

News and Current Events :: Pennsylvania to Reclaim Power from Sec of State to Appoint Electors

Pennyslavia to Reclaim Power from Sec of State to Appoint Electors - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/27 14:21

Hi All
Pennyslavia is set to reclaim power to appoint electors following the hearings on Wednesday.
That means that the GOP will be controlling which electors and their is more than a fighting chance that Joe Biden will not get electors from Pennyslavia urs staff

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/legislature-seek-reclaim-power-appoint-electors-pennsylvania-state-sen-ma-striano/>

Re: Pennyslavia to Reclaim Power from Sec of State to Appoint Electors - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2020/11/27

We should pray for truth to prevail in a situation like this and also for the enemy and evil men not to use a time of turmoil to their advantage.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/27 17:13

Hi,
I think this change of route in Pennyslavia is answer to prayer of the saints and hopefully it will lead to Justice of God been served,urs staff

Re: - posted by deogloria, on: 2020/11/27 21:58

Pray like this:
Our Father in heaven,may your name be kept holy.10May your Kingdom come soon. May your will be done on earth,as it is in heaven. Matthew 6 v 9 NLT

His Name is dishonoured all over the world at the moment.
The church is His Bride and He wants it pure before He returns.This should be our biggest concern.

I don't know who will be the next president, but I just have a feeling that we might see a lot more turmoil, may be soon.
Watch this space - and keep praying !

Re: Pennyslavia to Reclaim Power from Sec of State to Appoint Electors - posted by murrcolr (), on: 2020/11/28 5:09

Staff

I have been watching Philadelphia in Pennsylvania; after I saw a prophecy on youtube by Chris Reed.

And to the angel of the church in Philadelphia write: The words of the holy one, the true one, who has the key of David, who opens and no one will shut, who shuts and no one opens. Rev 3:7

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2EPPgBq1AwM>

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/28 12:55

Hi Murrcolr,I actually did look at that and Ive forgotten what he said so i must re look,thanks staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/28 12:58

Hi all
UPDATE :Pennsylvania Judge Patricia A. McCullough ruled that the Pennsylvania preliminary ELECTION CERTIFICATE ON injunction was PROPERLY ISSUED and should be upheld.

This is big it means that the PA election cannot be certified and the injunction her original finding is being upheld ,
Its on ,staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/28 20:46

Hi Al
Update 2
Judge Patricia Mc Cullough has her decision over turned by PA Supreme court as expected as the court is 5 liberal judges to 2 conservative judges.The case will now be appealed to the circuit court which is assigned to Supreme court Judge Alito a conservative .If the PA Supreme court judgement is overturned by the circuit then the Biden team will have to go to the US Supreme court but if it is not overturned then then the Trump backer who brought the case will have to go to the Supreme court.Either way it looks like this is going to the Supreme Court,
Just keeping you Americans up to date in whats happening in your own country lol urs staff

Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2020/11/29 6:08

The appeal you mention was already in the Circuit court. Exactly as I described earlier, a 3 judge panel upheld the Federal district court's refusal to grant the very odd relief Pres. Trump's lawyers requested. The judge who wrote the opinion for the 3rd Circuit is a Federalist Society member and Trump appointee and conservative.

There remains only one appeal: SCOTUS. Alito is one of nine justices and has no individual power in this case.

My guess is SCOTUS will not grant cert and will not hear the case as it is based on a terribly argued set of propositions and neither alleges nor can it prove any facts that would merit invalidating votes.

The lawyers either failed miserably or there were never provable facts in this matter, or both. But, this case is only alive on paper and is collapsing.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/29 7:09

Hi Dolfan,
Yeah the Trump case went to the circuit but im talking about the Mike Kelly case .It went to the PA Supreme and as expected it lost and now it will go to the circuit and on to Supreme court where it is likely to win as was Judge McCullough as said.

