



Scriptures and Doctrine :: When did Satan fall like lightning?

When did Satan fall like lightning? - posted by ccchhhrrriiss () , on: 2019/10/18 14:04

Hello,

While reading the Gospel of Luke this week, I came upon the passage where Jesus said that he saw Satan fall like lightning from Heaven. Here is the passage from the NASB and KJV:

LUKE 10:17-20 NASB

17 The seventy returned with joy, saying, "Lord, even the demons are subject to us in Your name." 18 And He said to them, "I was watching Satan fall from heaven like lightning. 19 Behold, I have given you authority to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy, and nothing will injure you. 20 Nevertheless do not rejoice in this, that the spirits are subject to you, but rejoice that your names are recorded in heaven."

LUKE 10:17-20 KJV

17 And the seventy returned again with joy, saying, Lord, even the devils are subject unto us through thy name. 18 And he said unto them, I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven. 19 Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall by any means hurt you. 20 Notwithstanding in this rejoice not, that the spirits are subject unto you; but rather rejoice, because your names are written in heaven.

I have always interpreted this to relate to Satan's fall from Heaven. I know that there is some debate over whether the passages in Ezekiel 28 and Isaiah 14 are referring to Satan. However, I believe that this interpretation is primarily one based upon the passage in Luke 10.

I read one commentary online that interpreted it somewhat differently. The author proposes that Satan fell from Heaven "like lightning" while the apostles were spreading the Gospel. He stated that it might have been akin to the way Satan approached God in the Book of Job chapter 1:

JOB 1:6-8 NASB

6 Now there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the Lord, and Satan also came among them. 7 The Lord said to Satan, "From where do you come?" Then Satan answered the Lord and said, "From roaming about on the earth and walking around on it." 8 The Lord said to Satan, "Have you considered My servant Job? For there is no one like him on the earth, a blameless and upright man, fearing God and turning away from evil."

The author proposed that Satan fell back to Earth because the apostles were carrying out the Lord's work -- and seeing something that the prophets longed to see (Luke 10:23-24).

While this ultimately isn't that important (although I am interested in what others think about this), my question is primarily about the timeline of events.

It is commonly understood that the serpent who tempted Eve in the Garden of Eden was Satan. While Satan isn't speci-

cally identified here (because the first time the word "Satan" appears is in I Chronicles 21:1), we can make this conclusion on the basis of context and, of course, the passage in Revelation:

REVELATION 20:1-2 NASB

1 Then I saw an angel coming down from heaven, holding the key of the abyss and a great chain in his hand. 2 And he laid hold of the dragon, the serpent of old, WHO IS THE DEVIL AND SATAN....

I am confident that this passage equates that "serpent of old" as the one in the Garden of Eden. It can be interpreted that the "serpent" was a "dragon" prior to the consequential curse placed upon it in Genesis 3:13-15.

So, that brings me to the question:

Since we can conclude that the serpent is Satan, when did Satan fall?

Obviously, this is all speculation. I also know that we should be careful about speculation or building any sort of "belief" out of it. Still, I am curious about this.

I suppose that it would have occurred between the sixth day of creation (since God said that it was "good" at that time) and when the serpent spoke with Eve. Are there any historic views about this?

Re: When did Satan fall like lightning? - posted by narrowpath, on: 2019/10/18 15:18

I believe it was this:

Genesis 1:2

2 And the earth was without form, and void; and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters.

How would God create something that was void, dark and without form? I believe it was Satan who had corrupted the earth.

God created the heavens and the earth, including the angelic host who inhabited first the heavens and the earth. There were no other beings and at that time apart from them.

Satan conducted worship in heaven and coveted the praise that angels offered to God, so he started to "trade" in worship and spiritual things - is that not still happening today?

After Satan's rebellion in heaven, 1/3 of the angels joined him and he was cast onto the earth so it became empty and void - tohuwabohu - (that is how my father used to describe my playroom when I was a kid.

Satan corrupted a tree and the snake in order to corrupt man, the subject and instrument of God's salvation. So he waited until man appeared on the scene.

