

**News and Current Events :: Breaking News :Supreme Court To Hear Case Against Swing States Feb 19th****Breaking News :Supreme Court To Hear Case Against Swing States Feb 19th - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 14:36**

Hi All,  
Supreme Court is set to hear case brought against swing states on feb 19th by L Wood and S Powell on the Election Fraud. Haven't confirmed this video with another source but he has been fairly spot on up til now.

This is major news if it is confirmed.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GN13ag0g0aM>

Its not over until God says it over .....

urs staff

**Re: Breaking News :Supreme Court To Hear Case Against Swing States Feb 19th - posted by TMK (), on: 2021/2/5 18:11**

<https://amp.goerie.com/amp/4246466001>

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 18:53**

Hi TMK ,  
Im fairly sure thats not the same case as the link I posted.  
The link I posted is regarding multiple states and was lodged by Wood and Powell not Mike Kelly .

That link is dated 25th of January and the one I linked is from a few hours ago 5th of February,

Have a look again and double check but Im fairly sure this is different,  
urs staff

**Re: Breaking News :Supreme Court To Hear Case Against Swing States Feb 19th - posted by docs (), on: 2021/2/5 21:49**

On Feb 19, are they going to vote on whether or not to hear the case or are they going to hear the actual case and render a decision?

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 21:58**

Hi Docs  
According to the Shaun Vaughn on the video they are going to hear the case and I assume hear evidence and render a result. This is my understanding from listening to the video.  
He is not really into Prophecy but is into the constitution in a big way.  
I didnt see any other confirmation on it from any other source yet but he was ahead of the game from the very start regarding the constitution.

I asked where the report came from but didnt get an answer yet. If I do i will post. He has been pretty reliable so far on his info but we will wait and see. He is into the Sabbath and because of this we wont hear from him til after that,  
urs staff

**Re: - posted by docs (), on: 2021/2/5 22:09**

Pray for Justice Roberts.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 22:10**

Hi Docs

His main teaching on the constitution is that the USA is a Republic not a Democracy, the difference being a democracy is governed by the majority and a Republic is governed by the rule of law as far as I remember him saying. Doubled checked the video and he is definitely saying the cases will be heard, urs staff

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 22:14**

Hi Docs

I could link you a prophecy from 2 days ago from a source I think, in my opinion is very credible if you want and Justice Roberts and its funny that you mentioned him is part of this mans vision. Some people are prophesied out and I understand that but I have a feelin for this old gentleman, urs staff

**Re: - posted by docs (), on: 2021/2/5 22:17**

We are at a home prayer group right now praying about all this and for Joe Biden and his administration.

Keep Psalm 73 in mind. It is very relevant right now.

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/5 22:18**

Feb 19 is a docketing day. There are no cases set. The only decisions made will be whether to hear cases and when. That's it.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 22:21**

Hi Docs,

I posted that link anyway, who am I to be a shield anyone and you are as discerning as I am. I think you will recognise the qualities this man has, genuine love for Jesus and clear with his message, no frills or trills, urs staff

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wAINnr2uaNM>

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 22:26**

Hi Dolfan,

As I said I didnt get any second source only this video and I dont have a link other than this. It could be only docketing as you say like the Texas case but according to this report which could be jumping the gun its more than that, urs staff

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/5 22:33**

Hi Docs,

I keep thinking about

If my people who are called by name.....I will heal their land.

The point is we cant say this scripture and believe for it without understanding from it that God recognises "lands" and territories by extension.

If this is the case its a job that Joe Biden or the democratic party cant do at this juncture in time, God cant do it either also by extension with them in power.

I personally can only pray that the thief gives back what he has stolen, urs staff

**Re: - posted by deogloria, on: 2021/2/6 0:27**

Thank you dolfan for clarifying this.

Everything else is therefore just speculation and damaging.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/6 5:05**

Hi Deogloria,

I dont think this has been clarified.Dolfan hasnt provided a link for his speculation that it is only a docket.I did think originally that it was a docketing only but after watching the video the brother on it was clear it was going to be a case. The jump to a conclusion that it is speculation is premature.

Either way its not damaging ,either way its good news that the case is coming up before the supreme court and hopefully those that who stole,lied and cheated namely Joe Biden and the Democratic party will be brought to Justice.

The Democratic Party has an AntiChrist Spirit behind it and anyone that voted ,supported or even cheered it on, have been supporting the Spirit of AntiChrist whether they knew it or not.