The Trump case was not argued on a "terrible set of propositions" or "unproven allegations" as you prescribe at all.Its based on evidence as was outlined in the gettysburg hearings.

The Mike Kelly case was a constitutional case brought by Mike Kelly not the the Trump Campaign .Its about "act 77" which is clearly unconstitutional and its most likely to win on its merits according to PA judge Patricia McCullough.
Act 77 was implemented by the Judiciary not the Legislature of PA which is only one problem.

The case you are talking about is the Trump Campaign case which was tossed out and that was the hope of the Trump Campaign as it now goes to the Supreme Court as well.All the allegations have been proven and it is clear there has been wide spread cheating and voter fraud .Judge Alito has already jumped into the PA election twice and its unlikely they wont hear a case regarding the election in the Supreme Court ,urs staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/29 7:21

Hi Dolfan here is the ruling which has now gone to the PA Supreme Court and has been tossed but totally as expected.Judge McCullough is of the opinion that this case is likely to succeed when it goes further up to circuit or US Supreme court.Based on act 77 being unconstitutional and also they didnt follow the rules on who could send in a mail in ballot.For instance you couldnt send in a mail in ballot because you were afraid of covid it had to be that you were sick from covid and it had to be documented .

Judge rules pro-Trump case established a "likelihood to succeed on the merits"™ in Pennsylvania

The Pennsylvania appellate court judge who issued a temporary injunction Wednesday against the state certifying its 2020 election results released an accompanying opinion Friday explaining her decision.

In the opinion, Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court Judge Patricia McCullough predicted that the plaintiffs in the case will ultimately win the battle they're waging in the Keystone State.

"Petitioners appear to have established a likelihood to succeed on the merits because petitioners have asserted the Constitution does not provide a mechanism for the legislature to allow for expansion of absentee voting without a constitutional amendment," she wrote.

"Petitioners appear to have a viable claim that the mail-in ballot procedures set forth in Act 77 contravene as the plain language of that constitutional provision is at odds with the mail-in provisions of Act 77."

13 For all of the above reasons, the Court respectfully submits that the emergency preliminary injunction was properly issued and should be upheld pending an expedited emergency evidentiary hearing s/ Patricia A. McCullough PATRICIA A. McCULLOUGH, Judge

urs staff

Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2020/11/29 9:29

The McCullough heard case will not go to any Circuit Court. The PA Supreme Court reversed it. The only possible next step is to seek cert to the SCOTUS. I continue to maintain that it is unlikely they will take that case, either.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/29 11:27

Hi Dolfan,

I actually said that in my previous post that the PA supreme court reversed it which was totally expected.

"In its ruling on Saturday, the state Supreme Court, composed of five Democrats and two Republicans, vacated McCullough's order"

This case has total and complete merits and was only tossed because of the make up of the court nothing to do with merits.

This will be heard further up as its an open and shut constitutional issue .The constitution is very clear act 77 is unconstitutional .

Also it may not even be needed to be heard if as its looking that the PA Legislature will take back the control of the electors hopefully by midweek ,

Despite what your saying the constitution is the big dog in the room here not lower court liberal judges ,
urs staff

Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2020/11/29 15:43

Staff,

The PA case, according to the Trump appointed judge on the 3rd Circuit, presented no federal constitutional issues and was based solely in state law. If that is so, SCOTUS will not touch it.

If there IS a federal question in the PA lawsuit but it cannot demonstrate that it will make a difference in the outcome in PA, they won't touch it.

As far as the PA legislature taking back the certification of electors, they have to pass a bill that gets signed by the Dem governor. The PA GOP speaker of the house said late last night they will not move forward with the effort. Not gonna happen.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/29 16:34

Hi Dolfan,

Of course there is a federal question in the lawsuit. Which is can a judge change the law of a state which they clearly did. Only the legislature can change the law of a state so this is matter for the Scotus .Alito stepped in PA on the same issue. The state said it could count votes after election day based on state law and Alito said no that it was unconstitutional .Alito is showing no signs of not "wanting not to touch it".

