wisdom! Å"But a child left to himself, br ingeth his mother to shame.Å" That ought to be a motivational verse for us. And look at this. While I was studying this aft ernoon, I saw some verses in a new way. LetÂ's just read the context here. The next verse, Å"When the wicked are mult ipliedÂ...Å" ThatÂ's the children that are left to themselves, Å"transgression increases. But the righteous shall see their f all.Å" Now look at the next verse: Å"Correct thy son, and he shall give thee rest! Yeah, he shall give delight unto thy soul .Å" Now look at the next verse: Å"Where there is no vision, the people perish. But he that keepeth the law, happy is he!Å" Å"Where there is no vision, the people perish.Å" And that word perish, I have written down here in my Bible, theyÂ're wild and scattered. Can you see the context there? God is pleading with us here! Chasten your children! The rod of correction and reproof will give wisdom to your children! ItÂ'll give righteousses to your children, theyÂ'll be filled with wisdom, theyÂ'll be obedient, theyÂ'll be righteous, and theyÂ'll go the right way! But if you leave them to themselves, theyÂ'll bring you to shame, and wickedness will reign in the country. So chasten y our children! And it will give you rest!

IÂ've been in some homes, that were absolutely the opposite of rest. Listen, children are the greatest joy! One of the gre atest joys this side of heaven, but some people are so frustrated with children, they donÂ't want anymore than one or tw o. And the way they raise them and the way they behave, I donÂ't blame them for not wanting anymore! But if weÂ'll just do what God says, they are the greatest blessing to have around! TheyÂ're such a joy! You fathers, if youÂ'll do your job , youÂ'll be a king at your house! And every father ought to be a king in his own house. I mean honored! I mean revered, respected! Your children will rise up and kiss the hand that you spanked them with, if youÂ'll just follow these clear, bibli cal laws. If youÂ'll just follow them, God will bless you, and youÂ'll have rest in your home! And theyÂ'll bring delight to y our soul. And they do! And they will! Can we get a vision of it tonight? HereÂ's the prayer that I wish that youÂ'd pray to God. That youÂ'd just pray, Â'God, make me a student of

https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/articles/index.php?view=article&aid=2059

# Re:If thy brother trespass against thee, rebuke him; and if he repent, forgive him., on: 2013/6/1 12:25

\*\*If thy brother trespass against thee, rebuke him; and if he repent, forgive him.\*\*

Brother I know when someone is seeking to manipulate me. First of all you didn't write the article. Secondly the man who did is one of those COC fellows who wears a USA flag for a tie and preaches God Bless America. I would provide you wi th the links to his methodologies which have nothing at all to do with truth, but is a political presentation of the Gospel of Christ based on a pseudo scientific perception of truth in presentation and a conservative fundamental and literalist view of everything one could possibly imagine about God, Creation, The Church all wrapped up in the star spangled banner. He is in short one of those chaps you spoke of previously when you shared about your grandpa. I wonder if this one is re ally saved or just a good communicator. By the way his PhD is in communications.

No brother I won't be manipulated by any man. It isn't necessary nor will it serve any good purpose. After reading the las t article you have posted I can scarcely think straight. To break a child deliberately and to put there childish inclinations i n the way of them as a basis for doing it, in the same way you would do with a dog, is almost more than I can believe po ssible. I don't doubt that this brother in the article is a good father, I certainly hope so, but dear Lord those words in the h ands of a stressed and distracted parent! The whole system is predicated on seeking out the child's own will and breakin g it by means of affection freely given as an evidence of love which any child of that age would ordinarily craveÂ.....then to contrast that with a systematic and deliberate use of physical pain with the emotional pain attendant to itÂ...Â...and to

claim that the tears are crocodile tears (of no substance)Â...Â....What a system and what is all this for? It is so that t he child can give there will to God. I have to tell you brother God does not want your will it is of no use to Him whatsoeve r. He wants to put you to death in His Son. He wants His will to be done in and through you by the indwelling of the Holy Spirit. God isn't interested in circus tricks.