Even a wicked Judge sometimes does the right things! and we should pray like the widow in the parable of the widow and the judge.

We should pray that justice will be done on the 19th of Feb and we should pray that Christians who find themselves like David did lining up with the Philistines will begin to have a clear mind about these things,

urs staff

The Parable of the Widow and the Judge

18 He told them a parable to illustrate that it is necessary always to pray and not lose heart. 2 He said: "In a city there was a judge who did not fear God or regard man. 3 And a widow was in that city. She came to him, saying, "Avenge me against my adversary."

4 "He would not for a while. Yet afterward he said to himself, "Though I do not fear God or respect man, 5 yet because this widow troubles me, I will avenge her, lest by her continual coming she will weary me."

6 And the Lord said, "Hear what the unjust judge says. 7 And shall not God avenge His own elect and be patient with them, who cry day and night to Him? 8 I tell you, He will avenge them speedily. Nevertheless, when the Son of Man comes, will He find faith on the earth?"

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/6 17:08**

<https://www.scotusblog.com/events/>

Feb 19 is "conferences"

The Court has conferences among justices, clerks and support staff to layout the calendar for hearings and other activities. They also meet to decide on cases where cert petitions are pending. If you recall cert simply is a request for the case to be heard on appeal. Cert is discretionary and only is granted if 4 justices approve the request. So, conferences will produce cert decisions too.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/6 20:20**

Hi

Here is the latest from First Harvest Ministries.Have a listen and make up ur mind for yourselves,urs staff

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RMVI10RKjB4>

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/6 20:55**

Here is the bottom line. I was correct and the guy on YouTube was not.

He said on YouTube on Friday that the case would be HEARD on 2/19. Today he is revising what he said to mean something other than what he said. No matter.

The case is on a docket with other regularly scheduled cases for conference. They are merely reviewing the petition for writ of certiorari. That doesn't mean it has been granted. The case may or may not move forward after 2/19 but no decision other than whether to hear the case will happen on 2/19. This is not even breaking news. The case was already bound to be put on a conference docket. He should stop making this something it isn't.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/6 21:38**

Hi Dolfan,

The writ of certiorari wasn't mentioned yesterday and that would have clarified it.

The news broke yesterday which makes it breaking news and other media including the epoch times and the Washington Times have reported today.

I asked for clarification was it to be heard on the 19th or was it only to be decided but nobody knew so that's why I said I only had this source for it at the start of the post.

Much of the media had already said that the Powell/Wood case was not going ahead and the docket has at least proved that to be wrong.

They could now lump all the cases into one as there are more than one including the PA one from Mike Kelly if they go ahead. Also the Judge McCullough ruling will be part of the decision as that case will have to be reviewed by the Supreme Court. She said that if the case that came before a higher court it would win on its merits. So they will have to at least review what she saw.

It will give SCOTUS another chance to do the right thing and accept the case even if the case isn't heard for a few months. I'm still convinced God will pull this out of the fire whether it's this way or another way and that truth will win out in the end,

urs staff

**Re: - posted by deogloria, on: 2021/2/6 22:16**

dolfan wrote: "Here is the bottom line. I was correct and the guy on YouTube was not... This is not even breaking news. The case was already bound to be put on a conference docket. He should stop making this something it isn't. "

And staff should stop promoting false prophets.

Very damaging for a Christian site.

**Re: Breaking News :Supreme Court To Hear Case Against Swing States Feb 19th - posted by savannah, on: 2021/2/6 22:16**

Supreme Court to Consider 2020 Election Challenge Lawsuits in February Conference

[https://link.theepochtimes.com/mkt\\_app/supreme-court-to-consider-2020-election-challenge-lawsuits-in-february-conference\\_3687634.html](https://link.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/supreme-court-to-consider-2020-election-challenge-lawsuits-in-february-conference_3687634.html)

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/6 22:22**

I don't doubt that you were not fully informed, staff, because this YouTube preacher is speaking without knowledge of anything on the legal front. You may think him credible but those two videos are gobbledygook on any legal or procedural issue he discusses.

I'll leave it anyone who wants to judge for themselves whether he speaks with knowledge on anything else.