Its up to the legislature of each state to prescribe the "manner and time " of the election not the AG or any judge.

Because the law was not changed threw the legislature then act 77 is unconstitutional .Its fairly clear I think.

My understanding is that the bill to claim back electors is still going ahead but we will wait and see,urs staff

Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2020/11/29 17:41

Staff,

Alito has done nothing. Where on Earth do you keep pulling Justice Alito into this? He hasn't touched it. He has no reason to, not has he any power to. I just for the life of me cannot figure where you get this from. Please provide some link to it his.

Whether PA judges have acted in a way to "change the law" in violation of PA law is NOT a federal question and not something the US Supreme Court will address.

Act 77 is an Act. Of. The. Legislature. You said expressly that Act 77 wasn't passed through the Legislature. Yes, it was. A Republican Legislature at that. And legislatures are the only bodies that pass "acts".

Even the plaintiff in the PA case, Sean Parnell, about his appeal to SCOTUS, has said that there are "federal questions nested in there". What are they? Why was the case not brought in Federal court instead? His whole theory is that PA constitutional processes were not followed to pass Act 77, not that it was passed by some non-legislative means. It is fully based in state law. He is hoping someone can now dig out of it a federal law issue. The Court won't do it for him.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/29 18:32

Hi Dolfan,

Alito got involved in the PA election by telling PA that they couldn't count ballots that came in after election day.

<https://nypost.com/2020/11/06/justice-alito-orders-pennsylvania-officials-divide-late-ballots/>

My point on Alito is that he is clearly ready and willing and able to get involved. These ballots had to be separated and Supreme court judges were willing to get involved.

Act 77 is not an act of the legislature as the act was not completed and because the process was not completed but only started then it is unconstitutional .The act had to fully be voted on and passed correctly and it wasn't.

Judge McCullough does not agree at all with your assessment,

urs staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/29 20:34

Hi Again ,

Here is an interview with Alan Dershowitz a democrat lawyer.

He's indefinite on what will be the outcome and said there's no precedent .He said that if he was asked for his judgement he said he thinks it won't work based on time ,the courts and what he says is allegations not evidence.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yVNwvZZv8Hc>

They will vote on the resolution in PA scheduled to be voted on Monday 30th

The resolution is sponsored by Rep. Russ Diamond, Rep. Eric R. Nelson, Rep. Paul Schemel, Rep. Greg Rothman, Rep. Francis X. Ryan, Rep. Dawn W. Keefer, Rep. Mike Jones, Rep. David H. Rowe, Rep. Michael J. Puskaric, Rep. Barbara Gleim, Rep. Bud Cook, Rep. Cris Dush, Rep. Stephanie Borowicz, Rep. David H. Zimmerman, Rep. Daryl D. Metcalfe,

Rep. David M. Maloney, Sr., Rep. Dan Moul, Rep. Brad Roae, Rep. Kathy L. Rapp, Rep. Jim Cox, Rep. Rob W. Kauffman, Rep. Matthew Dowling, Rep. Eric Davanzo, Rep. Rich Irvin, Aaron Berstine and Rep. Andrew Lewis.

The resolution has not yet been voted on by either the state House or Senate. It is not expected to get a vote before law makers' terms end on Monday.

ur staff

Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2020/11/30 9:12

Alito has not been individually involved in anything regarding the validity of Act 77.

He did, as I explained last week about SCOTUS justices and temporary emergency relief, issue an order requiring Pennsylvania to segregate absentee ballots from all other ballots. That was done the weekend following election day. Pennsylvania was already segregating those ballots. Alito's order was issued at the request of the Pennsylvania Republican party. At that time, and as we sit here this morning on November 30th, a cert petition was and is pending asking the court to consider whether to take up the question whether the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's extension of the deadline to receive and count mail-in ballots violated the United States constitution's provision that state legislators direct the selection of electors and the time place and manner for congressional elections.