Of course, God could have annihilated Satan, but instead God created everything else in it on day 1 to 6 as an environment for man to live and rule. He was made a little lower than the angels. God wanted to show his wisdom by sending his son as man to rescue fallen man and bringing man to victory so that they could also defeat Satan in the power of his son in the end. This would bring greater glory to him and of course we can take a share in the heavenly kingdom.

I recently listened to a sermon by Stephen Kaung, who elaborated on this, can't remember the title of the sermon, I think it was titled "To him who overcomes" or "Overcoming for God's Purpose"

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/18 17:15

I believe Jesus was speaking prophetically looking forward to the cross.

John 12:31 seems to state this specifically:

"Now is the judgment of this world; now the ruler of this world **will be** cast out."

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/18 17:16

double post

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/18 17:16

NP wrote:

"Satan conducted worship in heaven"

I am curious as to where you get this idea.

Re: , on: 2019/10/18 20:02

In answer to Todd: many people cite the end of Ezekiel 28:13 in support of that idea.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/19 17:13

OK - that's what I figured. Thanks.

Re: - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2019/10/19 18:23

Quote by narrowpath:

"How would God create something that was void, dark and without form? I believe it was Satan who had corrupted the earth."

As I understand it this point comes from the gap theory that allows for millions of years for the earth and the universe to evolve, or as some would label it theistic evolution.

My understanding of this verse is that the writer is stating a subject he is about to explain: "The creation of the earth and the universe. In the beginning there was no earth, nothing and now I am going to tell you in the succeeding verses how it came about." We would call this a title...and then the writer proceeds to inform us how it was made and how long it took to do it.

Simple, to me.

Sandra

Re: - posted by narrowpath, on: 2019/10/20 2:37

Hi Sandra,

I do not believe in gap theory. There were no other living beings in the beginning apart from the Godhead and angels before everything else was created from Gen 1:3 onwards.

Re: - posted by twayneb (), on: 2019/10/22 15:29

I actually believe Satan's fall was in the garden, not prior to the garden. Ezekiel 28 seems to indicate that Lucifer was in the garden of Eden while still in a state of angelic glory, not having fallen yet.

When you couple this with the reason for his fall, given in Isaiah 14, it seems to me that Lucifer saw the authority and glory given to man and desired to have that for himself. The angels were made to be ministering spirits. Man was made in God's image and given dominion and authority. Lucifer wanted that dominion, that place of honor and authority, for himself. I believe his fall came when he decided to usurp the authority that God had given man by deceiving Eve.

I know many use the passage from Revelation 12 about the dragon dragging a third of the stars from heaven and a war in heaven and use that passage to imagine that at some time long ago Satan staged a rebellion in which he convinced a third of the angels to try to fight against God. But I think one would have to admit that this is quite a bit of speculation to read into these verses. It is problematic because it takes one or two sentences and makes them happen before creation while the rest of the chapter is obviously not speaking of that time period.

Of course, I could be all wrong, but this makes the most sense to me.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/22 17:16

Lucifer is nowhere identified with Satan in the scriptures.

Re: - posted by twayneb (), on: 2019/10/23 8:24

Todd: If you mean that there is nowhere in scripture that explicitly says, "Lucifer is Satan", I have to totally agree with you. But there is no being in scripture matching the description of Lucifer given in Isaiah other than Satan. So Isaiah has to be speaking of a totally unknown being not mentioned in scripture or he has to be speaking of Satan.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/23 8:32

"Lucifer" (Isaiah 14:12) is clearly identified as the "king of Babylon" (Isa.14:4) and as a "man" (v.16). The lofty ambitions of "Lucifer" are exactly those of the builders of the Tower of Babel (the origins of Babylon).

I surely understand that this idea got started somewhere way back and it is now firmly grounded in tradition, but I have to reiterate that nowhere in scripture is Lucifer identified as Satan.

Trust me, I am not questioning the existence of Satan- I just don't accept the very questionable exegesis of the Isaiah and Ezekiel passages. Those passages specifically state who is being described, granted in hyperbolic language as is common in prophetic passages.

Re: - posted by twayneb (), on: 2019/10/23 8:32

A few other clues we have...