Not My Will but Thy Will be done! Did the Lord get this wrong?

I am crucified in Christ, and no longer livethÂ...Â....not I but Christ liveth in me!

Mmmm.

I am not surprised when children learn to obey the absolute requirement of their parents without any true freedom, leadi ng to obedience. It is a broken will a lack of any power to resist, predicated on increasing levels of physical and emotion al pain. Suffer to obey or?Â...Â....

I have seen this brother sermons on this site before. I have to say I am truly shocked.

# ANYONE READING AMRKELLY ON THIS THREAD .... - posted by Lordoitagain (), on: 2013/6/1 14:38

Please do not in any way imagine that Amrkelly could be any kind of authority on the subject, nor have much of an ability to even reason on the subject. Keep in mind the confessions that he has already made for the whole world to read on this thread:

#### Quote:

If it is indeed true that he has come to "a security in Him which has proved sufficient for me through all the ups and down s of life", THANK THE LORD! We can only hope that Amrkelly will find the path of life through Jesus who is the Way the Truth and the Life.

However, he claims to "have a sound comprehension of the Father in heaven" and apparently he thinks that he understa nds what the Father in heaven wants fathers on earth to do in regard to rearing their children, but as has been repeatedly demonstrated on this thread he is rejecting the clear instruction from the Father's word.

Amrkelly is a man to be pitied. As has been noted already on this thread, he has been extremely verbally (textually) abu sive in his effort to redefine God's instructions as child abuse.

He was reared without a father and with a mentally ill mother. Apparently, by his own confession, these were some of the things that he endured under her tyranny:

Quote:

-----

Some of us actually know what parental violence really means. Not the simplicity of having our bottoms smacked but having boiling liquids poured over our bodies, having our feet beaten with wooden rods, having our limbs twisted in rage and in finality having to endure all of this only to be compelled to agree with that which was false in the enquiry of violence.

Amrkelly may post again and again on this thread, but as has been noted, he is hard to follow. His own soundness of mi nd is brought into question by his emotionally charged ranting which is obviously affected by his abused childhood with n o dad, and a mentally ill mom.

We need to pray for Amrkelly. He is obviously not healed internally from the wounds of his childhood. Those wounds ca me from an absent father, and an abusive, mentally ill mother who knew little or nothing about our Father's love. Instead of healing, he has taken up a venomous hateful battle toward loving Christian parents who believe what God says about the use of a little physical pain in the loving instruction of their children.

May God heal Amrkelly down to the bottom of his heart and soul. May God baptize him in forgiveness and love that will make him gentle toward all people. If his parents are still alive, may they come to know salvation through Jesus.

# Re: ANYONE READING AMRKELLY ON THIS THREAD ...., on: 2013/6/1 14:42

I thank God that I am not like Amrkelly.

### Re: ANYONE READING AMRKELLY ON THIS THREAD ...., on: 2013/6/1 15:47

In an attempt to clarify some of the comments I have made in the last post in particular, which I feel sure have been judg ed as lacking a credible or rational motive please consider the following.

With regards to the reference about prodpapa's grandfather this link will clear that up.

https://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic\_id=49259&forum=35&start=10&12

With regard to the reference \*\*If thy brother trespass against thee, rebuke him; and if he repent, forgive him.\*\*

Â....this was to draw proupapa's attention to the comment which followed it, in which I said "I won't be manipulated". Th ese are the words which prodpapa used in his header to his post in which be told me all about myself. They were his wo rds and not mine. Perhaps no one really cares, but its at least a meaningful explanation and shows that my comments w ere not personal to the extent that I was insulting him with a need to accuse him. On the other hand telling someone that if they repent they will be forgiven, albeit somewhat in a hidden way, is unwarranted in this instance. So I believe and so I wrote and so I now confirm.