It is quite clear that the only ballots to be disputed in all of this are the ones mailed in and received after election day. Only 10,000, maybe a few more, were received after election day. All other mail-in ballots were received on or before election day. Pennsylvania's cast 2.6 million mail in ballots in this election cycle.

Nothing about the way the deadline was extended had any real impact on the outcome of Pennsylvania's vote count for President. In other words, even if cert is granted on the petition that was filed before the election then the only relief available is to invalidate votes received after election day and there are simply nowhere near enough to make a difference.

1. Alito has made no ruling on any part of the substantive case, and his temporary limited involvement was only to make sure that Pennsylvania segregated mail and balance, which they had already done prior to his order
2. The case before the SCOTUS now has nothing to do with whether Act 77 is constitutional. It only asks the United States Supreme Court to invalidate the count of mail-in ballots received after election day and the only reason it asks that is because the Pennsylvania Supreme Court extended that deadline in a way that the petitioners argue violated the authority of state legislatures to direct the manner of choosing Electors for president under the United States constitution.
3. Only roughly 10, 000 mail in ballots were received after election day in Pennsylvania.
4. Even if the court grants certiorari and rules with the petitioners the only remedy available would be to set aside the 10, 000 mail-in ballots received after election day.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/30 14:36

Hi Dolfan ,

Nobody said that he did and I went out of my way twice to point out that he Alito wasn't afraid to get involved and I clearly made that point in response to your assertion that the Supreme court "won't touch" an election case.

urs staff

Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2020/11/30 15:54

Staff,

We're clearly talking past each other. I never said the Supreme Court won't touch an election case. Clearly they do. Bush v. Gore is an example. There is plenty of election case law. With all love and respect, I'll roll off the thread.

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/30 16:38

Hi Dolfan,

God bless we will both know soon. If the President remains in office it will be down to God and he will be glorified not man, urs staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/11/30 17:51

Hi Just an update

Senator Mastriano from Pennyslavia is trying to organise that the Pennyslavia Legislature comes back in emergency session as the term of the legislature ends tonight.

They want to put in resolution taking back the right to put in electors.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V6MzM2BPGXE&pbjreload=101>

They have so far 9 republicans out of required 26 republicans in the legislature to bring it back in session.

For any Pennsylvanians out there they are asking Pennsylvanians to call their Republican Representatives and demand that they call an emergency session.

I have put the link on above and if you know anybody from that state they might like to see this video urs staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/12/1 19:32

Hi

The latest news I saw on this is that there may be two sets of electors sent to congress and if this happens there will be a constitutional crisis that would be decided by the Supreme Court, urs staff

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/12/6 21:33

Hi all

This is the latest news out of Pennsylvania.

Supreme Court Alito has moved up to December 8th the day PA has to respond to Kelly Parnell lawsuit. What happens with this I do not know but it will either be heard or not. So continue to pray for a Supreme Court victory. urs staff

<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/alito-moves-up-deadline-for-pennsylvania-officials-to-respond-to-lawsuit-seeking-to-block-biden-victory>

Re: - posted by staff, on: 2020/12/8 19:26

Hi

The Supreme Court has rejected to hear the Kelly /Parnell case. The State of Texas has filed a suit against the 4 swing states and this maybe the reason why the Kelly/Parnell case will not be heard as the Texas Case covers the same ground as the Kelly /Parnell case. Reports have it that the Supreme Court has decided to hear the Texas Case. Here are all the relevant links.

<https://newsthud.com/breaking-supreme-court-agrees-to-hear-texas-election-case-against-four-states/>

<https://www.foxnews.com/politics/alito-moves-up-pennsylvanias-response-date-on-emergency-application-to-day-before-safe-harbor-deadline>

This Link explains the Texas Lawsuit and why its the big one
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RRWyx6kAZ6g>

Lets pray for Justice
urs staff