Lucifer means "light bearer". In 2 Cor. 11:14 Paul calls him an angel of light.

Isaiah says that he weakened (brought to a prostrate position) the nations. John in Revelation 12:9 says that Satan deceived the nations.

Isaiah says he would be brought to hell, to the sides of the pit. Revelation 9 says he is in hell, in a bottomless pit.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/23 9:57

"The exposition of this passage, which some have given, as if it referred to Satan, has arisen from ignorance: for the context plainly shows these statements must be understood in reference to the king of the Babylonians."--John Calvin (wow, we agree on something!)

Martin Luther also considered it a gross error to refer this verse (Is 14:12) to the devil.

Re: , on: 2019/10/23 10:28

John Calvin also had 32 people burned at the stake for disagreeing with his theology AFTER his alleged conversion.

Martin Luther denied the inspiration of entire books of the bible, more than a dozen, calling the epistle of James "a gospel of straw" & saying no one should read Revelation. He wrote the famously anti-semitic "On the Jews & Their Lies," and died hating these people. Hitler gleefully quoted Luther in Mein Kampf.

I do not look to Calvin or Luther for any Bible exposition.

As for Ezekiel 28, it is one of many Scripture passages that we call dual prophecy.

David's psalms about Ahithopel's betrayal of him anticipated Judas' betrayal of Christ a thousand years later.

"Out of Egypt I have called My son," speaks of the nation of Israel in Moses' day AND of Jesus' departure from Egypt in early childhood. There are many more such examples.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/23 11:07

//As for Ezekiel 28, it is one of many Scripture passages that we call dual prophecy.//

Who says?

Re: - posted by twayneb (), on: 2019/10/23 11:27

There are times in scripture when we find a person and the power at work in or behind that person mentioned together. For example, Jesus told Peter, "Get thee behind me Satan." We would never conclude from this passage that Peter is Satan. We conclude that there was a thought process and actions working through Peter that were not God's way of thinking, but the thoughts and emotions of a person that were still corrupted by sin (Satan). But then Jesus also deals with the physical man Peter.

The same is true in both OT passages that refer to Satan. For example, in Ezekiel 28, we read that Ezekiel is commanded to "say unto the prince of Tyrus." This is about the real ruler of the physical Tyrus, and is very evident by the nature of what is said. But then God shifts focus. He then says, "take up a lamentation upon the king of Tyrus". These verses then speak to the spiritual power, the real driving force, behind the king of Tyrus. In this case, it is describing Satan, and is also very clear in its description.

The same happens in Isaiah 14. God speaks against the king of Babylon. Not the physical ruler of the day, but the real power and motivator behind the empire and its deeds, in this case Satan again. Then he addresses what will happen to the physical nation of Babylon, the things that are in the physical realm that we would see.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/23 12:35

But the verses mention nothing about a spiritual power. That interpretation is clearly coming from outside the text.

Some people don't want to see what the Bible actually says, if it is not going to support what popular teachers say. I have encountered this mentality an awful lot.

The question to ask is: "Who does the Bible say that Lucifer is?" There is only one place in the entire Bible that mentions "Lucifer," so it should be easy enough to consider all the biblical evidence. Comparing Isaiah 14:12 and v.16, we find that the only individual ever referred to as "Lucifer" is a "man" (not an angel) and, according to v. 4, he is the king of Babylon. Mystery solved!

It is also clear that the individual in Ezekiel 28 is not Lucifer, since Lucifer was "the king of Babylon," and the person in Ezekiel was "the king of Tyre" (28:12). There was not one individual who was both king of Babylon and of Tyre.

Of course, the popular view is that these both refer to Satan, as the "power behind the throne" in both Babylon and Tyre. However, there is nothing in either passage (nor elsewhere in scripture) to suggest this theory, which makes it, obviously, an "unscriptural" assumption.

Re: , on: 2019/10/24 18:38

There are many other Bible theology websites with this same viewpoint and rationale:

<http://www.goodseed.com/blog/2012/11/16/do-isaiah-14-and-ezekiel-28-speak-of-satan/>

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/25 7:16

The author is still importing personal opinion into the text.