This is the link to Dr Dave Miller PhD in which it is possible to see that he is a member of the COC and strangely really d oes wear a USA flag as a tie. And he really did read his PhD in communications (Rhetoric and Public Address). As for hi s political views, and others just read the site. Or don't bother. Is is of no importance it just happens to form the basis for how he interprets the bible and how he views some profound realities. Its of no consequence other than that this no dou bt informs his whole philosophy on life. But hey!

### Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2013/6/1 16:36

Mary Jane, my instinct is to say "you poor thing!"

Your testimony reaffirms the validity of God's Word - man cannot improve upon it, much as he tries.

Thanks for sharing, MJ.

Sandra

# Re: - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 16:57

amrkelly wrote ////Brother I know when someone is seeking to manipulate me.////

My post addressed to you was not out of manipulation.

I posted it out of a desire to cut out any manipulation and malice that would have, and had ever so slightly began to poisi on my post, as tension was building up.

If that post had been out of manupulation I would not have been able to sleep last night. I slept fine.

It was out of a desire to resolve the tension that was building, not because of your position but because of the slanderou s, threatening and offensive statements that you have made and continue to make.

I would have prefered to have emailed you privetly but with the threats that you have made, (which is in a confusion of m illinial and present day actions, and with no clear way of determing which is which.) out of those threats you can understand why that was not an option!

My post was clear.

and rather than admitt any wrong on your own behalf, you have pressed in deeper.

amrkelly wrote and I quote ///: First of all you didn't write the article. Secondly the man who did is one of those COC fell ows who wears a USA flag for a tie and preaches God Bless America.///

I did not write the artical but that does not excuse the slander and rudeness of your comments.

It does not matter If the man who wrote the artical whear's an american flag and preaches God bless America, and is a f ollower of Campbell, That does in no way council out the truths contained within the artical nor does this give you justific ation to post in a rude and disrespectful and threating way as you did and continue to do.

The fact is your attempt of justifying your actions by trying to find what you deem as fault in the author of the artical is ver y revealling.

amrkelly wrote and I quote///No brother I won't be manipulated by any man. It isn't necessary nor will it serve any good p urpose.///

And neither will I! as threats or warnings have and continue to be made by you.

Did My post addressed to you consist of much more than coping and pasting some of the rude and threating comments made by you? and simply asking you to consider how you would feel to have been on the recieving end of them ? No need to justify yourself by slandering the author of the artical! that is a useless rabbit trail but it does reveal much.

I see only two correct options when confronted with such, the

1.) one is that I and others have misunderstood your seeming rude, slanderous, threatning and manupulating comments ? Of which clarification is welcome.

### 2.)repent

you choose neither, you instead choose to cover yourself by justifing them on the bases of the author of the artical being an american flag wearing COC, which is an absoulute redundancy that caries no weight in underming the artical or justif ying your actions.

### Re: Lordoitagain - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 17:29

Lordoitagain, I am appalled by much of amrkellys comments on this thread but he is not WeirdLarry.

I have had discussions whith him, probably more than anyone else.

he is real and sincere, just very zealous and compassionate about many subjects such as I am, which often times leads to over reactions.

# Re: amrkelly - posted by Lysa (), on: 2013/6/1 17:39

Quote:

-----by amrkelly:

The words here are written to fully grown men and women and it speaks about the discipline and the love of God regarding legitimacy. Next time an an gel of God descends into your church with a wooden rod and beats you into submission, just let me know right!

I am in agreement! I applaud your trying but they do not have ears to hear (about this subject anyway). They seem to h ave a vested interest in beating and bruising toddlers and children.

God bless, Lisa

## Re: - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 17:43

///They seem to have a vested interest in beating and bruising toddlers and children.///

why must we speak evil like this about believers in and of the Lord Jesus Christ whom love their children more than their own life ?

### Re: , on: 2013/6/1 18:02

amrkelly

I am about 3500 miles from Cornwall, otherwise I would very gladly avail myself of your hospitality.

I am also in favor of corporal punishment of adults - especially adults like ProudPapa and Lorddoitagain.