I never heard anyone in media say that case wouldn't be on a docket to decide cert. It is routine. Even if cert were granted and the appeal decided in favor of the petitioner the Court cannot and will not "undo" the election or Biden's presidency. To the extent the case asks the Court for remedies that would have stopped vote counts or imposed other relief to change the outcome, it is moot. The Electoral College certification and Congressional affirmation are done and are not touchable by the SCOTUS.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/6 23:30**

Hi Dolfan,

His videos were on constitutional issues fair enough .

I still think that SCOTUS has a role to play in this yet and I am not sure a case like this has ever come up or accepted by SCOTUS, the issues involved are criminal conspiracy, foreign interference as well as voter fraud on an industrial scale. For instance where does it go if the American people learn that Dominion voting machines were changing ballots in the millions? I can't imagine things going on as normal for any branch of the government. It's difficult to see how any remedies would satisfy a functioning democracy.

Well we will wait and pray whether it's another Texas or an unlikely surprise.

In the natural it may not seem possible but that's when we get the Red Sea,

urs staff

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/6 23:40**

Hi Degloria,

This guy as I said and you obviously didn't read or just didn't bother isn't into Prophecy so how could he be a false prophet?

So get your facts straight. The only false person on this post is you.

I didn't promote you did I?

urs staff

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/22 9:53**

The SCOTUS has rejected the PA case on mootness.

As I predicted.

I'm not a prophet.

But I pray and I ask the Lord to deliver His people in America from attachments to Pres. Trump and to any notions that he will return to office, that the mouths of those false prophets and self-styled dreamers from going straight to the internet with their "words" about the presidency.

I pray we all repent. That we all get humble before Him. We need Him and we need each other. The times ahead will challenge us deeply.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/22 12:22**

Hi Dolfan,

Just heard here is a link and a some commentary on Justice Thomas dissention on hearing the cases.

Supreme Court REJECT Trump, Powell, Lin Wood, Mike Kelly Election Lawsuits

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jHoWcxatovM>

The lord said that he would pour out his on all flesh and young men will have visions and old men will dream dreams. Unless other people know better I think that is scriptural for Christians to have dreams and visions from God and to relay those dreams and visions and if their is repenting to do I think we can add our need to repent of our attitude to dreams a nd visions scripture in the bible both new and old.

Can anyone tie Gods hands to legalities ?I dont think so.

My position is unchanged "This is not over" and I must listen to God and not man.God has not told me that Trump will ge t in this term or will not get in this term,so I cant say as other Christians are saying that he will.

I dont count it a miracle if Trump gets in 2024.

So we are at a stage now where man is completely at his finish and only God from now can turn this around.

On the use of the internet,SI,Timesquare etc all use the internet and Paul and Peter would use the internet too no doubt about that.

God has told me other things though and they all point to "this is not over",

I havent a print out of the Thomas's dissention but I will post it later if I can find it,

urs staff

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/22 14:08**

Hi I cant see the full text on the Judges comments but here is a link from newsmax

Justice Thomas Blisters Court on Rejecting Election Cases

<https://www.newsmax.com/politics/clarence-thomas-election-law-scotus-voter-fraud/2021/02/22/id/1011008/>

urs staff

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/22 14:30**

Staff, I would suggest giving this a think:

What if it is best -- for the law -- that this case was rejected?

First, even had it been heard and had the appellants won, Trump would still not be president. This case had no possibilit y of reversing the election or doing anything to the presidency itself.

Second, Barrett is not involved in this case. She was sidelined on it because it arose prior to her confirmation.

Optimistically, in this context, this would be a 3-5 or 4-4 case where the left side of the Court prevailed or at least no defi nitive statement would be made. Thomas' dissent, the spirit of which I agree with, would have had a court loaded leftwar d decide the case!

It is best that this case didn't get heard. Now, here's the thing --- how will Republicans prepare in advance of 2022 or 20 24? Republicans control 30 state legislatures. Every single one could pass a statute expressly forbidding government ag encies from creating ad hoc deadlines, restricting their powers in a way that forbids them from creating any such deadlin es and take full control of that process in the legislature itself, and they could cite the 11th Amendment in their rationale

statements to make that a matter of record in the legislative histories. IF we see a similar future move in those states (and no one expects Democrat controlled legislatures to do anything remotely like this) that looks like what we saw in 2020, you're armed with far more and better arguments. And, you've got 5 likely justices with Barrett's inclusion in the case. So, you get a much higher likelihood of precedent in your favor instead of a slapped-together hodgepodge of opinions that only make it worse (which is what hearing this case would likely produce, at best).