Of course the language in Ez and Is is imagery and hyperbole! Practically the whole of both books is imagery and hyperbole.

In Ez 31:3,9 the Assyrian is said to have been a tree in the garden of Eden! Is that imagery and hyperbole? Of course! We are not constrained to try to figure out how the Assyrian could have been in Eden. The author did not intend us to, nor did he intend us to apply his pronouncements about the pride and pomp of God's earthly enemies (and they had plenty) to some fallen angel when no fallen angel is ever mentioned, anywhere. The only cherub mentioned is the prince of Tyre and it's obvious hyperbolic language. The Assyrian was not really a tree in Eden and the prince of Tyre was not really a cherub in Eden. A plain reading is that these were earthly kings filled with pride and poetic language is used to describe them.

Scripture never ever says that Satan was an angel. He was not a cherub in the garden of Eden, he was a serpent. The only cherub in Eden was the one posted to keep Adam and Eve from re-entering.

I don't know if Satan is a fallen angel, cherub, ape or space alien, because the scriptures are silent on this. I personally have no dog in the hunt. My entire point is that we don't know. Since an assertion has been made about the passage, which is not found in the passage itself, the burden of proof is on the one making the assertion to show that they have found something (in this passage) that applies to Satan. That burden has not been adequately met in any arguments above.

The truth is that, if we had never heard that the king of Tyre in this passage is Satan, there is nothing in the passage that would make this identification for us. Even if one were naive enough to take the imagery of Ezekiel's prophecies literally, there is nothing to identify a literal fallen cherub specifically with Satan. There is nothing elsewhere in scripture that hints at such a background for Satan, and nothing in this passage to identify Satan with the cherub in question.

Re: TMK - posted by JFW (), on: 2019/10/25 8:07

Going,...going....gone!

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/25 9:38

Not sure what that means but if you are referring to my sanity you may be right. Ha.

Re: , on: 2019/10/25 18:40

If our Lord Jesus said, "I saw Satan fall from heaven like lightning," (and He did) then why would you think he was merely a serpent? The Hebrew word "nachash" means "bright, shining one," though it is rendered as serpent in the English translation of Genesis. If Satan can transform himself into an angel of light, as Paul wrote, why would you think he's merely a serpent?

He is referred to as a fleeing serpent, a flying serpent, prince of the powers of the air, the accuser of the brethren, a murderer from the beginning, the father of all lies, and sundry other vice-laden states in the Scriptures. He was brazen enough to tempt the Messiah Himself in the wilderness, even quoting the Psalms and bringing Jesus to the pinnacle of the temple, besides showing Him all the kingdoms of the world and their glory in a moment of time.

This is a powerful being, a horrible deceiver, who is active to this very day.

Re: - posted by TMK (), on: 2019/10/25 19:26

Well I didn't mean he was literally a serpent but that is the form he took in the garden. Genesis does not identify his true nature.

None can doubt the devil's ability to "be transformed into an angel of light" (2 Cor.11:14), but this does not tell us any more about his actual nature than we can learn about the true character of his ministers from the fact that they "are transformed into ministers of righteousness" (v.15)

I have said that I don't know whether Satan is a fallen angel or not, since the Bible is silent on the subject. He might be or not. Why in the world would anyone care one way or the other?

I have no doubts whatsoever about the vileness of satan and his goals of destruction of human beings.

Jesus was never complimentary about satan. Many Christians seem to be.

Re: Satan falling as lightening - posted by SugarRun (), on: 2019/10/26 18:16

Arthur Bloomfield End of the days Page 242

Shall Michael stand up.

Michael is the archangel the only one so named in the Bible, Jude 9 Yet Michael when contending with the devil he disputed about the body of Moses, durst not bring against him a railing accusation, but said, The Lord rebuke thee.

He seems to be the one who commands the armed forces of heaven. Whenever he is mentioned, there is something to be done requiring force. His most prominent place is in connection with resurrection, for it was he who contended with Satan about the body of Moses. When Satan contested Moses' resurrection, Michael had to take a hand.