In fact, I am a descendant of the legendary Circuit Rider Muttonchops Mathers (known to the Indians as "Thunderlips"). The man used to wade through the congregation beating sinners with a cudgel. He would gallop along with his ivory-han dled revolvers and shoot wine jugs out of the hands of drunken Calvinists. Like his hero Luther he was thunderously flat ulent and one time while preaching a sermon titled "Calvinists in the Hands of an Angry God" at a brush arbor meeting, h e broke such massive wind that it was ignited by a paraffin lamp and nearly burned the place down. After that word got a round that when Muttonchops Mathers preached on hell you could almost see the flames and smell the burning sulphur.

So if you think a man of my lineage is here to joke around, think again, brethren! This business of reproving iniquity with our fists is no laughing matter!

## Re: Children and the Rod of Correction, on: 2013/6/1 19:39

Before anyone even troubles to read this post I really wouldn't waste your time unless you are prepared to read the original post again. Especially the so-called linguistic data which David Miller PhD cites. So proudpappa this is my answer to the above article and the reason why I say it is a poor use of intellect.

If that amounts to an insult then let the content speak for itself to my detriment.

The Hebrew word which is generally used throughout the Old Testament and which corresponds in meaning to the symbolic, figurative and literal usage of the English words translated as staff, rod, branch and tribe is matteh. In all of these instances the word is intended to be either literal and figurative or literal or figurative. Literal as in "a staff" or figurative as in "tribe" or "branch". So the branch which is cut off, being Israel, is the same branch that will be brought back in. Benjamin was the first tribe to be cut off, and the first tribe to be brought back in after they were severely punis hed. This is the semantic as well as the morphological usage. The literal or linguistic usage is either a literal staff, or else a literal rod made of wood formed into a symbolic representation of authority. It is also reflective of literal authority with p hysical consequences, given by God to Israel or else exercised by God Himself indirectly in judging Israel with a variety of physical means.

Matteh (מַטֶּה, 4294), "staff; rod; shaft; branch; tribe." This noun is a disti nctively Hebrew word. It occurs 251 times; the first usage is in Gen. 38:18: "And he said, What pledge shall I give thee ? And she said, Thy signet, and thy bracelets, and thy staff that is in thine hand." The word appears most frequently in Numbers and Joshua, generally with the meaning "tribe" in these books.

The basic meaning of matteh is "staff." The use of the "staff" was in shepherding. Judah was a shepherd and gave his "staff" to his daughter-in-law, Tamar, as a pledge of sending her a kid of the flock (Gen. 38:17-18). Moses was a s hepherd when he saw the vision of the burning bush and when the Lord turned his "staff" into a snake as a sign of Hi s presence and power with MosesÂ' mission (Exod. 4:2ff.). His "staff" figured prominently throughout the wilderness j ourneys and was known as "the staff of God" because of the miraculous power connected with it: "And Moses said unto Joshua, Choose us out men, and go out, fight with Amalek: tomorrow I will stand on the top V 1, p 269 of the hill with the rod of God in mine hand" (Exod. 17:9). The "staff" was also a token of authority. The Egyptian magicians ha d "staffs" as symbols of their authority over the magical realm by which they duplicated several miracles (Exod. 7:12). Aaron had a "rod," which alone sprouted and put forth buds, whereas eleven rods "from all their leaders according t o their fatherÂ's household" (Num. 17:2, nasb) did not put forth buds.