If you want to stop fraudulent voting, push your state legislators to expand early in-person voting to 2-3 weeks prior to election day and set up voting stations all over the place, thus reducing the need for mail-in voting. The mail-in vote has to be issue #1 for everyone if you want to have anything resembling fair elections.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/22 17:46**

Hi Dolfan,

All good points and it may as you say turn out better from a legal point of view and a strategic point of view.

I am very glad almost all the legal stuff is out of the way quickly and that they didn't prolong it. It shows clearly that man has exhausted all his options and can't rely on his power as it has been used up.

To me if it were down to just all the illegal stuff and you end up with a President that got there through corruption it is a bit important but not anything that has long lasting consequences. But this is hugely different than just criminality and fraud. It is the forces behind it and the intent that makes it so important.

From a spiritual point of view we had a group of people mostly Democrat but with some Republican who were fuelled by an Antichrist spirit, this hasn't happened so openly and brazenly before. It's an important strategic move from the enemy of the Church.

The question remains in my mind has the Lord a move that hasn't been seen or is it just time and the Lord is going to allow it to proceed as surely it will at some juncture of history as the Bible says.

Whether Trump gets in or not is not the important thing here it's whether God decides to move or not is the important thing.

The enemy of the Church has collaborated with the fraud, the judges, the unconstitutional changing of rules, big tech and a bankrupt media and seemingly got away with it but is it time or is it God showing how great he is?

From what I see myself or have been shown I can only say it's not time yet and it's God showing how great he is, how that plays out or whether God decides to put Trump back in I don't know because I haven't been shown,

urs staff  
p.s I thought it was Amy Comey's decision whether to be involved in the cases?? or did she just recuse herself?

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/22 18:01**

Hi

A good video on Justice Thomas's displeasure with the court, and the video has a link to his complete statement.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g8G8kkkqxmM>

urs staff

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/23 11:18**

Barrett didn't participate by her own choice.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/23 11:35**

Hi,

What a cop out by Barrett and Brett Kavanaugh. It only took one more vote for 2 of the cases to be heard.

Well who ever said that she was an Esther will have to rethink and the people who said that the court was either compromised or cowardly have been proven correct.

urs staff



**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/23 12:27**

I can't speak to Kavanaugh on this, but Barrett signaled in October that because she didn't participate in briefing she probably would not participate at all. I disagree that she was cowardly. I believe it is an ethical call. Not the only one but for proper reasons.

And why would anyone expect her to be Esther? America isn't Israel. Esther wasn't a justice on a court. It just baffles me when people make these comparisons.

Maybe she's a Martha or a Mary. Maybe she's just Amy.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/23 13:20**

Hi

I heard many people see her as a "type" of Esther so you don't have to be from Israel to be a type would be their outlook. The comparison would be that Esther was put in a place for such a time as this and so was Barrett would be why they said that. Considering that she was put in her position weeks before the election I could see why the comparison.

I think her ethics are very questionable as does Justice Thomas Clarence by all accounts. It's very unethical to use technicalities to drop cases they feel are hot potatoes.

Many people went out on a limb to get both Kavanaugh and Barrett on the court and both have let everyone down cowering behind ethics rather than doing the just and lawful thing,  
urs staff

**Re: my place your place - posted by savannah, on: 2021/2/23 14:49**

As far as Barrett is concerned, I'd say that the #1 issue is that it's not her place in the first place.

The B-I-B-L-E that's the book for me ðŸ™,

BTW - Where's Sarah Palin?

An easy answer to an easy equation. If you know any math this post all adds up.

I'll have to get back to this thread later. My wife has to go to work now and I've gotta go change the babies diaper ðŸ™%.

**Re: - posted by dolfan (), on: 2021/2/23 16:01**

But, Barrett never asked to be, considered herself to be, made any assurances or representations to be, an Esther or type of Esther. What she said she would do would be hear cases and render decisions based on the Constitution and existing law. She hasn't failed in that regard.

People didn't "go out on a limb" to put Kavanaugh or Barrett on the Supreme Court. They were highly qualified nominees .

I find it astounding that anyone would call either of these out on their ethics for not participating in this or any case. Neither of them had any benefit inuring to them by not participating, neither of them had something to gain. And, don't suggest that they avoided criticism from some quarters because -- especially Kavanaugh -- both of these people have faced more heated criticism than almost anyone in the federal court nomination process ever save Bork and Thomas. And, Kavanaugh may have been as badly mistreated as Justice Thomas. So, to suggest they avoided criticism is just complete cluelessness.

