ents :: Prominent Scientists Declare Climate Claims Ahead of UN Summit â€~Irrational' – â€~Base

Prominent Scientists Declare Climate Claims Ahead of UN Summit †Trrationalâ €™ †â€ Based On Nonsense' The fight to control people has a re-invigorated enemy - other scientists.

C http://www.sermonindex.net/

MIT Climate Scientist Dr. Richard Lindzen: 'Demonization of CO2 is irrational at best and even modest warming is mostl y beneficial.' - 'When someone says this is the warmest temperature on record. What are they talking about? It's just nonsense. This is a very tiny change period.'

Princeton Physicist Dr. Will Happer: 'Policies to slow CO2 emissions are really based on nonsense. We are being led do wn a false path. To call carbon dioxide a pollutant is really Orwellian. You are calling something a pollutant that we all pr oduce. Where does that lead us eventually?'

Greenpeace Co-Founder Dr. Patrick Moore: 'We are dealing with pure political propaganda that has nothing to do with s cience.'

Lindzen cautioned: $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ The most important thing to keep in mind is $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ when you ask $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ is it warming, is it cooling $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{M}$, etc. $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ is that we are talking about something tiny (temperature changes) and that is the crucial point $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$

"The discourse of catastrophe is a campaigning device,†Hulme wrote to the BBC in 2006. "The language of c atastrophe is not the language of science. To state that climate change will be †catastrophic' hides a cascade of v alue-laden assumptions which do not emerge from empirical or theoretical science,†Hulme wrote.

"ls any amount of climate change catastrophic? Catastrophic for whom, for where, and by when? What index is bein g used to measure the catastrophe?†Hulme continued.

Lindzen singled out Secretary of State John Kerry for his â€ignorance' on science.

Princeton Physicist Dr. Will Happer, who has authored over 200 peer-reviewed papers, called policies to reduce CO2 †œbased on nonsense.―

Happer then showed a picture of polluted air in China with the caption: "Real pollution in Shanghai.â€

"lf you can see it, it's not CO2,†Happer said. "lf plants could vote, they would vote for coal,†Happer declared.

sermon index

Happer also rebutted the alleged 97% consensus. "97% of scientists have often been wrong on many things,†he said.

Ecologist and Greenpeace founding member Dr. Patrick Moore discussed the benefits of rising carbon dioxide in the at mosphere. "Let's celebrate CO2!†Moore declared.

 $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ We know for absolute certain that carbon dioxide is the stuff of life, the foundation for life on earth, $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ Moore said.

 $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ We are dealing with pure political propaganda that has nothing to do with science, $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ he continued.

"The deserts are greening from rising CO2,†he added.

"Co2 has provided the basis of life for at least 3.5 billion years,†Moore said.

Re: Prominent Scientists Declare Climate Claims Ahead of UN Summit ââ,¬Ëœlrrationalââ,¬â,,¢ â - posted by TMK Amen.

The biggest scam or one of the biggest in history.

Re: , on: 2015/11/20 11:24

With countries increasing the debt of their citizens and basically becoming insolvent, they have to think up more scheme s to raise capital, which means they need to create laws based on hysteria that separate us from our wallets. I am glad s cientists have enough courage to speak the truth, because there will be repercussions against them.

I am attracted to anyone willing to speak the truth in the face of being marginalized by oppressive threats, opposition an d name-calling (labeling). Whether it is people that stand against pharmaceuticals, Fed Reserve lies, GMO companies, e tc., etc., or even the religious system, it takes courage to speak the truth knowing that these worldly systems could "ruin" you. More and more we are seeing laws passed based on hysteria or emotion that only have one purpose, to control the masses.

The following links will give you an idea of what is coming to Western Civilization - This will also have an impact on the C hurch but God will use it for His purposes.

For instance: Germany: parliament curbs freedom of speech and assembly https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2005/03/germ-m31.html

In the name of the "fight against right-wing extremism,†the Bundestag (Germany's parliament) voted March 1 1 for sweeping restrictions on the right of association and freedom of expression. The Social Democratic Party (SPD), th e Greens and the opposition Christian Democratic Union (CDU) and Christian Social Union (CSU) voted to extend laws covering incitement as well as those banning or restricting demonstrations. Only the liberal Free Democratic Party voted against the new laws.

French National Assembly overwhelmingly backs extension of police-state measures https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2015/11/20/pari-n20.html

The state of emergency and the collapse of French democracy https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2015/11/19/pers-n19.html

After the Paris terror attacks: A drumbeat for military escalation and internal repression https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2015/11/17/pers-n17.html

Back to Climate Change Alarmists, they have even proposed that "Climate Change Deniers" be jailed.

https://ecowatch.com/2015/03/16/al-gore-sxsw-punish-climate-deniers/

http://news.heartland.org/newspaper-article/2014/10/24/climate-change-deniers-should-be-jailed-rfk-jr

http://www.ijreview.com/2014/03/122180-professor-demands-imprisonment-climate-change-deniers/

"CIA Director John Brennan was among the most blunt on the home front, declaring that the Paris attacks must serve as a "wake-up call.†He demanded an end to "hand-wringing†over blanket government spying on the entire po pulation of the US and the world carried out in the name of combating terrorism."

They want more surveillance, not less, in "the name of combating terrorism".

Re: - posted by TrueWitness, on: 2015/11/20 14:12

I knew that this climate alarmist rhetoric was political posturing when Gore unveiled the solution to the problem: Set up c arbon credit exchanges. So a company can bypass their CO2 pollution limit by buying (in cold, hard cash) an extension f rom another company who stays under their limit. And if that's the case, it doesn't sound like they are that adamant abou t really reducing CO2 emissions and so how seriously am I supposed to take their alarmist chicken-little claims?

I honestly believe that this global warming stuff comes straight from the globalists who need a way to fund the upcoming global government. Of course this carbon credit exchange will be the first step in getting the whole world under their thu mb. And, they can claim they are saving the world; of course it's not a tax they will say. They realized a long time ago th at the only practical way to fund a world government is to tax energy but they figured a way to avoid making it look like a tax.

Re: , on: 2015/11/20 14:31

Great point, TW. Just a shell game but you know they just want a law passed which in turn will make everyone a crimina I if they don't comply. Kind of like the Obama care tax (penalty). Still feel sorry for people that have to pay that.