The "staff" further signifies authority or power over another nation: "For thou hast broken the yoke of his burden, and the staff of his shoulder, the rod of his oppressor, as in the day of Midian" (Isa. 9:4). God gave to Assyria His "staff"; they received His authority, divine permission, to wield the sword, to plunder, and to destroy: "O Assyrian, the rod of mi ne anger, and the staff in their hand is mine indignation. I will send him against a hypocritical nation, and against the peo ple of my wrath will I give him a charge, to take the spoil, and to take the prey, and to tread them down like the mire of th e streets" (Isa. 10:5-6). The psalmist, in his expectation that the messianic rule included GodÂ's authority and judgmen t over the Gentiles, views the messianic rule as a strong "staff": "The Lord shall send the rod of thy strength out of Zi on: rule thou in the midst of thine enemies" (Ps. 110:2). Similarly, the prophet Ezekiel said, "Fire is gone out of a rod o f her branches, which hath devoured her fruit, so that she hath no strong rod to be a sceptre to rule" (Ezek. 19:14). The figurative usage of matteh occurs in the idiom matteh-lehem, "staff of bread." This poetic idiom refers to the food supp ly, and it is found mainly in Ezekiel: "Moreover he said unto me, Son of man, behold, I will break the staff of bread in Je rusalem: and they shall eat " (Ezek. 4:16; cf. 14:13).

A derived sense of matteh is "tribe," which is used as many as 183 times. The "tribes" of Israel are each designate d as matteh: "And these are the countries which the children of Israel inherited in the land of Canaan, which Eleazar th e priest, and Joshua the son of Nun, and the heads of the fathers of the tribes of the children of Israel, distributed for inh eritance to them" (Josh. 14:1). It is possible that the matteh ("staff"), as a symbol of authority, first applied to the trib al leader and thereafter by extension to the whole "tribe."

The several meanings of matteh are reflected in the Septuagint: phule ("tribe; nation; people") and rabdos ("rod; staf f; sceptre").

Specific usage regarding Proverbs in completion:

There are nine old testament references to the use of the rod in clear context of an individual being the object of disciplin

e and they are all in Proverbs they are 10:13, 13:24, 14:3, 22:8, 22:15, 23:13, 23:14, 26:3 & 29:15.

Of those verses eight are the word shebet and just one is the word choter /kho·ter/. There are two occurrences; transl ates as "rod" twice, but literally meaning a branch of a tree and a twig of a branch.

Of the other eight usages they are all shebet.

Shebet is the Hebrew word which is also translated tribe or rod but it is carried semantically in the sense of "an action of authority". This sometimes speaks of a literal object such as a shepherd rod as in the case of Jacob Leviticus 27:32.

shebet (שֵׁבֶט, 7626), "tribe; rod." In modern Hebrew this word mainly de notes "tribe" as a technical term. In Akkadian the related verb shabatu signifies "to smite," and the noun shabbitu means "rod" or "sceptre." A synonym of the Hebrew shebet is matteh, also "rod" or "tribe," and what is applic able to matteh is also relevant to shebet.

The "rod" as a tool is used by the shepherd (Lev. 27:32) and the teacher (2 Sam. 7:14). It is a symbol of authority in t he hands of a ruler, whether it is the scepter (Amos 1:5, 8) or an instrument of warfare and oppression: "Thou shalt bre ak them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potterÂ's vessel" (Ps. 2:9; cf. Zech. 10:11). The symbol ic element comes to expression in a description of the messianic rule: "But with righteousness shall he judge the poor, and reprove with equity for the meek of the earth: and he shall smite the earth with the rod of his mouth Â..." (Isa. 11:4) .

The word shebet is most frequently used (143 times) to denote a "tribe," a division in a nation. It is the preferred term for the twelve "tribes" of Israel (Gen. 49:16; Exod. 28:21). Jeremiah referred to all of Israel as the "tribe": "The por tion of Jacob is not like them; for he is the former of all things: and Israel is the rod of his inheritance: the Lord of hosts is his name" (51:19).

The Septuagint translations are: phule ("tribe; nation; people"); rabdos ("rod; staff"); and skeptron ("scepter; tribe ").

The problem with looking at the Hebrew usage in this way ought to be clearly visible. Just once in the whole of the Old T estament is it possible to say with absolute certainty that the word rod actually means something used to hit a man "fool" on his back. Understanding what is really intended in the fullest sense by such scriptures as Proverbs 23:13-14 is that it is difficult to resist the conclusion that a literal rod is intended. Unfortunately there is no way to resolve this. For me perso nally it has to come down to ones own conscience and being led of the Holy Spirit. The deep thing which concerns me w ith the teachings that a literal rod or Switch must be used is that it may simply be a falsehood and will lead to unnecessa ry problems in the future with the clear direction child legislation is taking. The final thing which concerns me is what spe cific doctrine lies at the root of this teaching concerning the use of the rod, which gives sincere and godly men such as th ere are who believe this, the conviction to set about breaking their children's will power to disobey them from any age, b ut especially in the first year of their lives.