The fact that Moses was raised from the dead is evidenced by his appearance on the Mount of Transfiguration in his glorified body, along with Elijah, who was caught up. Thus, there will be two witnesses to the promise of resurrection: one representing those who will be caught up, and one representing those who will be raised from the dead.

Three signals will announce the Rapture: the shout, the trumpet, and the voice of the archangel, Michael.

1 Thess. 4:16 For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first.

As usual, Michael will be there because there will be opposition to be overcome. But if Satan in past days so opposed the resurrection of one man, Moses, then he will use his whole power to try to prevent the resurrection of all the saints. All the principalities and powers of darkness in high places will be mobilized to keep the new bodies of the saints from passing through.

Revelation says that Satan will muster one third of the angels; to counter this force will be poised not just Michael, but Michael and all the angels. The story is told so vividly in Revelation that it needs no comment.

Rev. 12: 7-12 And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels and prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him.

And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night. And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death.

Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabitants of the earth and of the sea! For the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.

The resurrection has a double result: not only in heaven filled with saints with their new bodies, but Satan is cast out and must now operate on the earth as a man. When Daniel wrote the following, he too saw the saints in heaven and Satan cast out:

Daniel 7:9-11 I beheld till the thrones were cast down, and the Ancient of days did sit, whose garment was white as snow, and the hair of his head like pure wool: his throne was like a fiery flame, and his wheels as burning fire.

A fiery stream issued and came forth from before him: thousand thousands ministered unto him, and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before him: the judgement was set, and the books were opened. I beheld then because of the voice of the great words which the horn spake: I beheld even till the beast was slain, and his body destroyed and given to the burning flame.

At the time of Daniel Chapter 7, the details of the Rapture had to be left out. Daniels saw the saints in heaven, but there

was no occasion to tell how they got there; now in Rev. 12 we have added details concerning “that time”.

Re: When Michael rises - posted by docs (), on: 2019/10/27 9:35

1 Now at that time MICHAEL, the great prince who stands guard over the sons of your people, WILL ARISE. And there will be a time of distress such as never occurred since there was a nation until that time; and at that time your people, everyone who is found written in the book, will be rescued.

2 Many of those who sleep in the dust of the ground will awake, these to everlasting life, but the others to disgrace and everlasting contempt.

Just prior to the resurrection (Dan 12:2 - to occur at the second coming of Christ) there will be a time of trouble such as never occurred for the nation of Israel and the world (See Matt 24:21). It is the time when Michael shall rise in behalf of the people of the nation Israel.

It can be coordinated and synchronized with Rev 12.

7 And there was war in heaven, MICHAEL and his angels waging war with the dragon. The dragon and his angels waged war,

8 and they were not strong enough, and THERE WAS NO LONGER A PLACE FOUND FOR THEM IN HEAVEN.

9 And the great dragon was thrown down, the serpent of old who is called the devil and Satan, who deceives the whole world; HE WAS THROWN DOWN TO THE EARTH, and his angels were thrown down with him.

10 Then I heard a loud voice in heaven, saying, “Now the salvation, and the power, and the kingdom of our God and the authority of His Christ have come, for the accuser of our brethren has been thrown down, he who accuses them before our God day and night.

11 And they overcame him because of the blood of the Lamb and because of the word of their testimony, and they did not love their life even when faced with death.

12 For this reason, rejoice, O heavens and you who dwell in them. Woe to the earth and the sea, because the devil has come down to you, having great wrath, knowing that he has only a short time.”

13 And when the dragon SAW THAT HE WAS THROWN DOWN TO THE EARTH, he persecuted the woman who gave birth to the male child. (Rev 12:7-13)

At the time of the end Michael will rise (Dan 12:1) and a final conflict will begin with the devil finally and irrevocably being cast out of heaven. He will be thrown to the earth full of wrath knowing he has but a short time. He will then persecute the woman Israel (a time of trouble for the nation such as never has occurred). His final time of wrath is the final great tribulation that will dwarf all others in its intensity and scope. Even folks like Derek Prince thought this to be a time in the future at the end of the age.

Yet in the midst of this,

And they overcame him because of the blood of the Lamb and because of the word of their testimony, and they did not love their life even when faced with death.

The true church (likely a martyr church) will OVERCOME!