Furthermore, if either of them were to participate based on some sense of quid pro quo --- a sense that they owed it to those who you say "went out on a limb" for their nominations --- that would indeed be unethical. Corrupt, actually. Recusal or non-participation in order to protect political neutrality in the judiciary is not unethical and certainly not "cowering behind ethics". Things are bad enough without compounding that with appearances of serving partisan political interests. Furthermore, in a case such as this where there really is no remedy, and it truly is moot, there is no compelling need to lay a



side ethical niceties. This case is one that begs for a political and not a judicial solution.

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/23 17:57**

Hi

Christians all over the world backed and argued for Kavanaugh in particular and also Barrett to a lesser extent so that when they went into their position they would do the right thing and not allow injustice to rule but up to now they have failed to protect either the right or the rule of law.

Barrett didn't say Esther of herself, others did and the constitution was clearly broken by many of the swing states as Thomas has said.

The Supreme Court Judges have no problem when it comes to supporting the left, the left leaning Judges show total partiality and loyalty and never break rank, so too suggest the Court is impartial at any stage is not correct nor politically neutral. Barrett only recused herself for her own reasons not for the good of the country.

Clarence Thomas is correct that the cases should be heard to prevent it happening again. The cases were legitimate cases that couldn't be solved politically. Giuliani went the political route and the corrupt politicians, governors and election boards stalled for time and succeeded.

As for appearances now the whole electorate that voted Trump have been disenfranchised by clear election fraud and clear non constitutional voting.

So nobody on SCOTUS can argue ethics when they use technicalities and political calculations to not hear a case. Nor can SCOTUS complain they were asked and refused themselves. The only case of any real interest left is Arizona who have been stubborn in their pursuit of justice.

The good thing for me though is now it's clearly out of the hands of man and in only the hands of God.

I didn't see that at the start because I personally would have given God the glory at any stage if he chose to step in but now with no political or legal procedure left to go God will get the glory from everyone if he decides to turn this around.

In the analogy of Lazarus Funeral we are at the stage where Jesus has been informed of Lazarus and he has set out to reach Martha and Mary.

It is down to God now and the majority of Christians either believe in miracles or at least pay lip service to the idea, so let's wait with interest

urs staff

**Re: okay now - posted by savannah, on: 2021/2/23 18:32**

They sow the wind, and so they will reap the whirlwind! The stalk does not have any standing grain; it will not produce any grain. Even if it were to yield grain, foreigners would swallow it all up.

Israel will be swallowed up among the nations; they will be like a worthless piece of POTTERY. They have gone up to ASSYRIA, like a wild donkey that wanders OFF. Ephraim has hired prostitutes as lovers.

Even though they have hired lovers among the nations,

I will soon gather them together for judgment.

Then they will begin to waste AWAY under the oppression of a mighty king.

Although Ephraim has built many altars for sin offerings, these have become altars for sinning!

I spelled out my law for him in great detail, but they regard it as something totally unknown to them!

They offer up sacrificial gifts to ME, and eat the meat, but the Lord does not accept their sacrifices.

Soon he will remember their WRONGDOING, he will punish their SINS, and they will return to Egypt.

Israel has forgotten his Maker and built royal PALACES, and Judah has built many fortified cities.

But I will send fire on their cities;it will consume their royal citadel. Hosea 8:7-14

---

I think the same just may be true of the USA!

**Re: - posted by staff, on: 2021/2/23 19:33**

Hi Savannah,

I just dont agree that its time.

Im not saying the US has not done enough sin to go into captivity ,Im just saying its not time.

I think its time that God will show his greatness in how gracious he is,even grace beyond anything we thought was even possible.

If God choses to throw the rule book at the US right now he is totally justified,I just dont think thats what he is about right now .Hes about Grace we cant fathom,  
urs staff

**Re: Grace Grace God's Grace... - posted by savannah, on: 2021/2/23 20:13**

"Hes about Grace we cant fathom."

I agree 100%

And that Grace is in Christ to those who are in Christ. Not the USA, ISRAEL or any other Nation.

1 Peter 2:9

Ephesians 6:24

Grace be with all them that love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity. Amen.