I can tell you that I know what the doctrine is and it has been debated and locked even in the last four weeks. It is as con tentious as this topic is and so in the end it cannot be debated. Over the last year I have been looking into this and this it self was provoked by a posting which brother proupapa made regarding the subject of home schooling. In all of this, des pite appearances, only one thing really flaws me. The language used to describe the technique of breaking a child's will i s such that were it presented for legal opinion in the UK at least, it would be cited as child abuse. I believe we are leavin g ourselves exposed to legal possibilities which will have enormous implications for us in the near future both in the UK and in the USA. I actually do not reject the reality and the responsibility of parents to smack their children on the legs, bo ttom, hands etc as an instrument of correction and the only reason I have not continued in it myself, beyond the single in stance with each of my own children, was very genuinely because when I did smack their bottoms as little children that o ne time, they submitted without flinching, without tears and without argument or resistance. Afterwards I remembered th e many times I was beaten and vividly remembered how my mother would insist on me standing still. It was impossible t o stand still I was absolutely terrified. I realised in that instance that if my children were capable of submitting to being be aten without a loss of self control, they had already put their trust in me. What authority or necessity did I have to beat th em in such an instance? Some how my children both fear me and love me, which I cannot fully comprehend, yet it has s omething to do with physical security and words of warning, limitation and encouragement which I have always presente d to them from the day they were born.

I simply cannot comprehend why a child has to be broken. Beyond what I know of the doctrine which lies behind its state

d necessity by those brothers who believe in this breaking of a child's will, there could be no plausible or righteous reaso n at all. Yet the idea just occasionally flits across the mind. If only my daughter had been more perfect, or my son more z ealous. Then I read the words which I have been reading for nearly a year in respect of Michael Pearl and shrink back in concern. Yet this doctrine is so strong that those who believe it really do regard it as their absolute duty of obedience to undertake this task. How loving that is done is impossible to comprehend because the words used to describe its necess ity are quiet simply too much to accept as true. Only the Lord knows. Please don't argue over my activities that is not my intention neither will it prove profitable.

### Re: refreshing post - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 22:08

Hi amrkelly,

I am in disagreement with this last post of yours, and I will go into some detail as of why, if I have the time.

But I want to say I find it readable and noncontentious.

### Re: - posted by proudpapa, on: 2013/6/1 23:01

amrkelly wrote /// Understanding what is really intended in the fullest sense by such scriptures as Proverbs 23:13-14 is t hat it is difficult to resist the conclusion that a literal rod is intended. Unfortunately there is no way to resolve this. For me personally it has to come down to ones own conscience and being led of the Holy Spirit. ///

The same reason that you claim to come to the conclusion as you do, is the same reason I and a multitude of other born again Christians have come to the conclusion as we do on this topic.

So we should be able to agree to respect each others opinions on the subject, you raise your children the way that you f eel convicted to, and we will raise our children the way that we feel convicted to. and as long as both are from a loving, c areing non emotionaly motivated position than that should settle it.

but it does not. because those whom are opposed to proper usage of biblical chastisement are not content allowing oth ers to raise their children as they feel led to. They are driven to fight tooth and nail to impose their position on us. They always take the offending position.

It is those whom deffend the use of biblical chastisement, whom are always having to defend their position.

See it does not concern me if lysa or amrkelly withhold biblical chastisement from their children, that is their right, but th at is not the case the other way around.

Never do we have to fear legislation forcing people to spank their children, but we continually must be concerned about I egislation being passed that forces us to disobey our understanding of correct Biblical parenting.

I had planned to answer much more, but I am exhusted maybe some other time.

#### Re: - posted by Elibeth, on: 2013/6/2 13:55

Andrew,

I cannot understand,how a person of your studying...reading,..to gain knowledge...(I can tell that by your writings) cannot understand this ,...one of the most plain-spoken subjects / truths of the Word.And to throw His WAY / TRUTH / COUNSEL / WORDS to the ground,and KEEP your words,...Do you know the cost in that ?...Elevating your word above His Word / Counsel ?

2:Tim.3:16 ,..."ALL scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness,..."...

I ask you again,' Have you really considered the cost to ANYONE who decides to throw out some of the Words in the Bible ?' Well ! ..I know this is hard but,.....We lose something of great value,...our credibility.

1Sam.3:19,..( Samual,.. great and humbled man unto God,)"And Samual grew,and The Lord was with him,and he did let

none of His Words fall to the ground."

Mt.18:3,..(Jesus speaking), "Verily I say unto you, Except ye be converted, and become as little children, ye shall not enter the kingdom of heaven."

A 'little' child is humble / bendable :

\* real example of a true child of God: Mt.5:3-12,...'the beatitudes'

\* Jn.3:12,...' But as many as recieve Him,to them gave He power TO BECOME the CHILDREN of God.( He gives us Grace : "The Devine enfflence upon our heart and it 's reflection in the Life.)Power..working through the Holy Spirit,...drawing us to His will.

\* Lk.12:47-48,..' And that servant,..(which we really are a child,servant, (serveing the Lord) son's,etc,..who knows the will of the Father )...who knew his Lord's will and doeth it not,prepareth not himself,neither did His will,"shall be beaten "...? with many stripes."

Question: you mean, The Father would acually BEAT us?...where there are marks on our skin?

Andrew, What these brethren patiently and lovingly were trying to help you to see,...Why would you scoff at ? And the De nny Kenaston artical, that Proud Papa brought forth,.....I believe was as good and Godly way, as I have ever heard,...you scoffed at.

I do believe this man studied the Words of this Godly instruction on

raising Godly children, and The Spirit of God taught this man the most perfect way,...not abuse but through patience, love , much work care and time,...to bring up his children in the way that they should go,...

And yet you scoofed at it. To me there is something to be feared here.,...because when we scoff at something The Lord has taught,...according to His Word and Spirit,...Then really ,...aren't we really scoofing at The Lord' work ?

And there is another important factor here,...children need not only the guidance,correction, trained,switched when needed,but to learn the joy of work. Which is taboo,,,a crime, for them to lab or these days.

As for 'the times that we live in'..?"The Lord is the same yesterday,today and forever,...He changes not.His Word shall never pass away.

It is most unfortunate if a child does not have more than a near nothing to do,...where they can be tired when night come s,..and need their rest, and not be a late sleeper In the day as some,...getting into things, at night like the gangs etc...not j ust leting their children go to waste....having loving, patience, parents that care...that take the time, care and hard work, to r aise,.teach,..correct,.with the rod when it is neccasary,....according to the Word.

And too, you mentioned the hand vs the switch,...

Did you not realize,...Hitting the child with the hand,jar's the child,it could Be so light,as to do nothing,or hitting them with the hand could be so hard That it could break their bones.where a little keen switch could apply topical switch marks,and the hurt that is needed,and not damage them deep . They will learn to fear the....ever so small keen switch,at an early age.

And finally,

Eph.5:1,..(Paul teaching)..'Be ye followers of God,as dear children.' \* who is God ?But He who speaketh,He is the Spirit / Word ...also the inspired Word because it comes from Him.

It is with great care,that I write this to you,...as well as I know all the other encouragements to you were. Lovingly always, elizabeth

# **Re: , on: 2018/12/31 13:55** Quote:

It is with great care,that I write this to you,...as well as I know all the other encouragements to you were. Lovingly always, Elizabeth

Endquote

And it is with great patience that I have waited these many months to reply.